

COHOMOLOGY OPERATIONS ON p -FOLD SUMS

EMERY THOMAS¹

ABSTRACT. A formula is given for evaluating higher order cohomology operations on integral classes that are p -fold multiples, p a prime.

Let Ω be an n th order ($n \geq 2$) cohomology operation defined on integral cohomology classes, given by a relation

$$(1) \quad \sum_i \alpha_i \Phi_i = 0,$$

where each Φ_i is an $(n-1)$ st order (integral) operation and each α_i is an element of the mod p Steenrod algebra \mathcal{A} . We will think of each operation Φ_i as being defined on integral classes of some fixed degree, q . We suppose that Φ_i is the suspension of an operation Ψ_i , defined on classes of degree $q+1$, and that relation (1) desuspends. In particular, each Φ_i is additive. Suppose now that X is a space and $u \in H^q(X, Z)$ is a class such that $\Phi_i(u)$ is defined for each i . Of course Ω is not necessarily defined on u , but Ω is defined on pu , since each Φ_i is additive. Our problem is: how does one compute $\Omega(pu)$?

In [2] a morphism $\varepsilon: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined, of degree -1 , characterized by the following properties:

- (i) ε is a derivation of the graded algebra \mathcal{A} .
- (ii) If $p=2$, $\varepsilon(Sq^n) = Sq^{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$; if $p > 2$, $\varepsilon(\beta_p) = 1$, $\varepsilon(P^i) = 0$, $i \geq 0$, where β_p denotes the mod p Bockstein. (For $p=2$, ε is the morphism $\hat{\kappa}$ considered by Kristensen [1].)

We now can state our result.

THEOREM. *Let Ω be an operation associated with relation (1) and let $u \in H^q(X; Z)$ be a class in the domain of each Φ_i . Then,*

$$(-1)^s \sum \varepsilon(\alpha_i) \Phi_i(u) \subset \Omega(pu),$$

where $s = q + \deg \Omega$.

Received by the editors July 27, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 5534.

Key words and phrases. Cohomology operation.

¹ Research supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP-29073.

PROOF. Let B denote a universal example for the operations $\{\Psi_i\}$ defined on integral classes of degree $q+1$. Thus, B is an $(n-1)$ st stage Postnikov system over $K(Z, q+1)$ (note [3]). By hypothesis, there are classes $\psi_i \in H^*(B; Z_p)$ such that

$$\sum (-1)^{a_i} \alpha_i \psi_i = 0, \text{ where } a_i = \deg \alpha_i.$$

Suppose $d_i = \deg \psi_i$, and set $C = \times_i K(Z_p, q+1+d_i)$. If we let $\psi = \{\psi_i\}$, we then have a map $\psi: B \rightarrow C$. Denote by

$$(2) \quad \Omega C \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$$

the principal fibration with ψ as classifying map. By hypothesis, there is a class $\lambda \in H^{s+1}(E; Z_p)$, thought of as a map

$$E \xrightarrow{\lambda} K(Z_p, s+1) = K,$$

such that $i^* \lambda = \alpha = \{\alpha_i\} \in H^{s+1}(\Omega C; Z_p)$.

Now take the loops of (2); we obtain a fibration

$$\Omega^2 C \xrightarrow{i'} \Omega E \xrightarrow{\pi'} \Omega B,$$

and if we denote by σ the loop homomorphism in cohomology, then $\sigma\psi = \phi = \{\phi_i\}$, and $\sigma\lambda = \omega$, a representative for Ω .

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega E & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \Omega B & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Omega C & \xrightarrow{i} & E \\ \downarrow \omega & & \downarrow t & & \parallel & & \downarrow \lambda \\ \Omega K & \xrightarrow{k} & \Omega L & \xrightarrow{j} & \Omega C & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K \end{array}$$

Here the lower line is the principal fibration sequence for the map α . (ΩL is a loop space since α is stable.) Since the right-hand square commutes, the map t exists. Now apply the functor $[X,]$ to (3); we obtain the following commutative diagram with each row an exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [X, \Omega E] & \xrightarrow{\pi'_*} & [X, \Omega B] & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & [X, \Omega C] \\ \downarrow \omega_* & & \downarrow t_* & & \parallel \\ H^s(X; Z_p) & \xrightarrow{k_*} & [X, \Omega L] & \xrightarrow{j_*} & [X, \Omega C] \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H^{s+1}(X; Z_p) \end{array}$$

By hypothesis, there is a class $v \in [X, \Omega B]$ such that v goes to u in $H^q(X; Z)$. Since $p[X, \Omega C] = 0$, by exactness there is a class $x \in [X, \Omega E]$ such that $\pi'_*(x) = pv$. And by definition $\omega_*(x) \in \Omega(pu)$.

On the other hand, consider $y = t_*(v) \in [X, \Omega L]$. We have $j_*(y) = \phi_*(v) = \{\phi_{i*}(v)\}$ where $\phi_{i*}(v) \in \Phi_i(u)$. Also, $k_*\omega_*(x) = py$. Thus, by Corollary 3.7 of [2],

$$\omega_*(x) = (-1)^s \sum_i \varepsilon(\alpha_i) \phi_{i*}(v),$$

which completes the proof.

EXAMPLE. The simplest example of interest is the relation

$$(4) \quad Sq^2Sq^2 = 0, \quad \text{on integral classes.}$$

Since $\varepsilon(Sq^2) = Sq^1$, we then have the result:

$$Sq^3H^*(X; Z) \subset \Omega(2H^*(X; Z)),$$

where Ω is the secondary operation given by (4).

REMARK. As pointed out by F. Peterson, if (1) is in fact a relation that holds on mod p classes, then $\Omega(pu) \equiv 0$. For let ρ denote the cohomology homomorphism induced by the coefficient group epimorphism $Z \rightarrow Z_p$. Then for any integral class v in the domain of Ω , $\Omega(v) \equiv \Omega(\rho v)$, and hence

$$\Omega(pu) \equiv \Omega(\rho(pu)) \equiv \Omega(0) \equiv 0.$$

REFERENCES

1. L. Kristensen, *On a Cartan formula for secondary cohomology operations*, Math. Scand. **16** (1965), 97–115. MR **33** #4926.
2. L. L. Larmore and E. Thomas, *Group extensions and principal fibrations*, Math. Scand. (to appear).
3. C. R. F. Maunder, *Cohomology operations of the N th kind*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **13** (1963), 125–154. MR **35** #2279.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720