## CONSTRUCTING SEQUENCES OF DIVIDED POWERS1

## KENNETH NEWMAN

ABSTRACT. In my Sequences of divided powers in irreducible, cocommutative Hopf algebras, I demonstrated the existence of extensions of sequences of divided powers over arbitrary fields, if certain coheight conditions are met. Here, I show that if the characteristic of the field does not divide n, every sequence of divided powers of length n-1, in a cocommutative Hopf algebra, has an extension that can be written as a polynomial in the previous terms. (An algorithm for finding these polynomials is given, together with a list of some of them.) Furthermore, I show that if one uses this method successively for constructing a sequence of divided powers over a primitive, the only obstructions will occur at powers of the characteristic of the field.

Some of the basic definitions of this paper are the following:

- (1) If H is a Hopf algebra and  $0 \neq g \in H$ , then g is a grouplike if  $\Delta g = g \otimes g$ .
  - (2) If  $h \in H$ , then h is a primitive if  $\Delta h = h \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h$ .
- (3) A Hopf algebra will be called irreducible if every nontrivial subcoalgebra contains a fixed, nontrivial subcoalgebra, i.e., if H is irreducible, the identity is the unique grouplike.
- (4) An irreducible Hopf algebra will be called graded, if there exists a set of subspaces  $\{H_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  such that
  - (a)  $H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$ ;
  - (b)  $H_0 = 1 \cdot k$ ;
  - (c)  $\Delta H_i \subset \bigoplus_{j=0}^i H_j \otimes H_{i-j}$ ;
  - (d)  $H_i \cdot H_j \subset H_{i+j}$ .
- (5) A sequence of divided powers  ${}^{0}x = 1$ ,  ${}^{1}x$ ,  ${}^{2}x$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  ${}^{n}x$  is a set of elements in a cocommutative, irreducible Hopf algebra such that  $\Delta^{t}x = \sum_{i=0}^{t} {}^{i}x \otimes {}^{t-i}x$ , for all  $0 \le t \le n$ .

Received by the editors December 8, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 16A24.

Key words and phrases. Coheight, irreducible Hopf algebra, sequence of divided powers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper is similar to a portion of my doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor Stephen U. Chase. I also would like to thank Professor M. E. Sweedler for his many valuable suggestions.

(6)  $^{n+1}x$  will be called an extension of the sequences of divided powers  $^{0}x = 1, ^{1}x, ^{2}x, \cdots, ^{n}x$ , if  $^{0}x = 1, ^{1}x, ^{2}x, \cdots, ^{n}x, ^{n+1}x$  is also a sequence of divided powers.

FACT. An irreducible cocommutative bialgebra is a Hopf algebra. PROOF. Proposition 9.2.5, p. 196 of [3].

NOTATION. Throughout the remainder of this paper, H will be an irreducible, cocommutative, commutative Hopf algebra over a field k. H will have argumentation  $\epsilon$ , diagonalization  $\Delta$ , and identity 1. All tensor products will be over k.

Let  $J_n \equiv k[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n]$  and make it into a graded Hopf algebra via  $\Delta X_i = \sum_{j=0}^i X_j \otimes X_{i-j}$  (where  $X_0 \equiv 1$ ), and  $\epsilon(X_j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and deg  $X_i = i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

Note that  $J_n$  is an irreducible, cocommutative, commutative Hopf algebra with 1,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $X_n$  forming a sequence of divided powers.

DEFINITION. Let  $M = \prod X_i^{t_i}$  be a monomial in  $J_n$ . The largest i such that  $t_i \neq 0$  will be called the index of M.

LEMMA 1. In  $J_n$ , the only monomial with index  $\leq r$  that contains  $T = (\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} X_i^{t_i}) \otimes X_r^{t_r}, \ t_r > 0$ , in its diagonalization with a nonzero coefficient is  $M = \prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{t_i}$ .

