

\$K_1\$ OF PROJECTIVE \$r\$-SPACE

LESLIE G. ROBERTS

ABSTRACT. Let \$A\$ be a commutative ring, and let \$X\$ = projective \$r\$-space over \$A\$. Then we prove that \$K_1\$ of the category of locally free sheaves of finite type on \$X\$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of \$r+1\$ copies of \$K_1(A)\$.

1. **Introduction.** Let \$A\$ be a commutative ring, and let \$X = P^r(A) = \text{Proj } A[T_0, \dots, T_r]\$, where \$T_i\$ are indeterminants. Let \$\mathcal{U}\$ be the category of locally free sheaves of finite type on \$X\$. The quasi-coherent \$\mathcal{O}_X\$-modules form an abelian category and \$\mathcal{U}\$ is an admissible subcategory. Then the groups \$K_0(\mathcal{U})\$ and \$K_1(\mathcal{U})\$ as well as the automorphism category \$\sum \mathcal{U}\$ are defined as in Chapter VIII of [1].

Let \$\mathcal{O}(A)\$ be the category of projective \$A\$-modules of finite type. Then there are group homomorphisms \$K_0(A) \xrightarrow{h} K_0(P^r(A))\$ induced by \$P \to P \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(n)\$, \$P \in \mathcal{O}(A)\$. It is proved in SGA 6, Exposé 6, Theorem 1.1 that the \$h_i\$, \$0 \le i \le r\$, set up an isomorphism \$\oplus_{i=0}^r K_0(A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{U})\$.

It is the aim of this paper to prove a corresponding result for \$K_1(\mathcal{U})\$. That is, the homomorphisms \$K_1(A) \xrightarrow{h} K_1(\mathcal{U})\$ induced by \$(P, \alpha) \to (P \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(n), \alpha \otimes 1)\$ set up an isomorphism \$\oplus_{i=0}^r K_1(A) \cong K_1(\mathcal{U})\$. (\$P \in \mathcal{O}(A)\$, \$\alpha \in \text{Aut}_A(P)\$.)

2. **Preliminaries.** The definitions and basic properties of \$\text{Proj}\$ can be found in [2, §2]. Some properties we will use are the following: Let \$f: X \to \text{Spec } A\$ be the structure morphism. Let \$B = A[T_0, \dots, T_r]\$, and let \$\mathfrak{B}\$ be the category of graded \$B\$-modules, the morphisms being \$B\$-linear maps of degree 0. Let \$\mathfrak{C}\$ be the category of quasi-coherent \$\mathcal{O}_X\$-modules. Then \$\sim\$ is an exact functor from \$\mathfrak{B}\$ to \$\mathfrak{C}\$, and the functor \$\Gamma_*: \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B}\$ is defined by \$\Gamma_*(F) = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, F(n))\$.

Then we have \$\sim \cdot \Gamma_* = 1_{\mathfrak{C}}\$. Furthermore \$(\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n) \sim\$ depends only on \$\oplus_{n \ge n_0} M_n\$, for any \$n_0\$. Also \$\mathcal{O}(n) = B(n) \sim\$, where \$B(n)_m = B_{m+n}\$.

If \$F \in \mathfrak{C}\$, \$P \in \mathcal{O}(A)\$, then by \$P \otimes_A F\$, I mean \$f^*(P) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} F\$. Then if \$M \in \mathfrak{B}\$, \$(P \otimes_A M) \sim = P \otimes_A M \sim\$.

We also have the following, which follow from Propositions 1.3 and 1.6 of SGA 6, Exposé 6 respectively:

THEOREM A. *If \$V\$ is a locally free \$\mathcal{O}_X\$-module of finite type, then \$\exists\$ an integer \$n_0\$ such that for \$n \ge n_0\$:*

Received by the editors February 6, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 14O5, 1455.

Key words and phrases. \$K_1\$, locally free sheaf, projective \$r\$-space.

