

**PROPERTY P AND DIRECT INTEGRAL  
 DECOMPOSITION OF  $W^*$  ALGEBRAS**

PAUL WILLIG

**ABSTRACT.** If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $W^*$  algebra on separable Hilbert space  $H$ , and if  $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$  are the factors in the direct integral decomposition of  $\mathcal{G}$ , then  $\mathcal{P} = \{\lambda \mid \mathcal{G}(\lambda) \text{ has property P}\}$  is  $\mu$ -measurable, and  $\mathcal{G}$  has property P iff  $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$  has property P  $\mu$ -a.e.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $W^*$  algebra on separable Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  have direct integral decomposition into factors

$$\mathcal{G} = \int_{\Lambda} \oplus \mathcal{G}(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda),$$

where  $K$  denotes the underlying separable Hilbert space of  $H$ . We assume that the reader is familiar with the notation and methods of [7, Chapter I] and of [8].

We establish the following notation for this paper.  $\mathcal{G}_1$  denotes the unit sphere of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{G})$  denotes the unitary operators in  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $\mathcal{Z}$  will denote the center of  $\mathcal{G}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}$  consists of all diagonal operators in  $B(H)$  [7, Theorem I.5.9]).  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$  denotes the set of all nonnegative real-valued functions on  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{G})$  which vanish except at a finite number of points and which satisfy  $\sum_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{G})} f(U) = 1$ . We call the finite set of  $U$  such that  $f(U) \neq 0$  the *support* of  $f$ . We write  $f \cdot T = \sum_{U \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{G})} f(U) UTU^*$  for  $T \in B(H)$  (or  $T \in B(K)$  if we are dealing with  $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$ ).

If  $L$  is a separable Hilbert space, let  $S$  denote the unit sphere of  $L$  and let  $\{x_i\}$  be a fixed dense sequence in  $S$ . If  $d$  denotes the metric of [7, Lemma I.4.8] which defines the weak topology on bounded sets in  $B(L)$ , then, defining  $W(A) = d(A, 0)$ , we have, for a bounded sequence  $T_n$ , that  $T_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly iff  $W(T_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, if  $U$  is unitary,  $W_U(A) = W(UAU^*)$  also determines weak convergence to 0. We use this fact below in Lemma 3.

It follows from [8, Lemma 1.5] and from the proof of [8, Lemma 3.5] that there are countable sequences  $A_n \in \mathcal{G}_1$  ( $A'_n \in \mathcal{G}'_1$ ,  $U_n \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{G})$ ) such that for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $\lambda$  the sequence  $A_n(\lambda)$  ( $A'_n(\lambda)$ ,  $U_n(\lambda)$ ) is strong- $*$  dense in  $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)_1$  ( $\mathcal{G}'(\lambda)_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{G}(\lambda))$ ). Moreover (see remark after [8,

Received by the editors December 7, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 46L10.

Key words and phrases.  $W^*$  algebra, separable Hilbert space, direct integral decomposition, property P.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

Lemma 2.2]) we may assume that all operators we deal with are strong-\* continuous.

Our aim in this paper is to study property P of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in relation to the factors  $\mathfrak{A}(\lambda)$ .

DEFINITION 1 [7, p. 168].  $\mathfrak{A}$  has *property P* (*property CP*) if for every  $T \in B(H)$  (every  $T \in \mathfrak{Z}'$ ) the intersection of the weakly closed convex hull of  $K(T) = \{UTU^* \mid U \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})\}$  with  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is not empty.

For a factor, property CP is simply property P. We shall show that this is always the case, and we use this to prove that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property P iff  $\mathfrak{A}(\lambda)$  has property P  $\mu$ -a.e.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an Abelian  $W$ -\* algebra on separable Hilbert space  $L$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B}$  has property P.*

PROOF. By [7, Lemma 2.1]  $\mathfrak{B}$  is generated by a Hermitian operator  $A$ . We may assume that  $\sigma(A) \subset [0, 1]$ . Letting  $E_t$  denote the spectral projections of  $A$ , it is clear that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is generated by the increasing sequence of finite-dimensional \*-subalgebras  $\mathfrak{B}_m$  generated by  $\{E_t \mid t = n2^{-m}, n = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m\}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{B}$  has property P [7, p. 168]. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3. *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property CP then  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property P.*

