

CHARACTERIZATION OF FOULSER'S λ -SYSTEMS

M. L. NARAYANA RAO

1. Introduction. In 1967 D. A. Foulser [1] defined a class of finite Veblen Wedderburn systems called λ -systems. These systems include regular nearfields and André systems. However there are other finite Veblen Wedderburn (VW) systems which are not λ -systems. Hall systems and the new class of (C)-systems obtained from the exceptional (irregular) nearfields [3] are VW systems which are not λ -systems. The main aim of this paper is to deduce a set of necessary and sufficient conditions under which an arbitrary VW system is a λ -system. Using this characterization it is shown in §3 that an isotopic or an anti-isotopic image of a λ -system is a λ -system.

2. Throughout this paper Foulser's notation [1] is followed. Let $F(+, \cdot)$ be a left VW system of order q^d where $q = p^s$, p is a prime, d and s are natural numbers. Let σ be a prime such that σ divides $(p^{sd} - 1)$ but does not divide $(p^{st} - 1)$ for $0 < t < d$. Prime σ exists except in the following cases (Foulser [1, Lemma 1.1, p. 380]):

- (i) $d = 2$, q is a prime of the form $2^x - 1$;
- (ii) $d = 6$ and $q = 2$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\tau = \sigma$ in the nonexceptional case and $\tau = 2^x$ in the exceptional case (i).

Exceptional case (ii) does not enter our discussion since Foulser [1] proved that there are no λ -systems of order 2^6 with kern $K = GF(2)$. Let N_l , N_m and K denote left nucleus, middle nucleus and kern in the VW system $F(+, \cdot)$ respectively.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $F(+, \cdot)$ be an arbitrary (left) VW system of order q^2 where q is a prime of the form $2^x - 1$ with kern $K = GF(q)$. If $N_l \cap N_m$ contains a subgroup $G = \langle g \rangle$ of order 2^x , then $F(+, \cdot)$ is generated by $\{g, 1\}$ as a right vector space over the kern K where 1 is the multiplicative identity in $F(+, \cdot)$.*

PROOF. Since $F(+, \cdot)$ is a right vector space of dimension two over the kern K , the lemma is proved if it is shown that 1 and g are linearly independent over K . Suppose there exist a and b in $K = GF(q)$ such that $a + g \cdot b = 0$ and at least one of a and b are distinct from 0. We then obtain that both a and b are distinct from 0 and $g = (-a) \cdot b^{-1} \in GF(q)$, a contradiction since g is of order 2^x and no element of $GF(q)$ is of order 2^x .

Received by the editors June 16, 1969.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $F(+, \cdot)$ be a (left) Veblen Wedderburn system of order q^d with kern K of order $q = p^s$ where p is a prime, s and d are natural numbers, $d > 2$ and if $p = 2$ and $s = 1$ then $d \neq 6$. If the loop $F'(\cdot)$ contains a power associative element g of order τ , then the subgroup $G = \langle g \rangle$ generates $F(+, \cdot)$ as a right vector space over K . Further the set $T = \{1, g, \dots, g^{d-1}\}$ is a basis.

PROOF [2, Theorem 2.1].

We now assume the following hypothesis.

HYPOTHESIS 2.1. $F(+, \cdot)$ is a (left) VW system of order q^d with kern $K = GF(q)$ and $q \neq 2$ if $d = 6$. The group $N_l \cap N_m$ contains a cyclic subgroup $G = \langle g \rangle$ of order τ with the property $x \cdot g = g^{t(x)} \cdot x$ for all $x \in F'$ where $t(x) \equiv q^{\mu(x)} \pmod{\sigma}$ for some mapping $\mu: F' \rightarrow I_d$ (integers modulo d).

Using the fact that $g \in N_l \cap N_m$ and g is of order τ the property stated in Hypothesis 2.1 may be written as

$$(2.1) \quad x \cdot g^k = g^{kq^{\mu(x)}} \cdot x \quad \text{for all } x \in F'.$$

The following is a consequence of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $F(+, \cdot)$ be a VW system satisfying Hypothesis 2.1. Then $F(+, \cdot)$ is generated by $\{1, g, \dots, g^{d-1}\}$ as a right vector space over the kern $K = GF(q)$.

