

DIRICHLET FINITE SOLUTIONS OF  $\Delta u = Pu$

IVAN J. SINGER<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to give a necessary and also a sufficient condition for a Dirichlet finite harmonic function on a Riemann surface to be represented as a difference of a Dirichlet finite solution of  $\Delta u = Pu$  ( $P \geq 0$ ) and a Dirichlet finite potential of signed measure.

1. Let  $P = P(z) dx dy$  ( $z = x + iy$ ) be a nonnegative not identically zero  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) second order differential on a Riemann surface  $R$  and  $PD(R)$  be the Hilbert space of all Dirichlet finite solutions of

$$(1) \quad \Delta u(z) = P(z)u(z), \quad \Delta \cdot = 4\partial^2 \cdot / \partial z \partial \bar{z},$$

on  $R$  with the scalar product given by mixed Dirichlet integral, i.e.  $(u, v) = D_R(u, v) = \int_R du \wedge *dv$ , not the energy integral.

The study of  $PD(R)$  was begun by Royden [6]. We will use the fact shown by Nakai [2] that  $PD(R)$  forms a vector lattice under the natural order in  $PD(R)$ . We also use the Glasner-Katz maximum principle [1] that the modulus of every function in  $PD(R)$  takes its maximum on the Royden harmonic boundary. The recent result of Nakai [3] that  $PBD(R)$  is dense in  $PD(R)$  will not be made use of.

Let  $\Delta(R)$  be the Royden harmonic boundary and  $HD(R)$  be the class of Dirichlet finite harmonic functions on  $R$ . (For the basic materials from the Royden compactification and the class  $HD(R)$  we refer to the monograph of Sario and Nakai [7].) One of the important problems in the theory of  $PD(R)|\Delta(R)$  in  $HD(R)|\Delta(R)$ . We will prove a theorem which contributes to this question.

2. If  $R$  is parabolic, then  $PD(R) = \{0\}$  (cf. Royden [6]), which case offers no interest. Therefore we assume throughout the paper that  $R$  is hyperbolic. Let  $\tilde{M}(R)$  be the class of all Dirichlet finite Tonelli functions on  $R$  and  $\tilde{M}_\Delta(R)$  the subclass of  $\tilde{M}(R)$  consisting of functions  $f$  with  $f|\Delta(R) = 0$  (cf. [7]). We then have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\tilde{M}(R) = HD(R) + \tilde{M}_\Delta(R),$$

---

Received by the editors May 26, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 31A05, 58G99.

<sup>1</sup> The work was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office—Durham, Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G20, UCLA.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

and since  $PD(R) \subset \tilde{M}(R)$ , we can define an operator  $T: PD(R) \rightarrow HD(R)$  characterized by

$$(2) \quad u - Tu \in \tilde{M}_\Delta(R).$$

Using results cited in §1 we can show that  $T$  is a vector space isomorphism from  $PD(R)$  onto

$$(3) \quad X_D(R) \equiv T(PD(R))$$

such that  $u > 0$  is equivalent to  $Tu > 0$  and  $\sup_R |u| = \sup_R |Tu|$ . Therefore the study of  $PD(R)$  can be reduced to that of  $X_D(R)$  and for this reason we call  $T$  the *reduction operator* for Dirichlet finite solutions. It can be seen that

$$u = Tu - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R G(\cdot, \zeta) P(\zeta) u(\zeta) d\xi d\eta \quad (\zeta = \xi + i\eta)$$

(cf. [3]). We will discuss when  $h \in HD(R)$  belongs to  $X_D(R)$ .