PROOF. It is clear that no monomial with index less than r can contain T in its diagonalization. Assume  $N=\prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{u_i}$  contains T in its diagonalization. Now  $\Delta N=\prod_{i=1}^r (\sum_{j=0}^i X_j \otimes X_{i-j})^{u_i}$  (where  $X_0\equiv 1$ ) and, therefore, the only term in the expansion of  $\Delta N$  with only  $X_r$ 's on the right-hand side and no  $X_r$ 's on the left-hand side is  $(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} X_i^{u_i}) \otimes X_r^{u_r}$ . Therefore  $u_i=t_i, i=1,2,\cdots,r$ , and N=M.

THEOREM 2. In  $J_{n-1}$  over Q (the rationals), the sequence of divided powers  $1, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}$  can be extended uniquely by a homogeneous polynomial,  $P_n(X)$ , of degree n. The coefficients of this polynomial will be in Z[1/n]. In fact, if M is a monomial in  $P_n(X)$  with index r, its coefficient will be in  $Z[1/p_1p_2\cdots p_s]$  where the  $p_i$  are the prime factors of n such that  $n/r \ge p_i$ . In particular, if r is larger than the largest nontrivial divisor of n, its coefficient will be in Z.

PROOF. By Corollary 13.0.3, p. 278 of [3], any irreducible, cocommutative Hopf algebra H over a field of characteristic 0 is generated as an algebra by its primitives. Assume H is graded. Then each homogeneous component of each primitive is a primitive and we can say that H is generated by homogeneous primitives. Now consider  $J_n$ . It is generated by homogeneous primitives and, therefore, there must exist a homogeneous primitive containing  $X_n$  as one of its terms. This primitive must, perforce, be of the form:  $X_n - P_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})$ . Since  $X_n$  is an extension of

1,  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}$ , and  $X_n - P_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})$  is a primitive it follows that  $P_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})$  will be the desired extension in  $J_{n-1}$ .

We now investigate the coefficients of  $P_n(X)$ . First, we show that the coefficient of  $X_i^{n/i}$  in  $P_n(X)$  (for  $i \mid n$ ) will be  $(-1)^{n/i}(i\mid n)$ .

Since  $P_n(X)$  is an *n*th divided power of 1,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $X_{n-1}$ ,  $\Delta P_n(X)$  contains the term  $X_i \otimes X_{n-i}$ . A little thought will make it evident that the factors of a monomial containing  $X_i \otimes X_{n-i}$  in its diagonalization must be  $X_i$  and  $X_{n-i}$ , i.e., the unique monomial containing  $X_i \otimes X_{n-i}$  in its diagonalization is  $X_i X_{n-i}$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $X_i X_{n-i}$  is 1.

Now, the diagonalization of  $X_iX_{n-i}$  contains the term  $X_i^2\otimes X_{n-2i}$ . Since this term does not appear in  $\Delta P_n(X)$ , it must be cancelled by terms in the diagonalization of other monomials in  $P_n(X)$ . Again, a little thought will show that for a monomial to contain  $X_i^2\otimes X_{n-2i}$  in its diagonalization, it must contain as factors, combinations of  $X_i$ ,  $X_{n-2i}$  and  $X_{n-i}$ . By checking all the possibilities, one can see that the only monomial other than  $X_iX_{n-i}$  that contains  $X_i^2\otimes X_{n-2i}$  in its diagonalization is  $X_i^2X_{n-2i}$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $X_i^2X_{n-2i}$  is -1. Now,  $\Delta(-X_i^2X_{n-2i})$  contains the term  $-X_i^3\otimes X_{n-3i}$  and in a similar way to the above, we can show that the coefficient of  $X_i^3X_{n-3i}$  is +1.

Continuing in this manner, we find that the coefficient of  $X_i^{(n/i)-2}X_{2i}$  is  $(-1)^{(n/i)-1}$ . Since its diagonalization contains the term  $X_i^{(n/i)-1} \otimes X_i$ , the coefficient of  $X_i^{n/i}$  (which contains the term  $(n/i)X_i^{(n/i)-1} \otimes X_i$  in its diagonalization) must be  $(-1)^{n/i}$  (i/n).

