## A DIRECT PROOF THAT A LINEARLY ORDERED SPACE IS HEREDITARILY COLLECTIONWISE NORMAL<sup>1</sup>

## LYNN A. STEEN

Although it appears well known that a linearly ordered space is completely normal (=hereditarily normal), most available proofs (in, for instance, [1] and [2]) are very indirect. In this paper we present a direct proof of a stronger theorem, namely that the interval topology is hereditarily collectionwise normal.<sup>2</sup>

If X is linearly ordered, we will call a set  $S \subset X$  convex if  $a, b \in S$  and a < t < b implies  $t \in S$ . The union of any collection of convex sets with nonempty intersection is convex, so any subset S of X can be uniquely expressed as a union of disjoint maximal convex sets called convex components. Clearly every interval in X is convex but not conversely, and we will, as usual, denote intervals by (a, b), (a, b], [a, b), or [a, b]. In what follows, X will denote a linearly ordered space, i.e., a linearly ordered set endowed with the usual open interval topology.

Suppose  $\{A_i\}$  is a discrete family of subsets of X. Let

$$A_i^* = \bigcup \{ [a, b] \mid a, b \in A_i, [a, b] \cap A_j = \emptyset \quad \forall j \neq i \}.$$

Then  $A_i \subset A_i^*$ , and  $A_i^* \cap A_j^* = \emptyset$  whenever  $i \neq j$ ; in fact, the family  $\{A_i^*\}$  is discrete. To prove this, we select for each  $x \in X$  a neighborhood  $I_x$  which intersects at most one of the sets  $A_i$ . If  $I_x$  meets exactly one element of  $\{A_i\}$ , say  $A_k$ , and if x is not an endpoint of X, we can take  $I_x$  to be an interval (s, t). Then if  $i \neq k$ , (s, t) may intersect  $A_i^*$  only if it intersects some interval [a, b] where  $a, b \in A_i$ . But since  $(s, t) \cap A_i = \emptyset$  and  $a, b \in A_i$ , then  $(s, t) \subset (a, b)$  which would imply that  $A_k \cap A_i^* \neq \emptyset$ . But this is impossible if  $i \neq k$ , so in this case  $I_x$  can intersect at most one of the sets  $A_i^*$ . Other cases are treated analogously, so  $\{A_i^*\}$  (and consequently  $cl(A_i^*)$ ) is discrete.

If we now write each  $A_i^*$  and  $(\bigcup_i A_i^*)'$  as the union of convex components,  $A_i^* = \bigcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^t$ , and  $(\bigcup_i A_i^*)' = \bigcup_{\gamma} C_{\gamma}$ , the collection  $M = \{A_{\alpha}^t, C_{\gamma}\}$  inherits a linear order from X and is thus itself a linearly ordered set. We claim that in the ordered set M, each of the sets  $A_{\alpha}^t$  has an immediate successor whenever  $A_{\alpha}^t$  intersects the closure of  $S_{\alpha}^t$ , the set of strict upper bounds for  $A_{\alpha}^t$ . For suppose  $A_{\alpha}^t \cap S_{\alpha}^t \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $A_{\alpha}^t \cap \operatorname{cl}(S_{\alpha}^t)$ 

Received by the editors December 2, 1968.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was partially supported by the Research Corporation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The author wishes to thank the referee for several clarifying suggestions.

728 L. A. STEEN

contains precisely one point, say p, every neighborhood of which intersects  $A_i^*$ . Thus since  $\operatorname{cl}(A_i^*)$  is discrete, there exists a neighborhood (x, y) of p disjoint from  $\bigcup_{j \neq i} \operatorname{cl}(A_j^*)$ . Then  $(x, y) \cap S_{\alpha}^i \neq \emptyset$ , so  $(p, y) \neq \emptyset$ . But the definition of  $A_i^*$  insures that (p, y) is disjoint from both  $A_i^*$  and  $\bigcup_{i \neq j} A_j^*$ , so there must exist some set  $C_{\gamma}$  containing (p, y). In the linear order on M,  $C_{\gamma}$  is the immediate successor to  $A_{\alpha}^i$ , and we will call it  $C_{\alpha}^{i+}$ .

For each  $\gamma$ , select and fix some point  $k_{\gamma} \in C_{\gamma}$ . Then whenever  $A^{i}_{\alpha} \cap \operatorname{cl}(S^{i}_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset)$ , there exists a unique  $k^{i+}_{\alpha} \in C^{i+}_{\alpha}$ , the immediate successor of  $A^{i}_{\alpha}$ . In such cases, let  $I_{\alpha} = [p, k^{i+}_{\alpha})$  where  $p \in A^{i}_{\alpha} \cap \operatorname{cl}(S^{i}_{\alpha})$ ; otherwise, if  $A^{i}_{\alpha} \cap \operatorname{cl}(S^{i}_{\alpha} = \emptyset)$ , let  $I^{i}_{\alpha} = \emptyset$ . Define  $J^{i}_{\alpha}$  similarly for the strict lower bounds of  $A^{i}_{\alpha}$  (using the same collection of points  $k_{\gamma} \in C_{\gamma}$ ). Then for each  $\alpha$  and each i, let  $U^{i}_{\alpha} = J^{i}_{\alpha} \cup A^{i}_{\alpha} \cup I^{i}_{\alpha}$ . Each  $U^{i}_{\alpha}$  is clearly an open set containing  $A^{i}_{\alpha}$ , so  $U_{i} = \bigcup_{\alpha} U^{i}_{\alpha}$  is an open set containing  $A^{i}_{\alpha}$ . Since no  $A^{i}_{\alpha}$  intersects any  $A^{i}_{\beta}$  for  $i \neq j$ , and since the use of the same  $k_{\gamma}$  throughout implies that no  $J^{i}_{\alpha}$  or  $I^{i}_{\alpha}$  may intersect any  $J^{i}_{\beta}$  or  $I^{i}_{\beta}$ , it is clear that no  $U^{i}_{\alpha}$  can intersect any  $U^{i}_{\beta}$  for  $i \neq j$ . Thus  $U_{i} \cap U_{j} = \emptyset$  whenever  $i \neq i$ , and hence X is collectionwise normal.

Now every subspace of X inherits both a topology as well as a linear order; these need not be compatible, even for open subspaces. (The open subspace  $\{\alpha+1 \mid \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal}\}$  of the linearly ordered ordinal space  $\{\gamma \mid \gamma < \Omega\}$  inherits the discrete topology but is of the same order type as the countable ordinals.) However, the two structures are compatible on convex subspaces of X, whence convex subspaces of X are collectionwise normal. Therefore any open subset of X—being the disjoint union of open collectionwise normal subspaces (namely its convex components)—is collectionwise normal. This suffices to prove that every subset S of X is collectionwise normal, since if  $\{A_i\}$  is a discrete family in S, then each point  $s \in S$  has a neighborhood  $U_i \cap S$  which meets at most one of the sets  $A_i$ . But then  $U = \bigcup_s U_s$  is an open set with the same property, and since U is collectionwise normal, so must be S. Hence X is hereditarily collectionwise normal.

That X is completely normal (i.e., hereditarily normal) follows as a corollary. But it also may be proved more directly by a slight modification of the proof that X is collectionwise normal.

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. Čech, Topological spaces, Publ. House Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague, 1959; English Transl., Wiley, New York, 1966. MR 21 #2962; MR 35 #2254.
  - 2. S. Gaal, Point set topology, Academic Press, New York, 1964. MR 30 #1484.