- (i) $R^i f_*(V(n)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$,
- (ii) $f_*(V(n)) = \Gamma(V(n))$ is in $\mathcal{O}(A)$,
- (iii) $\bigoplus_{n \geq n_0} f_*(V(n)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq n_0} \Gamma(V(n))$ is a graded B -module of finite presentation.

THEOREM B. *Let M be a graded B -module of finite presentation, flat over A . Then there exists a resolution $0 \rightarrow L_{r+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where the L_i are graded projective B -modules of finite type.*

3. Proof that the h_i generate $K_1(\mathcal{V})$. Let $V \in \text{obj } \mathcal{V}$, and let α be an automorphism of V . Then by Theorem A, $\exists n_0$ such that $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq n_0} \Gamma(V(n))$ is a graded B -module of finite presentation. $\Gamma_*(\alpha)$ gives an automorphism of M in the category \mathcal{B} . By Theorem A (ii), $\Gamma(V(n))$ is a projective A -module of finite type. Hence Theorem B applies, to give a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow L'_{r+1} \rightarrow L'_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of M by graded projective B -modules of finite type.

Let $\mathcal{K}(B)$ be the category of (nongraded) B -modules that have a resolution of finite length by (nongraded) projective B -modules of finite type. L'_0 is projective in \mathcal{B} , so by Proposition 4.5(a), Chapter VIII of [1], α lifts to an automorphism α_0 (in \mathcal{B}) of $L_0 = L'_0 \oplus L'_0$. We then have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. $N_0 \in \mathcal{K}(B)$ by Proposition 6.3, Chapter III of [1]. Thus $(N_0, \alpha_0|_{N_0}) \in \sum \mathcal{B}$. There exists a graded projective B -module of finite type mapping onto N_0 , so we can repeat this process, to obtain a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow (L_{r+1}, \alpha_{r+1}) \rightarrow (L_r, \alpha_r) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (L_0, \alpha_0) \rightarrow (M, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

of (M, α) in $\sum \mathcal{B}$, where L_i is a graded projective B -module of finite type. We can terminate the resolution with L_{r+1} because Theorem B implies that M has homological dimension $\leq r+1$.

Let $I = (T_0, \dots, T_r) \subset B$. Then $L_i/IL_i = \bar{L}_i$ is a graded projective A -module of finite type, and by Proposition 3.3, Chapter XII of [1], $\bar{L}_i \otimes_A B \cong L_i$ as graded B -modules.

If we write $\bar{L}_i \cong \bigoplus_j \bar{L}_{ij}$, where \bar{L}_{ij} is of degree j , then $L_i \cong \bigoplus_j \bar{L}_{ij} \otimes_A B = \bigoplus_j L_{ij}$, where $L_{ij} = \bar{L}_{ij} \otimes_A B$.

If $j_1 < j_2$, there are no nonzero morphisms in \mathcal{B} from L_{ij_1} to L_{ij_2} , since such a morphism is determined by the image of $\bar{L}_{ij_1} \otimes_A A$, and the degree j_1 component of L_{ij_2} is zero. Therefore the matrix representing α_i in the above direct sum decomposition of L_i is upper triangular. Let α_{ij} be the diagonal entries of this matrix, where $\alpha_{ij}: L_{ij} \rightarrow L_{ij}$.

All \mathcal{B} -homomorphisms $\alpha_{ij}: L_{ij} \rightarrow L_{ij}$ are of the form $\bar{\alpha}_{ij} \otimes 1$, where $\bar{\alpha}_{ij} \in \text{Hom}_A(\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{ij})$.

If we apply the functor \sim we get a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow (\tilde{L}_{r+1}, \tilde{\alpha}_{r+1}) \rightarrow (\tilde{L}_r, \tilde{\alpha}_r) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (\tilde{L}_0, \tilde{\alpha}_0) \rightarrow (V, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

in $\sum \mathcal{V}$.

