

## ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF BAZILEVIČ FUNCTIONS

F. R. KEOGH<sup>1</sup> AND SANFORD S. MILLER

ABSTRACT. Let  $B(\alpha)$  denote the class of normalized ( $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ ) Bazilevič functions of type  $\alpha$  defined in  $\Delta: |z| < 1$ , i.e.  $f(z) = [\alpha \int_0^z P(\zeta)g(\zeta)^\alpha \zeta^{-1} d\zeta]^{1/\alpha}$  where  $g(\zeta)$  is starlike in  $\Delta$ ,  $P(\zeta)$  is regular with  $\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta) > 0$  in  $\Delta$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $B_m(\alpha)$  denote the subclass of  $B(\alpha)$  which is  $m$ -fold symmetric ( $f(e^{2\pi i/m}z) = e^{2\pi i/m}f(z)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Functions in  $B(\alpha)$  have been shown to be univalent. The authors obtain sharp coefficient inequalities for functions in  $B_m(1/N)$  where  $N$  is a positive integer. In addition an example of a Bazilevič function which is not close-to-convex is given.

Let  $S$  denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

that are univalent in the unit disk  $\Delta: |z| < 1$ . If  $g(z)$  is starlike in  $\Delta$ ,  $P(z)$  is regular with  $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$  in  $\Delta$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , then the function

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \left[ \alpha \int_0^z P(\zeta)g(\zeta)^\alpha \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^{1/\alpha}$$

has been shown by Bazilevič [1] (see also Pommerenke [6]) to be a regular and univalent function in  $\Delta$ . The powers appearing in the formula are meant as principal values. We shall call a function in  $S$  satisfying (1) a Bazilevič function of type  $\alpha$  and denote the class of such functions by  $B(\alpha)$ . Note that  $B(1)$  is the class of normalized close-to-convex functions.

A function  $f(z)$  analytic in  $\Delta$  is said to be  $m$ -fold symmetric ( $m = 2, 3, \dots$ ) if  $f(e^{2\pi i/m}z) = e^{2\pi i/m}f(z)$ . In particular, every odd  $f(z)$  is 2-fold symmetric. Let  $S_m$  denote the subclass of  $S$  consisting of those  $f(z)$  that are  $m$ -fold symmetric. We similarly define  $B_m(\alpha)$ . A simple argument shows that  $f \in S_m$  is characterized by having a power series of the form  $f(z) = z + a_{m+1}z^{m+1} + a_{2m+1}z^{2m+1} + \dots$ .

The Bieberbach conjecture remains unsettled for functions in  $B(\alpha)$  except for the case  $\alpha = 1/N$ , where  $N$  is a positive integer (Zamorski

---

Received by the editors September 8, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 30A32, 30A34.

Key words and phrases. Univalent, Bazilevič functions, close-to-convex functions,  $m$ -fold symmetric, Bieberbach conjecture, majorization.

<sup>1</sup> The research of this author was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number GP-19533.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

[7]). C. Pommerenke [5] has obtained sharp coefficient inequalities for functions in  $B_m(1)$ . In this paper we shall be concerned mainly with obtaining sharp coefficient inequalities for functions in  $B_m(1/N)$ . The method is different from the methods of Zamorski and Pommerenke and our results include theirs.

Many of the properties of Bazilevič functions of type  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ , coincide with properties of close-to-convex functions, including some of the results of this paper. We will show, at the end of the paper, an example of a Bazilevič function which is not close-to-convex.

The notation  $g(z) \ll h(z)$  ("g(z) is majorized by f(z)") will mean that if  $g(z) = \sum_0^\infty b_n z^n$  and  $h(z) = \sum_0^\infty c_n z^n$  then  $|b_n| \leq c_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  (see, for example, [3, p. 69]).

We shall need the following lemmas, the first of which is well known.

LEMMA 1. (a)  $\phi \in S_m$  if and only if  $\phi(z) = [f(z^m)]^{1/m}$  where  $f \in S$ . (b)  $\phi(z)$  is  $m$ -fold symmetric and starlike if and only if  $\phi(z) = [f(z^m)]^{1/m}$  where  $f(z)$  is starlike.

