

A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION
FOR $\beta X \setminus X$ TO BE AN INDECOMPOSABLE
CONTINUUM

R. F. DICKMAN, JR.

ABSTRACT. In his dissertation, David Bellamy has shown that if $I = [0, 1)$, then $\beta I \setminus I$ is an indecomposable continuum, and R. G. Woods, in his dissertation, obtained the same result and in addition showed that for $m > 1$, $\beta R^m \setminus R^m$ is a decomposable continuum. In this note we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\beta X \setminus X$ to be an indecomposable continuum when X is a locally connected generalized continuum.

Definitions and notation. If Y is a topological space and $A \subset B \subset Y$, we denote the closure of A in B by $\text{cl}_B A$ and we say that a set $A \subset B$ is *conditionally compact* in B if $\text{cl}_B A$ is compact. A *ray* in Y is a closed subset R of Y that is homeomorphic to $[0, 1)$. We say that a connected space Y has the *strong complementation* property provided whenever U is a non-conditionally compact connected open subset of Y , $Y \setminus U$ is compact. A compact connected Hausdorff space Y is said to be an *indecomposable continuum* if there does not exist two nonempty closed, connected proper subsets of Y whose union is Y . By a *generalized continuum* we will mean a locally compact, connected metric space. We will use βX to denote the Stone-Čech compactification of a completely regular Hausdorff space. All terms not defined here may be found in [6], [7] or [8].

We need the following results:

LEMMA 1 [7, THEOREM 3.41]. *Let Y be a compact, connected Hausdorff space and C be a nonempty, closed and connected proper subset of Y . If C has a nonempty interior, Y is not an indecomposable continuum.*

LEMMA 2. *Let X be a noncompact, locally connected generalized continuum. Then X contains a ray.*

PROOF. Let X_∞ denote the one-point compactification of X . It is well known that X_∞ is a locally connected, compact connected metric space and as such is arcwise connected. Let $a \in X$ and let I be any arc in X_∞ from a to $\{\infty\}$. Then $I \setminus \{\infty\}$ is a ray in X .

Received by the editors January 14, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 54D35; Secondary 54F15.

Key words and phrases. Stone-Čech compactification, indecomposable continuum.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

LEMMA 3. *Let X be a noncompact, locally connected generalized continuum. Then X has the strong complementation property if and only if for every ray R in X , $X \setminus R$ is conditionally compact.*

PROOF OF THE NECESSITY. Let R be a ray in X and suppose that $X \setminus R$ is not conditionally compact. Then there exists a closed set A of X such that A is not compact and $A \cap R = \emptyset$. Since X is locally connected there exists an open connected set U of X containing R such that $A \cap U = \emptyset$. But then neither $\text{cl}_X U$ or $X \setminus U$ is compact and so X cannot have the strong complementation property. This completes the proof of the necessity.

PROOF OF THE SUFFICIENCY. Suppose that for every ray R in X , $X \setminus R$ is conditionally compact. Let U be any nonconditionally compact open connected subset of X . By Lemma 2, X contains a ray and so there exists a homeomorphism h of $[0, 1)$ onto a closed set S of X . Since $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus S)$ is compact there exists a point $t \in [0, 1)$ such that $h(t)$ is the last point on S that lies in $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus S)$. Then $h(t, 1)$ is an open subset of X . We assert that there exists $s \in [t, 1)$ such that $h[s, 1) \subset U$. First we note that for every $s \in [t, 1)$, $h[s, 1) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for otherwise, since $X \setminus h[s, 1)$ is conditionally compact, U would be conditionally compact. Now suppose that for every $s \in [t, 1)$, $h[s, 1)$ is not a subset of U . Then there exists $p \in (t, 1)$ such that $h(p) \notin U$ and both of the sets $h[t, p)$ and $h(p, 1)$ intersect U . Now $U_1 = U \cap (X \setminus h[p, 1))$ is open in X since $h[p, 1)$ is closed and $U_2 = U \cap h(p, 1)$ is open in X since $h(p, 1)$ is open in X . Furthermore, neither U_1 or U_2 is empty and $U = U_1 \cup U_2$. Of course, this contradicts the assumption that U is connected and so there exists $s \in [t, 1)$ such that $h[s, 1) \subset U$. Then $X \setminus U$ is a subset of $X \setminus h[s, 1)$ and so $X \setminus U$ is compact. This completes the proof.

THEOREM. *Let X be a noncompact locally connected generalized continuum. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\beta X \setminus X$ to be an indecomposable continuum is that X have the strong complementation property.*

PROOF OF THE SUFFICIENCY. Suppose that X has the strong complementation property. Let R be any ray in X and let $T = \text{cl}_{\beta X} R$. By D. Bellamy's result, $\beta R \setminus R$ is an indecomposable continuum and by Theorem 7 of [4], the identity mapping on R can be extended to a homeomorphism of T onto βR . Thus, $C = T \setminus R$ is an indecomposable continuum that lies in $\beta X \setminus X$. But by Lemma 3, $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus R)$ is compact so that $\beta X \setminus X = C$ and $\beta X \setminus X$ is an indecomposable continuum.