Let $F(+, \cdot)$ be a VW system satisfying Hypothesis 2.1. Lemma 2.3 implies that if x, y are arbitrary elements from $F(+, \cdot)$, then there exist elements a_i, b_i in $GF(q)$, $0 \leq i < d$, such that $x = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot a_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot b_i$. We now define a new multiplication ' $*$ ' as

$$x * y = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} g^{i+j} \cdot (a_i \cdot b_j).$$

LEMMA 2.4. Let $F(+, \cdot)$ be a VW system satisfying Hypothesis 2.1. Then $F(+, *)$ is a field.

PROOF. Obviously $F(+, *)$ is a commutative ring with multiplicative unity. The unity of $F'(\cdot)$ is the unity of $F'(*)$. Let $0 \neq x \in F$. We now show that there is a unique $y \in F'$ such that $x * y = 1$. Since $0 \neq x$, there is a unique $z \in F'$ such that $x \cdot z = 1$. Let $z = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot a_i$, $x = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot b_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^{iq^{\mu(x)}} \cdot a_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= x \cdot z = x \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot a_i = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (g^{iq^{\mu(x)}} \cdot x) \cdot a_i = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (g^{iq^{\mu(x)}} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} g^j \cdot b_j) \cdot a_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} g^{iq^{\mu(x)}+j} \cdot (a_i \cdot b_j) = y * x = x * y. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

For any $x \in F$ let x^{r^*} be defined inductively as $x * x = x^{2^*}$, $x * x^{(r-1)^*} = x^{r^*}$. The following are easy consequences of the definition of the multiplication $*$.

- (i) $g^{r^*} = g^r$,
- (2.2) (ii) $g^r \cdot a = g^{r^*} * a$,
- (iii) If $x = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot a_i$, then $x^{q^{r^*}} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^{iq^r} \cdot a_i$

where $\langle g \rangle = G$ of Hypothesis 2.1, $a, a_i \in GF(q)$, $0 \leq i < d$ and $x \in F$.

LEMMA 2.5. *A VW system $F(+, \cdot)$ satisfying Hypothesis 2.1 is a λ -system.*

PROOF. Let $x \neq 0 \neq y$ be arbitrary elements of F with $x = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot a_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot b_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= x \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g^i \cdot b_i = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (g^{iq^\mu(x)} \cdot x) \cdot b_i = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} g^{i+jq^\mu(x)} \cdot (a_i \cdot b_j) \\ &= x * y^{q^\mu(x)^*}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we have used Equations (2.1) and (2.2).) The theorem now follows from Lemma 2.1 of Foulser [1] by taking $\mu(x)$ as the mapping $\lambda(x)$ from $F' \rightarrow I_d$ (integers modulo d).

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $F(+, \circ)$ be a λ -system of order q^d with kern $K = GF(q)$ of order $q = p^s$, where p is a prime and d and s are natural numbers. Then the group $N_l \cap N_m$ contains a subgroup $G = \langle g \rangle$ of order τ . If $q^d \neq 9$, the cyclic subgroup G is the unique subgroup of order τ contained in $N_l \cap N_m$. If $q^d = 9$, then there are three cyclic subgroups of order τ contained in $N_l \cap N_m$. Further $x \circ g = g^{\lambda(x)} \circ x$ for all $x \in F'$ where $\lambda(x)$ is the mapping used to define the λ -system.*