Thus $k_1(V, \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i k_1(\tilde{L}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i)$, where $k_1(V, \alpha)$ denotes the image in $K_1(\mathcal{V})$ of $(V, \alpha) \in \sum \mathcal{V}$.

Because the matrices for the $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ are triangular, we have

$$k_1(\tilde{L}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = \sum_j k_1(\tilde{L}_{ij}, \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}).$$

But $\tilde{L}_{ij} = \tilde{L}_{ij} \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(-j)$ (where \tilde{L}_{ij} is now regarded as being of degree 0 as an A -module). So

$$k_1(\tilde{L}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = \sum_j k_1(\tilde{L}_{ij} \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(-j), \tilde{\alpha}_{ij} \otimes 1).$$

Thus the images of $h_n: K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{V})$, where h_n is given by $h_n(M, \alpha) = (M \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(n), \alpha \otimes 1)$ generate $K_1(\mathcal{V})$ ($M \in \mathcal{P}(A)$).

4. Proof that the h_i , $0 \leq i \leq r$, generate $K_1(\mathcal{V})$. Since T_0, \dots, T_r form a B -sequence, the Koszul complex gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B^{(r+1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B^{(r+1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B^{r+1} \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

The maps in the sequence (except for the map $B \rightarrow A$) are of degree 1. If we shift the gradings so as to make the maps of degree 0, and apply the functor \sim , we get exact sequences in \mathcal{V}

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1)^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+2)^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(r+n+1) \rightarrow 0$$

for all n . If $k_1(A^m, \alpha)$ is an arbitrary element of $K_1(A)$, then we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (A^m \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(n), \alpha \otimes 1) &\rightarrow (A^m \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(n+1)^{r+1}, \alpha \otimes 1) \\ &\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (A^m \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(n+r+1), \alpha \otimes 1) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the relations

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i \binom{r+1}{i} h_{n+i}, \quad \forall n.$$

Thus the images of h_i , $0 \leq i \leq r$, generate $K_1(\mathcal{V})$. (The same relations hold in the K_0 -case, as is shown in SGA 6, Exposé 6.)

5. Independence of the h_i , $0 \leq i \leq r$. Every element in $K_1(A)$ is of the form $k_1(A^r, \alpha)$, hence every element in $h_i K_1(A)$ is of the form $k_1(\mathcal{O}(i)^r, \alpha_i \otimes 1)$. Suppose we have a relation in $K_1(\mathcal{V})$ of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^r h_i(x_i) = \sum_{i=0}^r k_1(\Theta(i)^{r_i}, \alpha_i \otimes 1) = 0$$

where $x_i = k_1(A^{r_i}, \alpha_i) \in K_1(A)$, $\alpha_i \in \text{Aut}_A(A^{r_i})$.

This can be written in the form $K_1(F, \alpha) = 0$ where $F = \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \Theta(i)^{r_i}$, $\alpha = \bigoplus (\alpha_i \otimes 1)$.

Then we also have $\sum_{i=0}^r k_1(\Theta(i+n)^{r_i}, \alpha_i \otimes_A 1_{\Theta(i+n)}) = 0$ for all n .

$k_1(F, \alpha) = 0$ means that there exist a finite number of exact sequences

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow (G_i, \gamma_i) \rightarrow (H_i, \delta_i) \rightarrow (I_i, \sigma_i) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow (G'_j, \gamma'_j) \rightarrow (H'_j, \delta'_j) \rightarrow (I'_j, \sigma'_j) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\sum \mathcal{U}$, and objects M_i, N_j with automorphisms $\alpha_i, \beta_i; \alpha'_j, \beta'_j$ respectively, such that in the free abelian group on isomorphism classes of objects in $\sum \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F, \alpha) &= \sum_i [(G_i, \gamma_i) + (I_i, \sigma_i) - (H_i, \delta_i)] \\ &\quad + \sum_j [(H'_j, \delta'_j) - (G'_j, \gamma'_j) - (I'_j, \sigma'_j)] \\ &\quad + \sum_i [(M_i, \alpha_i \beta_i) - (M_i, \alpha_i) - (M_i, \beta_i)] \\ &\quad + \sum_j [(N_j, \alpha'_j) + (N_j, \beta'_j) - (N_j, \alpha'_j \beta'_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding relations are obtained for $(F(n), \alpha(n))$ by tensoring with $\Theta(n)$.