PROOF. Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property CP. Let  $T \in B(H)$  be given. Since we are dealing with weak convergence on bounded sets of operators, it follows from Lemma 2 (with  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{Z}$  and  $H = L$ ) and our hypothesis that there are sequences  $f_k \in \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{Z})$  and  $g_k \in \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})$  and operators  $A \in \mathfrak{Z}'$  and  $A' \in \mathfrak{A}'$  such that  $f_k \cdot T \rightarrow A$  weakly and  $g_k \cdot A \rightarrow A'$  weakly. Define  $W$  as above for  $L = H$ , and let  $B_k = f_k \cdot T$  and  $C_k = g_k \cdot A$ . Then  $W(B_k - A) \rightarrow 0$  and  $W(C_k - A') \rightarrow 0$ . It suffices to show that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $h \in \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})$  such that  $W(h \cdot T - A') < \epsilon$  in order to conclude that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property P.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $g_k$  such that  $W(C_k - A') < \epsilon/2$ . Let the support of  $g_k$  consist of  $m$  operators. Then we can choose  $f_k$  so that, for each  $U$  in the support of  $g_k$ ,  $W_U(B_k - A) < \epsilon/(2m)$ . It follows that

$$W((g_k \cdot B_k) - A') < \epsilon.$$

Moreover, if we put  $h = g_k * f_k$  (convolution) then  $g_k \cdot B_k = h \cdot T$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Q.E.D.

We now come to our main results. In the remaining portion of this paper,  $W$  is defined as above for  $L = K$ , and  $\mathfrak{s}$  denotes the unit sphere in  $B(K)$ .

THEOREM 4.  $\mathcal{P} = \{\lambda \mid \mathfrak{A}(\lambda) \text{ has property P}\}$  is  $\mu$ -measurable.

PROOF. We shall give a measurable characterization of the set  $\mathcal{O}' = \Lambda - \mathcal{O}$ . We begin by considering a  $W$ -\* algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $K$  which has property P. Given  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ , it follows that there are  $f_k \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$  and  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that  $f_k \cdot T = T_k \rightarrow B'$  weakly. Since bounded sets are weakly compact and since  $W$  determines weak convergence on bounded sets, this is equivalent to the statement that, if  $B'_n$  are dense in  $\mathcal{B}'_1$  then there are  $B'_{n_k}$  such that  $W(T_k - B'_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ . Next, suppose  $\{U_n\}$  are dense in  $U(\mathcal{G})$ . Then it is easy to see that we may assume that the support of each  $f_k$  is contained in  $\{U_n\}$  and that the values  $f(U_n)$  are rational. This is the key to our theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be that subset of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$  consisting of  $f$  with support contained in the set  $\{U_n\}$  and whose values  $f(U_n)$  are rational. Clearly  $\mathcal{F}$  is countable. For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and each pair of positive integers  $(k, m)$  define a subset  $E(f, k, m)$  of  $\Lambda \times \mathcal{S}$  consisting of pairs  $(\lambda, T)$  satisfying the following condition, where by  $(f \cdot T)(\lambda)$  we mean  $\sum_{U_n} f(U_n) U_n(\lambda) T U_n(\lambda)^*$ .

$$(1) \quad W((f \cdot T)(\lambda) - A'_k(\lambda)) \geq 1/m.$$

Each set  $E(f, k, m)$  is closed. It follows from our remarks above that, if we let  $\pi$  denote the projection of  $\Lambda \times \mathcal{S}$  onto  $\Lambda$ , then  $\mathcal{O}'$  differs by a  $\mu$ -null set from the set

$$E = \pi \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{F}} E(f, k, m) \right).$$

$E$  is  $\mu$ -measurable by [7, Lemma I.4.6] and the theorem follows. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 5.  $\mathcal{G}$  has property P iff  $\mu(P') = 0$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\mu(P') > 0$ . Then it follows from our last proof and from [7, Lemma I.4.7] that there exist an integer  $m > 0$ , a set  $F$  of positive measure, and a  $\mu$ -measurable operator-valued function  $T$  defined on  $F$  such that  $(\lambda, T(\lambda)) \in E(f, k, m)$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $k$ . Extend  $T$  to all of  $\Lambda$  by letting  $T(\lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda \notin F$ , and set  $T = \int_{\Lambda} \oplus T(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$ . Then  $T \in B(H)_1$  and, if  $\mathcal{G}$  has property P, there are functions  $g_k \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$  and an operator  $A' \in \mathcal{G}'_1$  such that  $g_k \cdot T \rightarrow A'$  weakly. By [8, Lemma 1.7] and [2, Corollary III.6.13] we may assume  $(g_k \cdot T \in \mathcal{Z}'$  for each  $k$ ) that  $(g_k \cdot T)(\lambda) - A'(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$  weakly  $\mu$ -a.e. In particular this must be true for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $\lambda$  in  $F$ , which, by our remarks beginning the proof of Theorem 4, contradicts the fact that  $(\lambda, T(\lambda)) \in E(f, k, m)$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $k$  and all  $\lambda \in F$ . Hence  $\mathcal{G}$  does not have property P.