PROOF. Let N_u and N_v be the subgroups of $N_l \cap N_m$ defined in [1, §2.4]. Let $\tau = 2^z$. Then $u = (q-1)$ and $t = q+1 = 2^z = \tau$ and N_u itself is of order 2^z . In the nonexceptional case $\tau = \sigma$, the following congruences

$$\begin{aligned} u &\equiv 0 \pmod{(q^d - 1)} \quad \text{for } 0 < m < d \text{ and } m \mid d, \\ q^d &\equiv 1 \pmod{\sigma} \quad \text{and } q^k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\sigma} \text{ for } 0 < k < d \end{aligned}$$

imply $((q^d-1)/u) = t \equiv 0 \pmod{\sigma}$. From this congruence it follows that N_u contains a unique cyclic subgroup of order σ . Since $N_u \subseteq N_v$ and N_v is a cyclic subgroup (Foulser [1, §2.4]), we may conclude that

N_σ contains a unique cyclic subgroup of order σ . Let H be a subgroup of N_i of order σ and $H = \langle h \rangle$. Since H is of prime order, it is generated by every nonidentity element of H . Let $\lambda(h) = k$. Here $\lambda(x)$ is the mapping used by Foulser to define the λ -system. Then $\lambda(x \circ y) \equiv \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) \pmod{d}$ for all $x \in N_i$ and all $y \in F'$ (Foulser [1, §5.1]). From this it follows that $\lambda(h^d) \equiv d\lambda(h) = dk \pmod{d}$ implying $\lambda(h) = 0$. Since $\sigma > d$, h^d is not the identity and therefore $\langle h^d \rangle = H$. H is a subgroup of N_σ since $h \in N_i$ and $\lambda(h) = 0$ (Foulser [1, §5.1]). Thus in either case N_i contains a cyclic subgroup of order σ which is the unique subgroup of order σ contained in N_σ . Foulser [1, Lemma 5.2, p. 387] has shown that N_σ is the unique subgroup of order N_σ contained in $N_i \cap N_m$ except in the case $q^d = 9$ and $N_i \cap N_m$ contains three cyclic subgroups of order τ in the case $q^d = 9$. The last part of the Lemma is a direct consequence of the definition of a λ -system.

Collecting the results of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 we may state the following

THEOREM 2.1. *An arbitrary VW system $F(+, \cdot)$ of order q^d with kern $K = GF(q)$ of order $q = p^s$ where p is a prime, d and s are natural numbers ($q \neq 2$, if $d = 6$) is a λ -system if, and only if, the group $N_i \cap N_m$ contains a cyclic subgroup $G = \langle g \rangle$ of order τ with the property $x \cdot g = g^{t(x)} \cdot x$ for all $x \in F'$, where $t(x) \equiv q^{\mu(x)} \pmod{\tau}$ for some mapping $\mu: F' \rightarrow I_d$ (integers modulo d). If $q^d \neq 3^2$, the subgroup G is the unique cyclic subgroup of order τ contained in $N_i \cap N_m$.*

3. Let $F(+, \cdot)$ and $F_1(+, \circ)$ be two VW systems. Let R be a 1-1 additive mapping from F onto F_1 , and $a, b \in F'$. If $(x \cdot y)R = (x \cdot a)R \circ (b \cdot y)R$ for all $x, y \in F$, then (R, a, b) is said to be an isotopism of $F(+, \cdot)$ onto $F_1(+, \circ)$. If $\hat{x}R \circ (x \cdot y)R = (b \cdot y)R$ for all $x, y \in F'$, where $x \cdot \hat{x} = b \cdot a$, then (R, a, b) is said to be an anti-isotopism from $F(+, \cdot)$ onto $F_1(+, \circ)$. The proof of the following lemma may be found in Foulser [1].

LEMMA 3.1. *Let (R, a, b) be an isotopism (or anti-isotopism) from $F(+, \cdot)$ onto $F_1(+, \circ)$. Let N_i and N_m be left and middle nuclei respectively of $F(+, \cdot)$, N_{1i} and N_{1m} be left and middle nuclei respectively of $F_1(+, \circ)$. Then (R, a, b) induces the following isomorphisms:*

- (i) $\sigma_i: x \rightarrow (x \cdot b \cdot a)R$ for all $x \in N_i$, σ_i is an isomorphism from N_i onto N_{1i} (or N_{1m}),
- (ii) $\sigma_m: x \rightarrow (b \cdot x \cdot a)R$ for all $x \in N_m$, σ_m is an isomorphism from N_m onto N_{1m} (or N_{1i}).