3. Let  $\Omega$  be a regular subregion of  $R$ . By the *P-unit*  $e_\Omega$  on  $\Omega$  we mean the solution  $e_\Omega$  of (1) on  $\Omega$  with the continuous boundary values 1. The net  $\{e_\Omega\}$  for every regular subregion  $\Omega$  is decreasing and hence convergent to a solution on  $R$ :

$$e_R = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} e_\Omega \geq 0$$

which we call the *P-unit* on  $R$ . The only bounded solution of (1) on  $R$  is zero if and only if  $e_R \equiv 0$  (Ozawa [5], Royden [6]). We describe  $X_D(R)$  in terms of  $\{e_\Omega\}$  and  $e_R$  as follows:

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $h \in HD(R)$ . If  $h \in X_D(R)$ , then*

$$(4) \quad D_R(e_R h) < \infty.$$

*Conversely if*

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{\Omega \rightarrow R} D_\Omega(e_\Omega h) < \infty,$$

*then  $h \in X_D(R)$ .*

The proof will be given in §§4 and 7. The condition (4) is necessary for  $h \in X_D(R)$  but not sufficient. In fact, let  $R = \{|z| < 1\}$  and  $P(z) = 4(1 + |z|^2)(1 - |z|^2)^{-2}$ . Then  $e_R \equiv 0$  and  $X_D(R) = \{0\}$  (Royden [6]), while (4) is trivially valid for every  $h \in HD(R)$ . The condition (5) is sufficient for  $h \in X_D(R)$  but not necessary. We exhibit an instructive example due to Nakai [4]. Let  $R = \{|z| > 1\}$  and  $P(z) = 1 + |z|^{-1}$ . Consider  $\Omega_n = \{1 + n^{-1} < |z| < n\}$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) which exhausts  $R$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Denote by  $e_n$  the *P-unit* on  $\Omega_n$ . The *P-unit*  $e_R$  on  $R$  is given by

$$e_R(z) = \left( e \int_1^\infty e^{-2t} t^{-1} dt \right)^{-1} \cdot e^{|z|} \cdot \int_{|z|}^\infty e^{-2t} \cdot t^{-1} dt$$

and a straightforward calculation shows that  $e_R \in \text{PD}(R)$  and hence  $1 \in X_D(R)$ . We also see that

$$e_n(z) = \alpha_n e^{|z|} + \beta_n e^{|z|} \int_1^{|z|} e^{-2t} t^{-1} dt$$

where

$$\alpha_n = e^{-n} - (e^{-n} - e^{-(1+1/n)}) \left( \int_{1+1/n}^n e^{-2t} t^{-1} dt \right)^{-1} \int_1^n e^{-2t} t^{-1} dt,$$

and

$$\beta_n = (e^{-n} - e^{-(1+1/n)}) \left( \int_{1+1/n}^n e^{-2t} t^{-1} dt \right)^{-1}.$$

However an easy but cumbersome computation shows that

$$D_R(1 \cdot e_n) = \mathcal{O}(n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and (5) is not valid for  $h=1 \in X_D(R)$ . By Fatou's lemma, (5) implies (4). That the converse is not necessarily true is also seen from the above example.

**4. Necessity of (4).** Suppose  $h \in X_D(R)$ . Since  $X_D(R)$  forms a vector lattice along with  $\text{PD}(R)$ , we may assume  $h > 0$  to prove (4). Let  $u \in \text{PD}(R)$  such that  $h = Tu$  and let  $\varphi = u - h$ . We will prove (4) both for  $u$  and  $\varphi$ .

We write  $\|\cdot\|_\Omega = (D_\Omega(\cdot))^{1/2}$ . By Green's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(1 - e_\Omega)\|_\Omega^2 &= - \int_\Omega u(1 - e_\Omega) d * d(u(1 - e_\Omega)) \\ &= - \int_\Omega u^2(1 - e_\Omega)^2 P + \int_\Omega u^2(1 - e_\Omega) e_\Omega P \\ &\quad + 2 \int_\Omega u(1 - e_\Omega) du \wedge * de_\Omega \\ &\leq \int_\Omega u(1 - e_\Omega) Pu + 2 \int_\Omega (1 - e_\Omega) du \wedge *(u de_\Omega) \\ &= \int_\Omega u(1 - e_\Omega) d * du + 2 \int_\Omega (1 - e_\Omega) du \wedge *(d(ue_\Omega) - e_\Omega du). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\int_\Omega u(1 - e_\Omega) d * du = - \int_\Omega d(u(1 - e_\Omega)) \wedge * du$ . By Schwarz's inequality