By Theorem A (i), there exists an n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$, all the exact sequences (1) (after tensoring with $\Theta(n)$) remain exact after applying the functor f_* .

Hence for $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$k_1(f_* F(n), f_* \alpha(n)) = 0 \quad \text{in } K_1(A).$$

But

$$f_*(\Theta(i)^{r_i}, \alpha_i \otimes 1_{\Theta(i)}) = (A^{r_i}, \alpha_i) \otimes_A f_*(\Theta(i)) = (A^{r_i}, \alpha_i) \otimes_A A^{r_i},$$

where $\sigma_i = \text{rank over } A \text{ of the } i\text{th graded component of } B$. Thus we have relations in $K_1(A)$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^r \sigma_{n+i} x_i = 0, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{where } x_i = k_1(A^{r_i}, \alpha_i) \in K_1(A).$$

The rest of the proof is now identical with that in SGA 6, Exposé 6,

for the K_0 case. We have:

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i \binom{r+1}{i} \sigma_{p-i} = 0, \quad p \geq 1,$$

where $\sigma_k = 0$ by definition, if $k < 0$. This follows from the exactness of the Koszul complex. Using (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i \binom{r+1}{i} \sigma_{n_0+r+j-i} \right) x_j \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i \binom{r+1}{i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \sigma_{n_0+r+j-i} x_j \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $i \neq r+1$, $n_0+r-i \geq n_0$, so by (2) we have $\sum_{j=0}^r \sigma_{n_0+r+j-i} x_j = 0$.

We are left with, for $i = r+1$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \sigma_{n_0-1+j} x_j = 0.$$

This is (2) for $n = n_0 - 1$. We can continue down by induction to $n = -r$, yielding $\sigma_0 x_r = 0$. But $\sigma_0 = 1$, so $x_r = 0$.

For $n = -r+1$, (2) says $\sigma_0 x_{r-1} + \sigma_1 x_r = 0$. $\therefore x_{r-1} = 0$.

In a similar way we get all the $x_i = 0$. Thus the relation $\sum_{i=0}^r h_i(x_i) = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq r$. Thus we have proved:

THEOREM. *Let A be a commutative ring, and \mathcal{U} the category of locally free sheaves on $\text{Pr}(A)$. Then the homomorphisms $h_i: K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{U})$ induced by $(P^r, \alpha) \rightarrow (P \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(n), \alpha \otimes 1)$ satisfy the relations*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i \binom{r+1}{i} h_{i+n} = 0$$

for all n , and h_0, \dots, h_r set up an isomorphism $\bigoplus_{i=0}^r K_1(A) \cong K_1(\mathcal{U})$.

6. Further remarks. (1) The result for K_0 is proved in SGA 6, Exposé 6, with more general schemes in place of $\text{Spec } A$, but I do not know how to give the proof for K_1 , except with an affine base scheme.

(2) $K_1(A)$, $K_0(\mathcal{U})$ and $K_1(\mathcal{U})$ are all $K_0(A)$ -modules, and the theorem can be stated in the form

$$K_0(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{K_0(A)} K_1(A) \simeq K_1(\mathcal{U}).$$

In this form it generalizes the result of [4] for the case of projective r -space over an algebraically closed field.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
2. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 8 (1961). MR 36 #177b.
3. SGA 6 (1966–67) *Théorie globale des intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci.
4. L. Roberts, K_1 of some Abelian categories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (1969), 377–382.

QUEENS UNIVERSITY, KINGSTON, ONTARIO, CANADA