To complete the proof, we show that the coefficient in  $P_n(X)$  of  $M \equiv \prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{t_i}$   $(t_r \neq 0 \text{ and some } t_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq r)$ , a monomial of degree n, is an integral combination of the coefficients in  $P_n(X)$  of larger monomials. (We say one monomial is "larger" than another if its index is larger.) We will do this by (1) finding a term in  $\Delta M$ , other than  $M \otimes 1$  or  $1 \otimes M$ , with coefficient one that occurs elsewhere only in the diagonalization of larger monomials. (2) Since the coefficient of every term in  $\Delta P_n(X) - 1 \otimes P_n(X) - P_n(X) \otimes 1$  is either zero or one, (3) descending induction (on the index of the monomial) will complete the proof.

- (1) A term in  $\Delta M$  is  $T \equiv (\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} X_i^{t_i}) \otimes X_r^{t_r}$  and one can check that it has coefficient one. By Lemma 1, every monomial other than M, that contains T in its diagonalization, must be larger than M.
- (2) So let  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  be the set of monomials larger than M in  $J_{n-1}$ , let  $b_i$  be the coefficient of T in  $\Delta M_i$ , and let  $c_i$  be the coefficient of  $M_i$  in  $P_n(X)$ . Then  $e = c + \sum_{i \in I} c_i b_i$  where e is the coefficient of T in  $\Delta P_n(X)$  (either zero or one) and c is the coefficient of M in  $P_n(X)$ .
- (3) Now all the  $c_i$ 's are in  $Z[1/p_1p_2\cdots p_s]$  where the  $p_i$ 's are the prime factors of n such that  $n/r \ge p_i$ . (If  $M_i$  is of the form  $X_j^{n/j}$  we proved this statement above, and if  $M_i$  is not of this form it is true by the induction

hypothesis. Note that we showed, en passant, that the coefficient of the largest monomial,  $X_{n-1}X_1$ , is one.) Therefore, since all the  $b_i$ 's are integers, it follows that c is in  $Z[1/p_1p_2\cdots p_s]$ .

Uniqueness is clear, since we have found the unique possible coefficient of each monomial in  $P_n(X)$ . Q.E.D.

REMARK. We give here an algorithm to find the  $P_n$ 's as defined in the previous theorem. The algorithm is derived from methods used in the proof of the theorem and is not specifically proved.

In  $P_n$ :

- (a) the coefficient of  $X_i^{n/i}$  is  $(-1)^{n/i}(i/n)$   $(1 \le i < n \text{ and } i \mid n)$ ;
- (b) the coefficient of  $X_i X_{n-i}$  is 1  $(1 \le i < n/2)$ ;
- (c) the coefficient of  $M = \prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{t_i}$  ( $t_r \neq 0$ , M not of the form (a) or (b)) will be minus the sum of the coefficients of monomials of the form:  $\prod_{i=1}^s X_i^{u_i}$  with  $u_s \neq 0$ , 2r > s > r,  $u_i + u_{r+i} = t_i$  ( $1 \leq i < r$ ), and  $\sum_{i=r}^s u_i = t_r$ .

In Table I, I have listed the first seven polynomials (of degree 2-8). It is interesting to empirically observe (though I can not explain it), that the coefficient of a term is positive, if the sum of its exponents is even and vice versa. (I have found this to be true for all the  $P_n$ 's I have computed, up to n = 20.)

## TABLE 1

The polynomial of degree n  $(n = 2, \dots, 8)$  which will extend the sequence of divided powers  $1, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}$  in  $J_{n-1}$ .

