

# A NONEMBEDDING THEOREM FOR FINITE GROUPS

ERNEST L. STITZINGER

ABSTRACT. Let  $N$  be the class of nilpotent groups with the following properties:

- (1) The center of  $N$ ,  $Z_1(N)$  is of prime order.
- (2) There exists an abelian characteristic subgroup  $A$  of  $N$  such that  $Z_1(N) \subset A \subseteq Z_2(N)$  where  $Z_2(N)$  is the second term in the upper central series of  $N$ .

The main result shown is the following: If  $N \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $N$  cannot be an invariant subgroup contained in the Frattini subgroup of a finite group.

Hobby has shown in [2] that a nonabelian group whose center is cyclic can not be the Frattini subgroup of any  $p$ -group. Chao in [1] has shown that a nonabelian group whose center is of prime order cannot be embedded in the derived group of any nilpotent group. The result obtained here is of a similar nature. All groups considered here are finite.

DEFINITION. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be the class of nilpotent groups  $N$  which have the following properties:

- (1) The center of  $N$ ,  $Z_1(N)$ , is of prime order.
- (2) There exists an abelian characteristic subgroup  $A$  of  $N$  such that  $Z_1(N) \subset A \subseteq Z_2(N)$  where  $Z_2(N)$  is the second term in the upper central series of  $N$ .

If  $N$  is an arbitrary group and  $N'$  is the derived group of  $N$ , then  $N' \cap Z_2(N)$  is an abelian characteristic subgroup of  $N$ . If  $N$  is nilpotent and  $N' \cap Z_2(N) \subseteq Z_1(N)$ , then  $N' \subseteq Z_1(N)$  and  $N$  has nilpotent length 1 or 2. Hence if  $N$  has nilpotent length greater than 2 and  $Z_1(N)$  is of prime order, then  $N \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

The main result shown here is the following

THEOREM. *If  $N \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $N$  can not be an invariant subgroup contained in the Frattini subgroup of any group.*

Clearly if the center of  $N$  is of prime order, then each term in the upper central series of  $N$  is a  $p$ -group. Furthermore  $Z_2(N)/Z_1(N)$  is elementary abelian. If  $N \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $A$  is as in the definition, then  $\bar{A} = A/Z_1(N)$  is elementary abelian and is therefore the direct product of cyclic groups of order  $p$ , denoted by  ${}_1C_p, \dots, {}_kC_p$ . In the

---

Received by the editors September 22, 1969.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 2040, 2025.

Key Words and Phrases. Frattini subgroup.

natural way,  $\overline{A}$  will be considered as a  $k$ -dimensional vector space over  $F_p$ , the field of  $p$  elements. We denote by  $\eta$  the natural mapping from  $A$  onto  $\overline{A}$  and by  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  the group of automorphisms of  $A$  induced by elements of  $N$ . If  $N$  is invariant in  $G$ , then it will be shown that  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  has a complement in  $\text{Inn}_A(G)$ .

For notational convenience let  $Z = Z_1(N)$ . Let  $S = \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(A); \sigma(a)a^{-1} \in Z \text{ for all } a \in A, \sigma(z) = z \text{ for all } z \in Z\}$ .  $S$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(A)$  and  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  is a subgroup of  $S$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *The set  $S$  with operations of composition and scalar multiplication defined by  $r\rho = \rho^r$  for  $r \in F_p$  and  $\rho \in S$  is a vector space over  $F_p$  and  $\dim S = \dim \overline{A}$ .  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  is a subspace of  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $\sigma \in S$ , let  $f_\sigma$  be the mapping from  $\overline{A}$  into  $Z$  defined by  $f_\sigma(\bar{a}) = \sigma(a)a^{-1}$  where  $a \in A$  such that  $\eta(a) = \bar{a}$ . It is easily verified that  $f_\sigma(\bar{a})$  is independent of the choice of  $a$  and that  $f_\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{F_p}(\overline{A}, Z)$ . For  $f \in \text{Hom}_{F_p}(\overline{A}, Z)$ , let  $\sigma_f$  be the mapping from  $A$  into  $A$  defined by  $\sigma_f(a) = f(\bar{a})a$  where  $\bar{a} = \eta(a)$ . One verifies that  $\sigma_f \in S$ . Define  $\theta$  to be the mapping from  $S$  into  $\text{Hom}_{F_p}(\overline{A}, Z)$  defined by  $\theta(\sigma) = f_\sigma$  and  $\tau$  to be the mapping from  $\text{Hom}_{F_p}(\overline{A}, Z)$  into  $S$  defined by  $\tau(f) = \sigma_f$ . Then  $\theta$  is a vector space isomorphism with inverse  $\tau$ . Therefore  $S \cong \text{Hom}_{F_p}(\overline{A}, Z) = \overline{A}^* \cong \overline{A}$ , where  $\overline{A}^*$  is the dual of  $\overline{A}$ . Clearly  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  is a subspace of  $S$ .