$$\|u(1 - e_\Omega)\|_\Omega^2 \leq \|u(1 - e_\Omega)\|_\Omega \|u\|_\Omega + 2 \|u\|_\Omega \|ue_\Omega\|_\Omega + 2 \|u\|_\Omega^2.$$

In view of  $\|ue_\Omega\|_\Omega \leq \|u(1 - e_\Omega)\|_\Omega + \|u\|_\Omega$ , we deduce

$$\|u(1 - e_\Omega)\|_\Omega^2 \leq 3 \|u(1 - e_\Omega)\|_\Omega \cdot \|u\|_\Omega + 4 \|u\|_\Omega^2.$$

This implies  $\|u(1 - e_\Omega)\|_\Omega \leq 4 \|u\|_\Omega$  or  $\|ue_\Omega\|_\Omega \leq 5 \|u\|_\Omega$ . Therefore, by Fatou's lemma,

$$D_R(e_R u) \leq \liminf_{\Omega \rightarrow R} D_\Omega(e_\Omega u) \leq 25 D_R(u) < \infty.$$

5. Let  $h_\Omega \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $h_\Omega$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$  and  $h_\Omega|_{\partial\Omega} = u$ . Set  $\varphi_\Omega = u - h_\Omega$ . Observe  $\Delta\varphi_\Omega = Pu$  and  $\varphi_\Omega \leq 0$ . Since  $D_\Omega(u) = D_\Omega(h_\Omega) + D_\Omega(\varphi_\Omega)$  and  $\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} h_\Omega = h$ , we infer that  $\varphi = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} \varphi_\Omega$ ,  $d\varphi = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} d\varphi_\Omega$ , and  $D_\Omega(\varphi_\Omega) \leq D_\Omega(u)$ . By Green's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega^2 &= - \int_\Omega e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega d * d(e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega) \\ &= - \int_\Omega e_\Omega^2 \varphi_\Omega^2 P - \int_\Omega e_\Omega^2 \varphi_\Omega u P - 2 \int_\Omega e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega d e_\Omega \wedge * d\varphi_\Omega \\ &\leq - \int_\Omega \varphi_\Omega d * du - 2 \int_\Omega e_\Omega d\varphi_\Omega \wedge *( \varphi_\Omega d e_\Omega) \\ &= \int_\Omega d\varphi_\Omega \wedge * du - 2 \int_\Omega e_\Omega d\varphi_\Omega \wedge *(d(e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega) - e_\Omega d\varphi_\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega^2 &\leq \|\varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega \|u\|_\Omega + 2 \|\varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega \|e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega + 2 \|\varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega^2 \\ &\leq 2 \|u\|_\Omega \|e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega + 3 \|u\|_\Omega^2 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\|e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega\|_\Omega \leq 3 \|u\|_\Omega$ . By Fatou's lemma we deduce

$$D_R(e_R \varphi) \leq \liminf_{\Omega \rightarrow R} D_\Omega(e_\Omega \varphi_\Omega) \leq 9 D_R(u) < \infty.$$