$$\begin{array}{lll} n=2 & \frac{1}{2}X_{1}^{2}. \\ n=3 & X_{2}X_{1}-\frac{1}{3}X_{1}^{3}. \\ n=4 & X_{3}X_{1}+\frac{1}{2}X_{2}^{2}-X_{2}X_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}X_{1}^{4}. \\ n=5 & X_{4}X_{1}+X_{3}X_{2}-X_{3}X_{1}^{2}-X_{2}^{2}X_{1}+X_{2}X_{1}^{3}-\frac{1}{5}X_{1}^{5}. \\ n=6 & X_{5}X_{1}+X_{4}X_{2}-X_{4}X_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}X_{3}^{2}-2X_{3}X_{2}X_{1}+X_{3}X_{1}^{3}\\ & -\frac{1}{3}X_{2}^{3}+1\frac{1}{2}X_{2}^{2}X_{1}^{2}-X_{2}X_{1}^{4}+\frac{1}{6}X_{1}^{6}. \\ n=7 & X_{6}X_{1}+X_{5}X_{2}-X_{5}X_{1}^{2}+X_{4}X_{2}-2X_{4}X_{2}X_{1}+X_{4}X_{1}^{3}\\ & -X_{3}^{2}X_{1}-X_{3}X_{2}^{2}+3X_{3}X_{2}X_{1}^{2}-X_{3}X_{1}^{4}\\ & +X_{2}^{3}X_{1}-2X_{2}^{2}X_{1}^{3}+X_{2}X_{1}^{5}-\frac{1}{7}X_{1}^{7}. \\ n=8 & X_{7}X_{1}+X_{6}X_{2}-X_{6}X_{1}^{2}+X_{5}X_{3}\\ & -2X_{5}X_{2}X_{1}+X_{5}X_{1}^{3}+\frac{1}{2}X_{4}^{2}-2X_{4}X_{3}X_{1}\\ & -X_{4}X_{2}^{2}+3X_{4}X_{2}X_{1}^{2}-X_{4}X_{1}^{4}-X_{3}^{2}X_{2}\\ & +1\frac{1}{2}X_{3}^{2}X_{1}^{2}+3X_{3}X_{2}^{2}X_{1}-4X_{3}X_{2}X_{1}^{3}\\ & +X_{3}X_{1}^{5}+\frac{1}{4}X_{2}^{4}-2X_{2}^{3}X_{1}^{2}+2\frac{1}{2}X_{2}^{2}X_{1}^{4}\\ & -X_{2}X_{1}^{6}+\frac{1}{8}X_{1}^{8}. \end{array}$$

COROLLARY 3. Let char k = a (a either 0 or positive). If  ${}^{0}x = 1$ ,  ${}^{1}x$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  ${}^{n-1}x$  is a sequence of divided powers in H, then the sequence can be extended by a polynomial in  ${}^{1}x$ ,  ${}^{2}x$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  ${}^{n-1}x$  if  $a \nmid n$  or if a = 0.

PROOF. Consider  $J_{n-1}$  over k. Define a Hopf algebra map  $\chi$  between  $J_{n-1}$  and H via  $X_i \to {}^i x$ . By the theorem the coefficients of  $P_n(X)$  are in Z[1/n] and therefore  $P_n(X)$  can be written in  $J_{n-1}$ . The image of  $P_n(X)$  under  $\chi$  will be the desired extension of  $1, 1x, \dots, n-1x$ . Q.E.D.

Note that if a > 0, the coheight (in the sense of [1]) of the extension will be the same as the coheight of  ${}^{n}x$ .

COROLLARY 4. Assume char k = p > 0. If  ${}^{0}x = 1, {}^{1}x, \cdots, {}^{m}x$  and  ${}^{0}y = 1, {}^{1}y, \cdots, {}^{n}y$  are 2 sequences of divided powers such that  ${}^{i}x = {}^{i}y, 0 \le i \le t$ , and if  ${}^{0}x, {}^{1}x, \cdots, {}^{m}x$  can be extended to  ${}^{tp}x$ , then  ${}^{0}y, {}^{1}y, \cdots, {}^{n}y$  can be extended to  ${}^{tp}y$  by polynomials in  ${}^{1}x, {}^{2}x, \cdots, {}^{tp}x, {}^{1}y, {}^{2}y, \cdots, {}^{n}y$ .

