

# ON THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF LINEAR SYSTEMS

PHIL LOCKE

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the vector-matrix system  $\dot{x} = [A(t) + B(t)]x$  to have solutions of the form  $Y(t)c(t)$  where  $Y(t)$  is a fundamental matrix of solutions of  $\dot{y} = A(t)y$ .

Consider the linear systems

$$(1) \quad \dot{y} = A(t)y,$$
$$(2) \quad \dot{x} = [A(t) + B(t)]x,$$

where  $x, y$  are  $n$ -vectors and  $A(t), B(t)$  are continuous  $n \times n$  matrices on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Let  $Y(t)$  be a fundamental matrix of solutions of (1) and put  $Z(t) = Y^{-1}(t)B(t)Y(t)$ . Let  $\| \cdot \|$  denote any appropriate vector-matrix norm. We establish the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Given an arbitrary constant  $n$ -vector  $c$ , equation (2) has a unique solution  $x(t)$  of the form*

$$(3) \quad x(t) = Y(t)c(t),$$

where  $c(t)$  is an  $n$ -vector function satisfying

$$(4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c(t) = c, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|\dot{c}(t)\| dt < \infty$$

if and only if

$$(5) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|Z(t)\| dt < \infty.$$

**REMARK.** The sufficiency of condition (5) has been established by Bebernes and Vinh [1]. (Bebernes and Vinh do not mention that  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|\dot{c}(t)\| dt < \infty$ , but it follows readily from their proof.) Our proof of the sufficiency of condition (5), while similar to theirs, differs in several significant details and is therefore included below.

**PROOF.** First assume that (5) holds. Let  $c$  be a given  $n$ -vector. Let  $X(t)$  be a fundamental matrix solution of (2) and let the matrix  $C(t)$  be defined by the "variation of parameter" equation  $X(t) = Y(t)C(t)$ . It is easily shown that  $C(t)$  satisfies

---

Received by the editors September 11, 1969.

*AMS Subject Classifications.* Primary 3420, 3450.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Asymptotic behavior, linear system, fundamental matrix, variation of parameter, Gronwall inequality, Liouville's theorem, Wronskian.

$$(6) \quad \dot{C}(t) = Z(t)C(t).$$

Integrating and applying Gronwall's inequality, it follows that  $\|C(t)\|$  is bounded on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Hence (5) and (6) imply that  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|\dot{C}(t)\| dt < \infty$ . Hence  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \dot{C}(t) dt$  converges, whence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} C(t)$  exists. Denote this limit by  $C$ . By Liouville's theorem

$$\det C(t) = \det C(t_0) \exp \int_{t_0}^t \text{trace } Z(s) ds.$$

Certainly  $\det C(t_0) \neq 0$ ; by (5) we can let  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , concluding that  $\det C \neq 0$ . Take  $c(t) = C(t)C^{-1}c$ ,  $x(t) = X(t)C^{-1}c$ . The uniqueness of  $c(t)$  is readily established.

Conversely, suppose that given any  $n$ -vector  $c$ , (2) has a (unique) solution  $x(t)$  of the form (3) satisfying (4). Thus, in particular, given a nonsingular square matrix  $C$ , (2) has a (fundamental) matrix solution  $X(t)$  of the form  $X(t) = Y(t)C(t)$  where  $C(t)$  satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} C(t) = C$ ,  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|\dot{C}(t)\| dt < \infty$ . Since  $C(t)$  must satisfy (6) and since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \det C(t) = \det C \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\det C(t) \neq 0$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} C^{-1}(t) = C^{-1}$ , whence  $\|C^{-1}(t)\|$  is bounded on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Since  $Z(t) = \dot{C}(t)C^{-1}(t)$ , it follows that condition (5) holds. This completes the proof.

Related results for scalar equations may be obtained as corollaries. In particular, consider the  $n$ th-order linear scalar equations

$$(1^*) \quad v^{(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(t)v^{(j)},$$

$$(2^*) \quad u^{(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [a_j(t) + b_j(t)]u^{(j)},$$

where the coefficient functions are continuous on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Let  $v_1(t), \dots, v_n(t)$  be a fundamental set of solutions of (1\*). Let  $W(t)$  denote their Wronskian. Let  $W_k(t)$  ( $k=1, \dots, n$ ) be the determinant obtained by replacing all elements in the  $k$ th column of  $W(t)$  by zero except the element in the  $n$ th row which is replaced by 1. Let

$$M(t) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j(t)v_k^{(j)}(t) \right|.$$

We have the following result.

COROLLARY. *Assume*

$$(7) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |a_{n-1}(t)| dt < \infty.$$

Given arbitrary constants  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , equation (2\*) has a unique solution  $u(t)$  of the form

$$(3^*) \quad u^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k(t) v_k^{(j)}(t), \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

satisfying

$$(4^*) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c_k(t) = c_k, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |\dot{c}_k(t)| dt < \infty$$

if and only if

$$(5^*) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |W_k(t)| M(t) dt < \infty, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

PROOF. Equations (1\*) and (2\*) are easily seen to be equivalent, respectively, to linear systems of the form (1) and (2) with

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_0(t) & a_1(t) & \cdots & a_{n-1}(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_0(t) & b_1(t) & \cdots & b_{n-1}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Condition (5) is found to be equivalent to  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} (|W_k(t)|/|W(t)|) M(t) dt < \infty$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Liouville's theorem, together with (7), implies that the above condition is equivalent to (5\*).

REMARK. The above corollary sharpens a result of Katz [2] who assumes that  $a_{n-1}(t) \equiv b_{n-1}(t) \equiv 0$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ , a stronger requirement than (7).

#### REFERENCES

1. J. W. Bebernes and N. X. Vinh, *On the asymptotic behavior of linear differential equations*, Amer. Math. Monthly **72** (1965), 285-287. MR 31 #6011.
2. I. N. Katz, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to some  $n$ th order linear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 657-662.