6. **Sufficiency of (5).** Let  $u_\Omega \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $\Delta u_\Omega(z) = P(z)u_\Omega(z)$  on  $\Omega$  and  $u_\Omega|_{\partial\Omega} = h$ . By Green's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\Omega - h e_\Omega\|_\Omega^2 &= - \int_\Omega (u_\Omega - h e_\Omega) d * d(u_\Omega - h e_\Omega) \\ &= - \int_\Omega (u_\Omega - h e_\Omega) u_\Omega P + \int_\Omega (u_\Omega - h e_\Omega) h e_\Omega P \\ &\quad + 2 \int_\Omega (u_\Omega - h e_\Omega) dh \wedge * d e_\Omega \\ &= - \int_\Omega (u_\Omega - h e_\Omega)^2 P + 2 \int_\Omega (u_\Omega - h e_\Omega) dh \wedge * d e_\Omega \\ &\leq 2 \int_\Omega dh \wedge *(d(e_\Omega u_\Omega) - e_\Omega du_\Omega) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_\Omega e_\Omega dh \wedge *(d(h e_\Omega) - e_\Omega dh). \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\Omega - h e_\Omega\|_\Omega^2 &\leq 2 \|h\|_\Omega \|e_\Omega u_\Omega\|_\Omega + 2 \|h\|_\Omega \|u_\Omega\|_\Omega \\ &\quad + 2 \|h\|_\Omega \|h e_\Omega\|_\Omega + 2 \|h\|_\Omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the same estimate as in §4, we deduce  $\|e_\Omega u_\Omega\|_\Omega \leq 5\|u_\Omega\|_\Omega$  and  $\|u_\Omega\|_\Omega \leq \|u_\Omega - he_\Omega\|_\Omega + \|he_\Omega\|_\Omega$ , and hence

$$\|u_\Omega - he_\Omega\|_\Omega^2 \leq 12 \|h\|_\Omega \|u - he_\Omega\|_\Omega + 14 \|h\|_\Omega \|he_\Omega\|_\Omega + 2 \|h\|_\Omega^2.$$

By (5) we conclude that

$$(6) \quad D_\Omega(u_\Omega) \leq K < \infty$$

for every  $\Omega$  with a constant  $K$ .

7. Fix an  $\Omega_0$  such that  $P \neq 0$  on  $\Omega_0$ . Since  $\text{PD}(\Omega_0)$  is a Hilbert space with reproducing kernel (cf. [2]), (6) implies that there exists an exhaustion  $\{\Omega_n\}$  of  $R$  with  $\Omega_n \supset \Omega_0$  such that  $\{u_{\Omega_n}\}$  converges uniformly on each compact set of  $\Omega_0$ . By a diagonal process, we may assume that  $\{u_{\Omega_n}\}$  converges uniformly on each compact set of  $R$ . Let  $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{\Omega_n}$ . Because of (6) and Fatou's lemma we see that  $u \in \text{PD}(R)$ . We can regard  $h - u_{\Omega_n}$  as an element of  $\tilde{M}_0(R) \subset \tilde{M}_\Delta(R)$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h - u_{\Omega_n}) = h - u$  uniformly on each compact set of  $R$  and  $\sup_n D_R(h - u_{\Omega_n}) < \infty$ , Kawamura's lemma (cf. [7]) implies that  $h - u \in \tilde{M}_\Delta(R)$ , i.e.  $h = Tu$  and a fortiori  $h \in X_D(R)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Glasner and R. Katz, *On the behavior of solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$  at the Royden boundary*, J. Analyse Math. **22** (1969), 343–354. MR **41** #1995.
2. M. Nakai, *The space of Dirichlet-finite solutions of the equation  $\Delta u = Pu$  on a Riemann surface*, Nagoya Math. J. **18** (1961), 111–131. MR **23** #A1027.
3. ———, *Dirichlet finite solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$ , and classification of Riemann surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 381–385.
4. ———, *On the old theorem of BreLOT. Note 2: On classification with respect to  $\Delta u = Pu$* , Lecture Note at the University of California, Los Angeles, 1970.
5. M. Ozawa, *Classification of Riemann surfaces*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **1952**, 63–76. MR **14**, 462.
6. H. L. Royden, *The equation  $\Delta u = Pu$ , and the classification of open Riemann surfaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I No. 271 (1959). MR **22** #12215.
7. L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification theory of Riemann surfaces*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 164, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970. MR **41** #8660.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124