PROOF OF THE NECESSITY. Suppose that X does not have the strong complementation property. By Lemma 2, there exists a ray R in X such that $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus R)$ is not compact. Then there exists a noncompact closed subset A of X such that $A \cap R = \emptyset$ and a connected open subset U of X such that $R \subset U$ and $\text{cl}_X U \cap A = \emptyset$. Now since X is locally compact and

separable $X = \bigcup K_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots$, where for each $i \geq 1$, K_i is a compact subset of the interior of K_{i+1} . Let R_1, R_2, \dots be a sequence of subrays of R such that for each $i \geq 1$, R_i is a subset of $X \setminus K_i$. Finally for each $i \geq 1$ let G_i be the component of $U \cap (X \setminus K_i)$ that contains R_i and let $H_i = (X \setminus K_i) \cap (X \setminus G_i)$. We set $G = \bigcap \text{cl}_{\beta X} G_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots$, and $H = \bigcap \text{cl}_{\beta X} H_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots$, and note that $\beta X \setminus X = H \cup G$. Furthermore, we observe that G is a nonempty compact, connected space. Now if $\beta X \setminus X$ is not connected, it cannot be an indecomposable continuum so suppose that $\beta X \setminus X$ is connected. We now proceed to show that G is a proper subset of $\beta X \setminus X$ and that G has a nonempty interior. Let $a \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \cap (\beta X \setminus X)$. Note that such a point exists since A is not compact. Then since X is a normal space, A and $\text{cl}_X U$ are completely separated in X and by Theorem (6.5) of [6], A and $\text{cl}_X U$ have disjoint closures in βX . Then $a \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} U \supset G$ and so $G \neq \beta X \setminus X$. Similarly if $r \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} R_1 \cap (\beta X \setminus X)$, $r \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} H_1 \supset H$ and so G has a nonempty interior relative to $\beta X \setminus X$. By Lemma 1, $\beta X \setminus X$ is not an indecomposable continuum and this completes the proof.

COROLLARY. *Let X be a noncompact locally connected generalized continuum. If $\beta X \setminus X$ is an indecomposable continuum, then X_∞ , the one-point compactification of X , is the only locally connected compactification of X .*

PROOF. Suppose that Y is a locally connected compactification of X and $Y \setminus X$ is nondegenerate. Then there exists disjoint arcs I_1 and I_2 in Y such that $I_i \cap (Y \setminus X)$ is a single point a_i , $i=1, 2$. Then if $R_i = I_i \setminus \{a_i\}$, $i=1, 2$, R_1 is a ray in X with $X \setminus R_1$ nonconditionally compact. By Lemma 3, X does not have the strong complementation property and hence $\beta X \setminus X$ cannot be an indecomposable continuum. Of course, this implies that X_∞ is the only locally connected compactification of X .

EXAMPLE. Let $T_0 = [0, 1)$ and for each positive integer n let T_n be the closed line segment joining the points $(n-1/n, 1)$ and $(n-1/n, 0)$ in E^2 . Let $X = \bigcup T_i$, $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then X is a locally connected generalized continuum that does not have the strong complementation and so $\beta X \setminus X$ is not indecomposable. However, X_∞ is the only locally connected compactification of X .

REMARK. A connected space Y is said to have the complementation property if for every compact set K in Y , $Y \setminus K$ has at most one nonconditionally compact component. It can be shown that if X is a locally connected generalized continuum, then X has the complementation property if and only if $\beta X \setminus X$ is connected. Then by the theorem above, X has the complementation property whenever it has the strong complementation property. See [2] or [3] for further properties of spaces with the complementation property.

REFERENCES

1. David Bellamy, *Topological properties of compactifications of a half-open interval*, Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mich., 1968.
2. R. F. Dickman, Jr., *Unicoherence and related properties*, *Duke Math. J.* **34** (1967), 343–351. MR **35** #A3632.
3. ———, *Compactness of mappings on products of locally connected generalized continua*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 1093–1094. MR **36** #853.
4. M. H. Stone, *On the compactification of topological spaces*, *Ann. Soc. Polon. Math.* **21** (1948), 153–160. MR **10**, 137.
5. R. G. Woods, *Certain properties of $\beta X \setminus X$ for σ -compact X* , Ph.D. Thesis, McGill University, 1968.
6. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, The University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960. MR **22** #6994.
7. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961. MR **23** #A2857.
8. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.*, vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1942. MR **4**, 86.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA 33124

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061