In what follows, let $F(+, \cdot)$ be a λ -system of order q^d with kern $GF(q)$ and $F_1(+, \circ)$ be an isotope (or an anti-isotope) of $F(+, \cdot)$ under (R, a, b) .

LEMMA 3.2. *The mapping σ_t maps $N_i \cap N_m$ onto $N_{1i} \cap N_{1m}$ isomorphically.*

PROOF. Let $x \in N_i \cap N_m$. Then $x\sigma \in N_{1i(m)}$ by Lemma 3.1. It may be easily verified that $x \cdot b = b \cdot x^t$ where $t \equiv q^{d-\lambda(b)} \pmod{\tau}$ and $x^t \in N_m$. $\cdot x\sigma_i = (x \cdot b \cdot a)R = (b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R = x^t\sigma_m \in N_{1m(i)}$ by Lemma 3.1. It then follows that $x\sigma_i \in N_{1i} \cap N_{1m}$. Obviously σ_i is an isomorphism from $N_i \cap N_m$ onto $N_{1i} \cap N_{1m}$.

LEMMA 3.3. *$N_{1i} \cap N_{1m}$ contains a cyclic subgroup G_1 of order τ .*

PROOF. $N_i \cap N_m$ contains a cyclic subgroup G of order τ by Theorem 2.1. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $G\sigma_i$ is a desired cyclic subgroup of $N_{1i} \cap N_{1m}$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let (R, a, b) be an isotopism and $z \in F'$, $x \in N_i \cap N_m$. Then $((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R \circ (x \cdot b \cdot a)R = (b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R \circ ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R$ where $t \equiv q^{t_1} \pmod{\tau}$, with $t_1 = \lambda(b \cdot z) - \lambda(b)$.*

PROOF.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} ((b \cdot z) \cdot x)R &= ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R \circ (b \cdot x)R \\ &= ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R \circ ((b \cdot x \cdot a)R) \circ (b)R. \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation gives $(b \cdot z) \cdot x = x^m \cdot (b \cdot z) = (x^m \cdot b) \cdot z = b \cdot x^t \cdot z$ where $m = q^{\lambda(b \cdot z)}$, $t \equiv q^{t_1} \pmod{\tau}$ with $t_1 = \lambda(b \cdot z) - \lambda(b) \pmod{d}$. We then have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} ((b \cdot z) \cdot x)R &= (b \cdot x^t \cdot z)R = (b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R \circ (b \cdot z)R \\ &= ((b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R) \circ ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R \circ (b)R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), it follows that

$$((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R \circ (x \cdot b \cdot a)R = (b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R \circ ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R.$$

LEMMA 3.5. *Let (R, a, b) be an isotopism and $y \in N_{1i} \cap N_{1m}$ and $u \in F'$. Then $u \circ y = y^t \circ u$ with $l \equiv q^{\mu(u)} \pmod{\tau}$ where $\mu(u)$ is a mapping from F' into I_d .*

PROOF. $(b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R = (x^t \cdot q^{\lambda(b)} \cdot b \cdot a)R = ((x \cdot b \cdot a)R)^m$ where $m = t \cdot q^{\lambda(b)} = q^{t_1 + \lambda(b)} = q^{\lambda(b \cdot z)}$ since σ_i is an isomorphism. Let $u = ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R$, $(x \cdot b \cdot a)R = y$. From Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad u \circ y = y^t \circ u \quad \text{where} \quad l = q^{\lambda(b \cdot z)} = q^{\mu(u)}.$$

Since the mapping R is 1-1 and onto, by letting z range over F' and x range over $N_i \cap N_m$, we obtain that (3.3) is true for arbitrary $u \in F'$ and arbitrary $y \in N_{1i} \cap N_{1m}$. Hence the lemma.