PROOF. Assume inductively that we have extended  ${}^0y, {}^1y, \cdots, {}^ny$  to  ${}^0y, {}^1y, \cdots, {}^{u-1}y, \ n < u \le tp$ , using polynomials in  ${}^1x, {}^2x, \cdots, {}^{tp}x, {}^1y, {}^2y, \cdots, {}^ny$ . If  $p \not\mid u$ , then we can extend again using Corollary 3. So assume  $u = rp, r \le t$ . Let  $J = k[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{rp}, Y_{t+1}, Y_{t+2}, \cdots, Y_{rp-1}]$  where  $\epsilon(X_i) = \epsilon(Y_i) = 0$   $(i \ge 1), \ \Delta X_i = \sum_{j=1}^i X_j \otimes X_{i-j}, \ \text{and} \ \Delta Y_i = \sum_{j=0}^i Y_i \otimes Y_{i-j}$  (letting  $Y_0 = X_0 = 1$  and  $Y_i = X_i$  if  $i \le t$ ). Consider  $P_{rp}(Y) - P_{rp}(X) + X_{rp}$ . Since  $Y_i = X_i$   $(i \le t)$  all monomials have index greater than t and  $t \ge r$ . According to the theorem, the coefficients of such monomials in  $P_{rp}(X)$  are in  $Z[1/p_1p_2\cdots p_s]$  where the  $p_i$ 's are the prime factors of u less than p. Therefore, we can write  $P_{rp}(Y) - P_{rp}(X) + X_{rp}$  in J. Since  $X_{rp} - P_{rp}(X)$  is a primitive,  $P_{rp}(Y) - P_{rp}(X) + X_{rp}$  will be an extension of  $1, Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_{rp-1}$  in J. Now map  $J \to H$  via  $X_i \to {}^i x$  and  $Y_i \to {}^i y$ . The image of  $P_{rp}(Y) - P_{rp}(X) + X_{rp}$  will be the desired extension. Q.E.D.

We have shown that if H is an irreducible, cocommutative, commutative Hopf algebra over a field of characteristic p > 0, an extension to any sequence of divided powers of length n-1 can be constructed if  $p \nmid n$ . If  $p \mid n$ , this is not, in general, true. However, if we start with a primitive, use the  $P_n$ 's of Theorem 2 to construct a p-1 length sequence, and if, in some manner, we can find a pth divided power, we can then use the  $P_n$ 's to construct a  $p^2-1$  length sequence. Further, if we can now find a  $p^2$  divided power, the  $P_n$ 's allow us to construct a  $p^3-1$  sequence. This process may be continued indefinitely. In other words, obstructions can only arise at  $p^{n$ th divided powers, not at every np divided power. This is the import of Theorem 7 and Corollary 8, below. First, however, we need the following:

LEMMA 5. Let n be any positive integer greater than one, and p any prime. Then there exists  $\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha < n$ , such that  $p \nmid \binom{n}{\alpha}$ , if n is not a power of p. If n is a power of p, there exists  $\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha < n$ , such that  $p^2 \nmid \binom{n}{\alpha}$ .

The proof is trivial.

DEFINITION 6. (a) Let p be a prime. If n is a power of p,  $P_{n,p} \equiv X_n$ . If n is not a power of P, define  $P_{n,p}$  inductively by substituting  $P_{i,p}$  for  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) in  $P_n$ .

(b) Make  $k[X_1, X_p, \dots, X_{p^m}]$  into a graded Hopf algebra via  $\Delta X_{p^i} = \sum_{j=0}^{p^i} P_{j,p} \otimes P_{p^i-j,p}$  (with  $P_{0,p} \equiv 1$ ),  $\epsilon(X_{p^i}) = 0$  and  $\deg X_{p^i} = p^i$ . Note that 1;  $P_{1,p}$ ;  $P_{2,p}$ ;  $\cdots$  is a sequence of divided powers and that  $P_{i,p}$  is homogeneous of degree *i*. The  $k[X_1, X_p, \dots, X_{p^m}]$  are isomorphic to the familiar Witt Hopf algebras. See Theorem 2.23, p. 70 of [2].

THEOREM 7. For any n and any prime p, the coefficients of  $P_{n,p}$  as a polynomial in  $X_1, X_p, \dots, X_{p^m}$  ( $p^m \le n < p^{m+1}$ ) are in

$$Z_p = Z[1/2, 1/3, 1/5, \cdots, \widehat{1/p}, \cdots].$$

PROOF. Assume inductively that the theorem is true for  $P_{i,p}$ , i < n, and that n is not a power of p (otherwise, the theorem is clear). Let  $\chi$  be the natural map:

$$Z_{p}[X_{1}, X_{p}, \cdots, X_{n^{m}}] \rightarrow k[X_{1}, X_{p}, \cdots, X_{n^{m}}]$$