LEMMA 2. If  $f(z)$  is  $m$ -fold symmetric and starlike and  $\gamma > 0$  then

$$[f(z)/z]^\gamma \ll |f'(0)|^\gamma (1 - z^m)^{-2\gamma/m}.$$

PROOF. A function  $f(z)$  which is  $m$ -fold symmetric and starlike has a Herglotz representation given by

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{zf'(0)} = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{(1 - z^m e^{-i\phi})^{2/m}} d\mu(\phi),$$

where  $\mu(\phi)$  is nondecreasing on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $\mu(2\pi) - \mu(0) = 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{f(z)}{zf'(0)} &= \frac{2}{m} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{z^{mn} e^{-in\phi}}{n} d\mu(\phi) \\ &= \frac{2}{m} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-in\phi} d\mu(\phi) \right] \frac{z^{mn}}{n} \ll \frac{2}{m} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{z^{mn}}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\log(f(z)/zf'(0)) \ll \log(1 - z^m)^{-2/m}.$$

If  $\gamma > 0$ , then

$$\gamma \log(f(z)/zf'(0)) \ll \gamma \log(1 - z^m)^{-2/m},$$

or

$$\log[f(z)/zf'(0)]^\gamma \ll \log(1 - z^m)^{-2\gamma/m}.$$

Since exponentiation preserves the majorization property we obtain  $[f(z)/zf'(0)]^\gamma \ll (1 - z^m)^{-2\gamma/m}$ , which is equivalent to the desired result.

THEOREM 1.  $\phi(z) \in B_m(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad \phi(z) = [f(z^m)]^{1/m}$$

where  $f(z) \in B_1(\alpha/m)$ .

PROOF. (i) If  $\phi(z) \in B_m(\alpha)$ , then on differentiating (1) we obtain

$$(3) \quad z\phi'(z)\phi(z)^{\alpha-1} = g(z)^\alpha P(z),$$

where  $g$  is starlike and  $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$ . By Lemma 1 (a) there exists an  $f \in S$  satisfying (2), and substitution of (2) in (3) yields

$$z^m f'(z^m) f(z^m)^{\alpha/m-1} = g(z)^\alpha P(z).$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $e^{2k\pi i/m} z$  we have

$$(4) \quad z^m f'(z^m) f(z^m)^{\alpha/m-1} = g(e^{2k\pi i/m} z)^\alpha P(e^{2k\pi i/m} z),$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . If we multiply the  $m$  equations in (4) and then take the  $m$ th root we obtain

$$z^m f'(z^m) f(z^m)^{\alpha/m-1} = \left[ \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} g(e^{2k\pi i/m} z) \right]^{\alpha/m} \left[ \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} P(e^{2k\pi i/m} z) \right]^{1/m}.$$

It is easily verified that the function  $\left[ \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} g(e^{2k\pi i/m} z) \right]^{1/m}$  is  $m$ -fold symmetric and starlike and hence, by Lemma 1 (b), can be written as  $[h(z^m)]^{1/m}$  where  $h$  is starlike. It is also easily verified that

$$\left[ \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} P(e^{2k\pi i/m} z) \right]^{1/m} = c_0 + c_m z^m + \dots = Q(z^m),$$

say, where  $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) > 0$ . Thus we have

$$z^m f'(z^m) f(z^m)^{\alpha/m-1} = h(z^m)^{\alpha/m} Q(z^m), \quad z f'(z) f(z)^{\alpha/m-1} = h(z)^{\alpha/m} Q(z),$$

or  $f \in B(\alpha/m)$ .

(ii) Conversely, if  $f \in B(\alpha/m)$  we have

$$z f'(z) f(z)^{\alpha/m-1} = h(z)^{\alpha/m} Q(z),$$

where  $h$  is starlike and  $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) > 0$ . Thus

$$z^m f'(z^m) f(z^m)^{\alpha/m-1} = h(z^m)^{\alpha/m} Q(z^m)$$

and, if we let  $\phi(z) = [f(z^m)]^{1/m}$ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad z\phi'(z)\phi(z)^{\alpha-1} = [h(z^m)^{1/m}]^\alpha Q(z^m).$$

By Lemma 1 (b),  $g(z) = [h(z^m)]^{1/m}$  is ( $m$ -fold symmetric) starlike, and if we write  $P(z) = Q(z^m)$  we have

$$z\phi'(z)\phi(z)^{\alpha-1} = g(z)^\alpha P(z).$$

Thus  $\phi(z) \in B_m(\alpha)$ , and this completes the proof of the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\phi(z) \in B_m(\alpha)$  then  $[\phi(z)/z]^\alpha \ll (1 - z^m)^{-2\alpha/m}$ .*