THEOREM 3.1. *An isotopic image of a λ -system is a λ -system.*

PROOF. Let $F(+, \cdot)$ be a λ -system and $F_1(+, \circ)$ is an isotopic image of $F(+, \cdot)$ under (R, a, b) . From Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, it follows that the group $N_{1l} \cap N_{1m}$ contains a cyclic subgroup G_1 of order τ satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.1. Hence the theorem.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let (R, a, b) be an anti-isotopism and $z \in F'$, $x \in N_l \cap N_m$. Then $((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R \circ (x \cdot b \cdot a)R = (b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R \circ ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R$, where $t \equiv q^{t_1} \pmod{\tau}$ with $t_1 = -\lambda(u) - \lambda(b) \pmod{\alpha}$ where u is the solution of the equation $u \cdot ((b \cdot u) \cdot a) = b \cdot a$.*

PROOF. Since (R, a, b) is an anti-isotopism we have

$$(3.4) \quad \hat{x}R \circ (x \cdot y)R = (b \cdot y)R \quad \text{for all } x, y \in F' \text{ where } x \cdot \hat{x} = b \cdot a.$$

From (3.4) and the relations $\hat{a} = ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)$, $u \cdot v = x \cdot b \cdot a$, and $u \cdot \hat{a} = b \cdot a$ we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R \circ (x \cdot b \cdot a)R = (b \cdot x^{q^{d-\lambda(u)}} \cdot ((b \cdot z) \cdot a))R$$

where $u \cdot ((b \cdot z) \cdot a) = b \cdot a$. Similarly (4.15) and the relations $\hat{w} = b \cdot x^t \cdot a$, $w \cdot e = (b \cdot z) \cdot a$, and $w \cdot \hat{w} = b \cdot a$ imply

$$(3.6) \quad (b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R \circ ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R = (b \cdot x^{q^{d-\lambda(u)}} \cdot ((z \cdot b) \cdot a))R$$

where $u \cdot ((z \cdot b) \cdot a) = b \cdot a$. The lemma follows from (3.5) and (3.6).

LEMMA 3.7. *Let (R, a, b) be an anti-isotopism and $y \in N_{1l} \cap N_{1m}$ and $w \in F'_1$. Then $w \circ y = y^l \circ w$ with $l \equiv q^{\mu(w)} \pmod{\tau}$, where $\mu(w)$ is a mapping from F'_1 into I_d .*

PROOF: Since σ_l is an isomorphism from N_l onto N_m , it follows that $(b \cdot x^t \cdot a)R = (x^t \cdot q^{\lambda(b)} \cdot b \cdot a)R = ((x \cdot b \cdot a)R)^m$, where $m \equiv t \cdot q^{\lambda(b)} \pmod{\tau}$. Let $w = ((b \cdot z) \cdot a)R$ and $y = (x \cdot b \cdot a)R$ where $z \in F'$ and $x \in N_l \cap N_m$. Then from Lemma 3.6 we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad w \circ y = y^l \circ w \quad \text{where } l \equiv q^{d-\lambda(u)} = q^{\mu(w)}.$$

Since the mapping is 1-1 onto, by letting z range over F' and x over $N_l \cap N_m$, we obtain that (3.7) is true for arbitrary $u \in F'$ and arbitrary $y \in N_{1l} \cap N_{1m}$. Hence the lemma.

THEOREM 3.2. *An anti-isotopic image of a λ -system is a λ -system.*

The proof follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.7 and Theorem 2.1.

REFERENCES

1. D. A. Foulser, *A generalization of André's systems*, Math. Z. 100 (1967), 380-395. MR 37 #3436.
2. M. L. Narayana Rao, *A question on finite Moufang Veblen Wedderburn systems*, J. Algebra 13 (1969).
3. M. L. Narayana Rao, D. J. Rodabaugh, F. W. Wilke and J. L. Zemmer, *A new class of finite translation planes obtained from the exceptional near-fields*, J. Combinatorial Theory (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI