

## SHORTER NOTES

The purpose of this department is to publish very short papers of an unusually elegant and polished character, for which there is no other outlet.

### SIMPLICIAL AND PIECEWISE LINEAR COLLAPSIBILITY

MARSHALL M. COHEN<sup>1</sup>

We give a new proof of the following theorem. (The terminology is that of [2].)

*If  $K$  and  $L$  are finite simplicial complexes such that  $|K| \searrow |L|$  then there are subdivisions  $K_\star$  and  $L_\star$  such that  $K_\star \searrow L_\star$ .*

Using this paper and [1] the foundations of p.l. topology can be presented without stellar subdivision.

**LEMMA.** *If  $L < K$  and if there is a simplicial retraction  $p: K \rightarrow L$  such that each nondegenerate point inverse is an arc then  $K \searrow L$ . (Note. " $<$ " means "is a subcomplex of." All complexes are finite.)*

**PROOF OF THE LEMMA.** We proceed by induction on the number of simplexes  $A$  of  $L$  such that  $f^{-1}(\hat{A}) \neq \hat{A}$ . ( $\hat{A}$  denotes the barycenter of  $A$ .) Let  $A = A^n$  be of highest possible dimension among such simplexes. Then  $f^{-1}(\hat{A})$  is an arc of the form  $[a_0 a_1] \cup \dots \cup [a_{q-1} a_q]$ , where  $[a_{i-1} a_i] = f^{-1}(\hat{A}) \cap B_i$  for some  $(n+1)$ -simplex  $B_i$  of  $K$  and where  $a_{i-1}, a_i$  lie on the  $n$ -dimensional faces  $A_{i-1}, A_i$  of  $B_i$ . For some index, say  $j$ ,  $\hat{A} = a_j$ . Then the arc traces out the *simplicial collapse*

$$\begin{aligned} K \searrow K - (\dot{A}_0 \cup \dot{B}_1) \searrow \dots \searrow K - \bigcup_{i=0}^j (\dot{A}_{i-1} \cup \dot{B}_i) \\ \searrow K - \bigcup_{i=0}^j (\dot{A}_{i-1} \cup \dot{B}_i) - \bigcup_{i=0}^{q-j-1} (\dot{A}_{q-i} \cup \dot{B}_{q-i}) \\ = (K - p^{-1}(\hat{A})) \cup \hat{A}. \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of  $p$  to the latter complex satisfies the induction hypothesis, so this complex collapses simplicially to  $L$ .

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** Suppose that  $|K| \searrow |L|$  by the elementary collapses

Received by the editors June 20, 1969.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by an NSF Grant at the Institute for Advanced Study.

$$|K| = |K_0| \searrow |K_1| \searrow \cdots \searrow |K_q| = |L|.$$

We may assume (by subdividing the  $K_i$  if necessary) that  $K_{i+1} < K_i$  for all  $i$ . Let  $K_i = K_{i+1} \cup B_i$  and  $K_{i+1} \cap B_i = A_i$ , where  $B_i$  is a ball and  $A_i$  is a face of  $B_i$ . By Newman's Theorem [1],  $(B_i, A_i) \approx (I^{n_i} \times I, I^{n_i} \times 0)$ . Hence there is a p.l. retraction  $p_i: K_{i+1} \rightarrow K_i$  such that  $p_i^{-1}(x)$  is a point or an arc for each  $x \in |K_i|$ . Choose [2, Theorem 1], subdivisions  $S_i(K_i)$  so that all the maps  $p_i: S_{i+1}(K_{i+1}) \rightarrow S_i(K_i)$  are simultaneously simplicial. Since  $K_i < K_{i+1}$ ,  $S_{i+1}(K_i)$  is a well-defined subcomplex of  $S_{i+1}(K_{i+1})$ . Since  $p_i|_{S_{i+1}(K_i)} = 1$ ,  $S_{i+1}(K_i) = S_i(K_i)$ . Thus the lemma applies to each  $p_i: S_{i+1}(K_{i+1}) \rightarrow S_i(K_i)$  and we have

$$K_* \equiv S_0(K_0) \xrightarrow{S} S_1(K_1) \xrightarrow{S} \cdots \xrightarrow{S} S_q(K_q) \equiv L_*.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Cohen, *A proof of Newman's theorem*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **64** (1968), 961-963.
2. E. C. Zeeman, *Seminar on combinatorial topology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Paris, 1963 (mimeographed).

CORNELL UNIVERSITY