**PROOF.** The function

$$F(z) = [\phi(z)/z]^\alpha = 1 + A_1 z^m + A_2 z^{2m} + \dots$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$zF'(z) + \alpha F(z) = \alpha \phi'(z)\phi(z)^{\alpha-1}/z^{\alpha-1},$$

or, using (5),

$$(6) \quad zF'(z) + \alpha F(z) = \alpha \{ [h(z^m)]^{1/m}/z \}^\alpha Q(z^m),$$

where  $[h(z^m)]^{1/m}$  is  $m$ -fold symmetric and starlike and  $\text{Re } Q(z^m) > 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 2, we have

$$(7) \quad \{ [h(z^m)]^{1/m}/z \}^\alpha \ll |h'(0)|^{\alpha/m} (1 - z^m)^{-2\alpha/m}.$$

Also, if  $Q(z^m) = c_0 + c_m z^m + c_{2m} z^{2m} + \dots$  then

$$(8) \quad Q(z^m) \ll |c_0| \frac{1 + z^m}{1 - z^m}$$

[4, p. 170]. Since multiplication preserves the majorization property, (6), (7) and (8) yield

$$zF'(z) + \alpha F(z) \ll \alpha |h'(0)|^{\alpha/m} |c_0| \frac{1 + z^m}{(1 - z^m)^{1+2\alpha/m}}.$$

Setting  $z = 0$  in (6) we obtain  $\alpha = \alpha [h'(0)]^{\alpha/m} c_0$ , and consequently

$$zF'(z) + \alpha F(z) \ll \alpha \frac{1 + z^m}{(1 - z^m)^{1+2\alpha/m}}.$$

On comparing coefficients we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(mn + \alpha)A_n| &\leq \alpha \left[ \binom{2\alpha/m + n}{n} + \binom{2\alpha/m + n - 1}{n - 1} \right], \\ |A_n| &\leq \binom{2\alpha/m + n - 1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,  $[\phi(z)/z]^\alpha \ll (1 - z^m)^{-2\alpha/m}$ .

COROLLARY. If  $\phi \in B_m(1/N)$ , where  $N$  is a positive integer and  $\phi(z) = z + a_{m+1}z^{m+1} + \dots$  then

$$(9) \quad |a_{m+1}| \leq \binom{2/m + n - 1}{n}.$$

In particular for  $\phi \in B(1/N)$  we have  $|a_n| \leq n$  and for  $\phi \in B_2(1/N)$  we have  $|a_{2n+1}| \leq 1$ .

PROOF. With  $\alpha = 1/N$  we have

$$|\phi(z)/z|^{1/N} \ll (1 - z^m)^{-2/mN}.$$

Multiplying this result by itself  $N$  times we obtain  $\phi(z)/z \ll (1 - z^m)^{-2/m}$ , which is equivalent to the desired result.

The inequality (9) is sharp, as can be seen by considering the function  $z(1 - z^m)^{-2/m}$  which is in  $B_m(1/N)$ .

We conclude by constructing an example of a function  $f \in B(1/2)$  such that  $f$  is not close-to-convex. With an appropriate  $c > 0$ , let  $w = \phi(z) = z + \dots$  be the odd close-to-convex function that maps  $\Delta$  onto the  $w$ -plane slit along the half-lines  $\operatorname{Re} w \geq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} w = c$  and  $\operatorname{Re} w \leq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} w = -c$ . Since  $\phi \in B_2(1)$ , by Theorem 1 we have  $\phi(z) = [f(z^2)]^{1/2}$ , where  $f(z) \in B(1/2)$ . But the transformation  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta = f(z)$  maps  $\Delta$  onto the  $\zeta$ -plane slit along the portion of the parabola  $\xi = (\eta/2c)^2 - c^2$  defined for  $\eta \geq 0$ , and this slit clearly cannot be expressed as a union of half-lines. It follows by a well-known geometric criterion (see, for example, Bielecki and Lewandowski [2, p. 61]) that the domain is not close-to-convex.

#### REFERENCES

1. I. E. Bazilevič, *On a case of integrability in quadratures of the Loewner-Kufarev equation*, Mat. Sb. **37(79)** (1955), 471–476. (Russian) MR **17**, 356.
2. A. Bielecki and Z. Lewandowski, *Sur un théorème concernant les fonctions univalentes linéairement accessibles de M. Biernacki*, Ann. Polon. Math. **12** (1962), 61–63. MR **26** #5151.
3. G. Birkhoff and G. Rota, *Ordinary differential equations*, 2nd, ed., Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1969. MR **38** #4737.
4. Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952. MR **13**, 640.
5. C. Pommerenke, *On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions*, Michigan Math. J. **9** (1962), 259–269. MR **26** #5153.
6. ———, *Über die Subordination analytischer Funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **218** (1965), 159–173. MR **31** #4900.
7. J. Zamorski, *On Bazilevič schlicht functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **12** (1962), 83–90. MR **26** #1446.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, BROCKPORT, NEW YORK 14420