**LEMMA 2.**  $\text{Inn}_A(N) = S$ .

**PROOF.** For  $n \in N$ , let  $\sigma_n$  be the automorphism of  $A$  induced by  $n$ . If  $\bar{a} \in \overline{A}$  is annihilated by  $\theta(\text{Inn}_A(N))$ , then  $f_{\sigma_n}(\bar{a}) = 1$  for all  $n \in N$ . Let  $a \in A$  such that  $\eta(a) = \bar{a}$ . Then  $nan^{-1}a^{-1} = 1$  for all  $n \in N$  and therefore  $a \in Z$ . Thus  $\bar{a}$  is the identity of  $\overline{A}$  and  $\text{Inn}_A(N) = S$ .

Let  $z$  be a generator of  $Z$ ,  $\bar{x}_i$  be a generator of  $C_p$  and  $x_i \in A$  such that  $\eta(x_i) = \bar{x}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . The ordering of the indices in the decomposition of  $\overline{A}$ , the choice of  $\bar{x}_i$  and  $x_i$  will be considered as fixed. The representation of  $a = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} z^s$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_k, s \in F_p$  is easily seen to be unique.

We now find a particular basis for  $S = \text{Inn}_A(N)$ . Define, for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , the mapping  $e_j$  from  $A$  into  $A$  by

$$e_j(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} z^s) = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} z^{(s+a_j)}.$$

Since each  $a \in A$  is uniquely expressible in the form indicated, each  $e_j$  is well defined. One can then show that the set  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  is a basis for  $S$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $N \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $N$  be invariant in  $G$ . Then  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  is complemented in  $\text{Inn}_A(G)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $M = \{B \in \text{Inn}_A(G) ; B(x_i) = x_1^{a_{1i}} \cdots x_k^{a_{ki}} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\}$  where  $a_{1i}, \dots, a_{ki} \in F_p\}$ . Since  $A$  is abelian,  $M$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Inn}_A(G)$ . Now let  $R \in \text{Inn}_A(G)$ . Then  $R(x_i) = x_1^{a_{1i}} \cdots x_k^{a_{ki}} z^{s_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $R(z) = z^s$ . Let  $t_i \in F_p$  such that  $s_i + t_i s = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $R e_1^{t_1} \cdots e_k^{t_k} e_1^{-t_1} \cdots e_k^{-t_k} = R$  and, using Lemma 2,  $R e_1^{t_1} \cdots e_k^{t_k} \in M$  and  $e^{-t_1} \cdots e^{-t_k} \in S$ . Hence  $\text{Inn}_A(G) = \text{Inn}_A(N) \cdot M$  and since  $M \cap \text{Inn}_A(N)$  clearly equals the identity automorphism of  $A$ ,  $M$  complements  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  in  $\text{Inn}_A(G)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM. Suppose  $N \in \mathfrak{X}$  and that  $G$  is a group such that  $N$  is invariant in  $G$  and  $N \subseteq \phi(G)$  where  $\phi(G)$  denotes the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $f$  be the homomorphism which assigns to each element of  $G$  the automorphism which it induces in  $A$ . Then

$$\text{Inn}_A(N) = f(N) \subseteq f(\phi(G)) \subseteq \phi(f(G)) = \phi(\text{Inn}_A(G)).$$

However, by Lemma 3,  $\text{Inn}_A(N)$  is complemented in  $\text{Inn}_A(G)$ . This contradiction establishes the result.

COROLLARY. *A nilpotent group of length greater than 2 whose center is of prime order cannot be an invariant subgroup contained in the Frattini subgroup of any group.*

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Y. Chao, *A theorem of nilpotent groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 959–960. MR **37** #5295.
2. C. Hobby, *The Frattini subgroup of a  $p$ -group*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 209–212. MR **22** #4780.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27607