

ON THE LOWER BOUND OF THE NUMBER OF REAL ROOTS OF A RANDOM ALGEBRAIC EQUATION WITH INFINITE VARIANCE

G. SAMAL AND M. N. MISHRA

ABSTRACT. Let N_n be the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation $\sum_0^n \xi_v x^v = 0$, where the coefficients ξ_r 's are independent random variables with common characteristic function $\exp(-C|t|^\alpha)$, C being a positive constant and $\alpha \geq 1$. It is proved that

$$N_n \geq (\mu \log n)/(\log \log n).$$

The measure of the exceptional set tends to zero as n tends to infinity.

1. **Introduction.** Consider the algebraic equation

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \xi_0 + \xi_1 x + \cdots + \xi_n x^n = 0$$

where the ξ_v 's are independent random variables assuming real values only, and let N_n be the number of real roots of (1).

The problem of finding a lower bound of N_n has been considered by several authors. But none has taken up the case when the coefficients have infinite variance. Samal [3] has considered the general case when the ξ_v 's have identical distribution, with expectation zero and variance, and third absolute moment finite and nonzero.

(2) The object of this paper is to find a lower bound for N_n when the ξ_v 's are identically distributed with a common characteristic function $\exp(-C|t|^\alpha)$, where C is a positive constant and $\alpha \geq 1$. For $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ this represents a symmetric stable distribution with infinite variance.

A stronger result has been obtained by Littlewood and Offord [2] in the case $\alpha = 2$. They have proved that $N_n \geq (\mu \log n)/(\log \log \log n)$ except for a set of measure which tends to zero as n tends to infinity.

Although our result is weaker than the result obtained by them, it holds for all $\alpha \geq 1$. The importance of our result lies in the range $1 < \alpha < 2$ when the variance is infinite.

Our main theorem is the following:

THEOREM. *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree n chosen at random with condition (2). Then for $n \geq n_0$, the number of real roots of most of the equations*

Received by the editors June 21, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 60XX, 6090.

Key words and phrases. Random variables, stable distribution, infinite variance, random algebraic equations.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

$f(x)=0$ is at least $(\mu \log n)/(\log \log n)$. The measure of the exceptional set tends to zero as n tends to infinity.

We shall always suppose that any inequality is satisfied when n is large. We shall denote positive constants by μ .

2. The following lemma is necessary in the beginning of the proof.

LEMMA 1. If a random variable $\xi(u)$ has characteristic function $\exp(-C|t|^\alpha)$ then

$$\Pr(|\xi(u)| > \varepsilon) < \frac{2^{1+\alpha} \cdot C}{1 + \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\alpha}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

PROOF. Let $F(x)$ be the distribution function of $\xi(u)$. Then, by Gnedenko and Kolmogorov [1, p. 53],

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(|\xi(u)| > \varepsilon) &= 1 - \{F(\varepsilon) - F(-\varepsilon)\} \\ &\leq 1 - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \left| \int_{-2/\varepsilon}^{2/\varepsilon} \exp(-C|t|^\alpha) dt \right| - 1 \right\} \\ &= 2 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \int_{-2/\varepsilon}^{2/\varepsilon} \exp(-C|t|^\alpha) dt \\ &= 2 - \varepsilon \int_0^{2/\varepsilon} \exp(-C|t|^\alpha) dt \\ &\leq 2 - \varepsilon \int_0^{2/\varepsilon} (1 - Ct^\alpha) dt \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon C}{1 + \alpha} (2/\varepsilon)^{1+\alpha} = \frac{2^{1+\alpha} \cdot C}{1 + \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

3. **Proof of the Theorem.** We shall follow the line of proof of Samal [3] with modifications where necessary.

(3) Take constants A and B such that $0 < B < 1$ and $A > 1$ and let $\lambda = \log n$.

Let M be the integer defined by

$$(4) \quad M = [2^{1+\alpha} A e^{\lambda^\alpha} / B] + 1$$

where $[x]$ is the integral part of x ; and let k be determined by

$$(5) \quad M^{2k} \leq n < M^{2k+2}.$$

We consider the polynomial $f = \sum_{v=0}^n \xi_v x^v$ at the points

$$(6) \quad x_m = \left(1 - \frac{1}{M^{2m}}\right)^{1/\alpha} \quad (m = [\frac{1}{2}k] + 1, [\frac{1}{2}k] + 2, \dots, k).$$

There are $\frac{1}{2}k$ points if k is even and $\frac{1}{2}(k+1)$ points if k is odd. We have

$$(7) \quad f(x_m) = U_m + R_m$$

where

$$(8) \quad U_m = \sum_{M^{2m-1}+1}^{M^{2m+1}} \xi_v x_m^v \quad \text{and} \quad R_m = \left(\sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} + \sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n \right) \xi_v x_m^v.$$

Obviously U_m and U_{m+1} are independent random variables. We also define

$$(9) \quad V_m = \left(\sum_{M^{2m-1}+1}^{M^{2m+1}} x_m^{av} \right)^{1/a}.$$

We proceed to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \Pr\{(U_{2m} > V_{2m}, U_{2m+1} < -V_{2m+1}) \cup (U_{2m} < -V_{2m}, U_{2m+1} > V_{2m+1})\} \\ &= \Pr(U_{2m} > V_{2m})\Pr(U_{2m+1} < -V_{2m+1}) \\ &\quad + \Pr(U_{2m} < -V_{2m})\Pr(U_{2m+1} > V_{2m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the characteristic function of $\xi_v(u)$ is $\exp(-C|t|^a)$ therefore the characteristic function of U_m is

$$\exp\left\{-C|t|^a \sum_{M^{2m-1}+1}^{M^{2m+1}} x_m^{av}\right\} = \exp\{-C|t|^a V_m^a\}.$$

Hence the characteristic function of U_m/V_m is $\exp\{-C|t|^a\}$ which is independent of m .