To prove the converse, it suffices by Lemma 3 to show that if  $\mu(P') = 0$  then  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property CP. We may restrict our attention to  $T \in \mathcal{Z}'_1$ , with  $T = \int_{\Lambda} \oplus T(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$ . By [7, p. 228], [8, Lemma 1.7], [2, Corollary III.6.13], and the remark following [8, Lemma 2.2] we may assume that  $\Lambda$  is compact and that  $T(\lambda)$  is strong-\* continuous in  $\lambda$ . Moreover, since  $\mu(P') = 0$ , we may assume that  $\mathfrak{A}(\lambda)$  has property P for every  $\lambda$  (see remark following [7, Corollary I.5.10]). Thus given any integer  $m$  and any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there are  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $A'_k$  such that

$$W((f \cdot T)(\lambda) - A'_k(\lambda)) < 1/m.$$

By continuity each such inequality holds on an open set, and by compactness there is a finite cover by such sets. Hence there are disjoint  $\mu$ -measurable sets  $F_i$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$  and such that for each  $F_i$  there are  $f_i \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $A'_{k_i}$  for which

$$W((f_i \cdot T)\lambda - A'_{k_i}(\lambda)) < 1/m \quad \text{for } \lambda \in F_i.$$

We now show that there are  $h \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$  for which

$$W((h \cdot T)(\lambda) - A'(\lambda)) < 1/m \quad \text{for every } \lambda.$$

It then follows that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property CP, and our theorem is proved.

For convenience of notation, assume  $\Lambda = F \cup G$ , with  $f, g$  and  $A'_j, A'_k$  as above. Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be the support of  $f$ , and let  $W_1, \dots, W_m$  be the support of  $g$ . Define unitaries  $U_{i,j}$  by  $U_{i,j}(\lambda) = V_i(\lambda)$  if  $\lambda \in F$  and  $W_j(\lambda)$  if  $\lambda \in G$ . Define  $h$  with support on the  $U_{i,j}$  by  $h(U_{i,j}) = f(V_i)g(W_j)$ . Define  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$  by  $A'(\lambda) = A'_j(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in F$  and  $A'(\lambda) = A'_k(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in G$ . Then it is clear that  $h$  and  $A'$  give the desired result. Clearly the construction does not depend on the number of sets  $F_i$ , and our theorem is proved. Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 6.** *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is of type I, then  $\mathfrak{A}$  has property P.*

**PROOF.** It suffices to note that each type I factor on  $K$  has property P. This is clear for  $B(K)$  and for finite-dimensional factors, and the general result follows, since property P is a \*-isomorphism invariant [3], from the known structure of type I factors. Q.E.D.

Schwartz introduced property P in [5], [6] as a property of hyperfinite factors. Since Powers has constructed a continuum of hyperfinite type III factors [4] and Ching has constructed a continuum of type III factors not having property P [1] and therefore not hyperfinite, the following corollary has some interest.

COROLLARY 7. *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $W^*$  algebra on separable Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there is a projection  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}_E$  has property  $P$  and such that  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{A}_{I-E}$  contains no central projection  $F \neq 0$  for which  $\mathfrak{B}_F$  has property  $P$ .*

PROOF. Let  $E$  be the projection induced by the characteristic function of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Q.E.D.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Ching, *A continuum of non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 921–937.
2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR **22** #8302.
3. J. Hakeda, *On property  $P$  of von Neumann algebras*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **19** (1967), 238–242. MR **36** #697.
4. R. Powers, *Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 138–171. MR **36** #1989.
5. J. T. Schwartz, *Two finite, non-hyperfinite non-isomorphic factors*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 19–26. MR **26** #6812.
6. ———, *Non-isomorphism of a pair of factors of type. III*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 111–120. MR **29** #482.
7. ———,  *$W^*$  algebras*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967. MR **38** #547.
8. P. Willig, *Trace norms, global properties, and direct integral decompositions of  $W^*$  algebras*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1969), 839–862.

STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HOBOKEN, NEW JERSEY 07030