where k is any field of characteristic p. Since we have assumed that the  $P_{i,p}$ 's (i < n) are in  $Z_p[X]$ , we can use them to define a sequence of divided powers, 1,  $\chi(P_{1,p})$ ,  $\chi(P_{2,p})$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\chi(P_{n-1,p})$  in k[X]. By Theorem 2 and Sublemma 3 of [1], 1,  $\chi(P_{1,p})$ ,  $\chi(P_{2,p})$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\chi(P_{n-1,p})$  has an extension in k[X]. Call the homogeneous component of degree n of that extension (which is also an extension) E. We will show that  $\chi(P_{n,p}) = E$  (and in the process show that  $\chi$  is well defined on  $P_{n,p}$ , i.e., the coefficients of  $P_{n,p}$  are in  $Z_p$ ).

Let  $M \equiv \prod_{i=1}^r X_{p^i}^{t_i}$  be a monomial of degree n and index  $p^r$ . Assume its coefficient is c in  $P_{n,p}$  and c' in E. We want to show that  $\chi(c) = c'$ . Assume that this statement is true for all monomials larger than M. (This set may be vacuous.) If M is of the form  $X_{p^r}^{t_r}$ , let  $T \equiv X_{p^r}^{\alpha} \otimes X_{p^r}^{t_r-\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the integer found in Lemma 5 for  $n = t_r$ . If M is not of this form, let  $T \equiv (\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} X_{p^i}^{t_i}) \otimes X_{p^r}^{t_r}$ . Among the monomials of degree n, T will occur only in the diagonalization of M or terms of larger degree. (If T is of the first form, the statement is clear. Otherwise, use a slight modification of Lemma 1.) Remember  $\Delta P_{n,p} = P_{n,p} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_{n,p} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P_{i,p} \otimes P_{n-i,p}$  and  $\Delta E = E \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi(P_{i,p}) \otimes \chi(P_{n-i,p})$ . Therefore, e, the coefficient of T in  $\Delta P_{n,p}$  is in  $Z_p$ , and  $\chi(e)$  is the coefficient of T in  $\Delta E$ . Now let  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  be the set of monomials of degree n larger than M. Let

the coefficient of  $M_i$  in  $P_{n,p}$  be  $c_i$ , and let the coefficient of T in  $\Delta M_i$  be  $b_i$ . Then,  $e = cb + \sum_{i \in I} c_i b_i$ , where we have let b be the coefficient of T in  $\Delta M$ . It is clear that each  $b_i \in Z$ . Also by the second induction hypothesis, we know that each  $c_i \in Z_p$  and that if  $c_i'$  is the coefficient of  $M_i$  in E,  $\chi(c_i) = c_i'$ . Therefore  $cb \in Z_p$  and  $\chi(cb) = c'b$ . If  $M = X_p^{t_r}$  and  $t_r$  is not a power of p, then  $b = {t_r \choose \alpha}$  which we assumed not to be divisible by p. Therefore  $b \in Z_p$ ,  $cb \in Z_p \Rightarrow c \in Z_p$  and  $\chi(c) = c'$ . If  $M = X_p^{t_r}$ ,  $t_r$  a power of p, then  $cb \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . But we assumed that  $b = {t_r \choose \alpha}$  was not divisible by  $p^2$ . Therefore, the denominator of c is not a multiple of p, i.e.,  $c \in Z_p$  and  $\chi(c) = c'$ . And lastly, if M is not of the form  $X_p^{t_r}$ , b = 1 and we are done.

COROLLARY 8. If x is a primitive in H, then a sequence of divided power can be constructed over x using the polynomials defined in Definition 6(a). The obstructions can only occur at powers of p.

PROOF. Similar to Corollary 3, using Theorem 7 instead of Theorem 2.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. K. Newman, Sequences of divided powers in irreducible, cocommutative Hopf algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (1971), 25-34.
- 2. ——, Topics in the theory of irreducible Hopf algebras, Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 1970.
- 3. M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, Math. Lecture Note Series, Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR 40 #5705.

McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Current address: University of Illinois at Chicago Circle, Chicago, Illinois 60680