If $F(x)$ is the distribution function of U_m/V_m for all m , then

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \{1 - F(1)\}F(-1) + F(-1)\{1 - F(1)\} \\ &= 2F(-1)\{1 - F(1)\} = \delta \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $\delta > 0$.

We define E_m and F_m as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_m &= \{U_{2m} > V_{2m}, U_{2m+1} < -V_{2m+1}\}, \\ F_m &= \{U_{2m} < -V_{2m}, U_{2m+1} > V_{2m+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown above that $m(E_m \cup F_m) = \delta$.

Let η_m be a random variable such that it takes value 1 on $E_m \cup F_m$ and zero elsewhere. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_m &= 1 \quad \text{with probability } \delta, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{with probability } 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows as in Samal [3, p. 439] that outside a set of measure at most μ/k , either $U_{2m} > V_{2m}$ and $U_{2m+1} < -V_{2m+1}$ or $U_{2m} < -V_{2m}$ and $U_{2m+1} > V_{2m+1}$ for at least μk values of m .

4. Before we consider R_m we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.

$$\left| \sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n \xi_v(u) x_m^v \right| < \frac{1}{2} V_m$$

except for a set of measure at most $\{2^{1+2\alpha} \cdot C/(1+\alpha)\}(Ae/B) e^{-M}$.

PROOF. The characteristic function of $\sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n \xi_v(u) x_m^v$ is

$$\exp\left\{-C |t|^\alpha \sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n x_m^{av}\right\}.$$

Hence by using Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \Pr\left\{\left|\sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n \xi_v(u) x_m^v\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} V_m\right\} \\ &\leq \left\{2^{1+\alpha} \cdot C \sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n x_m^{av}\right\} / (1+\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{2} V_m\right)^\alpha \\ &= \left(C \cdot 2^{1+2\alpha} \sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n x_m^{av}\right) / (1+\alpha) V_m^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{M^{2m+1}+1}^n x_m^{av} &\leq \frac{x_m^{\alpha(M^{2m+1}+1)}}{1-x_m^\alpha} = M^{2m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M^{2m}}\right)^{M^{2m+1}+1} \\ &\leq M^{2m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M^{2m}}\right)^{M^{2m+1}+1} \\ &\leq M^{2m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M^{2m}}\right)^{M^{2m+1}} \leq M^{2m} e^{-M}. \end{aligned}$$

Also it follows as in Samal [3, p. 439] that

$$\begin{aligned} V_m^\alpha &= \sum_{M^{2m-1}+1}^{M^{2m+1}} x_m^{av} \geq \sum_{M^{2m-1}+1}^{M^{2m}} x_m^{av} \\ (10) \quad &\geq (M^{2m} - M^{2m-1}) \left(1 - \frac{1}{M^{2m}}\right)^{M^{2m}} \geq M^{2m} B \cdot \frac{e^{-1}}{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\leq \frac{C \cdot 2^{1+2\alpha}}{1+\alpha} \frac{M^{2m} e^{-M}}{M^{2m} B (e^{-1}/A)} \\ &= \frac{2^{1+2\alpha} \cdot C \cdot Ae}{1+\alpha} \frac{e^{-M}}{B}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.

$$\left| \sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} \xi_v(u)x_m^v \right| < \lambda \left(\sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} x_m^{av} \right)^{1/\alpha}$$

except for a set of measure at most $2^{1+\alpha} \cdot C/(1+\alpha)\lambda^\alpha$.

PROOF. The characteristic function of $\sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} \xi_v(u)x_m^v$ is

$$\exp\left\{-C |t|^\alpha \sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} x_m^{av}\right\}.$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 1,

$$P_2 = \Pr\left\{\left| \sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} \xi_v(u)x_m^v \right| \geq \lambda \left(\sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} x_m^{av} \right)^{1/\alpha}\right\} \leq 2^{1+\alpha} \cdot C/(1+\alpha)\lambda^\alpha.$$

Thus by using Lemmas 2 and 3, we have, for any given m ,

$$|R_m| < \frac{1}{2}V_m + \lambda \left(\sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} x_m^{av} \right)^{1/\alpha}$$

except for a set of measure at most $\mu_1 e^{-M} + \mu_2/\lambda^\alpha$ where μ_1 and μ_2 are positive constants which can be determined in terms of the initial constants C, α, A and B . But

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \left(\sum_0^{M^{2m-1}} x_m^{av} \right)^{1/\alpha} &< \lambda(M^{2m-1} + 1)^{1/\alpha} \\ &\leq \lambda M^{(2m-1)/\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{1}{M^{2m-1}} \right)^{1/\alpha} \\ &\leq 2^{1/\alpha} \lambda M^{(2m-1)/\alpha} \\ &= 2^{1/\alpha} \lambda M^{2m/\alpha} / M^{1/\alpha} \\ &\leq 2^{1/\alpha} \lambda \left(\frac{Ae}{B} \right)^{1/\alpha} V_m / M^{1/\alpha} \\ &= \lambda \left(\frac{2Ae}{BM} \right)^{1/\alpha} V_m. \end{aligned}$$

The last steps above follow from (10). Hence by using (4), it follows that

$$|R_m| < V_m$$

for $m = [\frac{1}{2}k] + 1, [\frac{1}{2}k] + 2, \dots, k$. Thus it follows from (7) that except for a set of measure at most

$$(11) \quad (\mu/k) + \mu_1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}(k+1)e^{-M} + \mu_2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}(k+1)/\lambda^\alpha.$$

f shows a change of sign and therefore has a root, between x_{2m} and x_{2m+1} for at least μk values of m .

It can be easily shown by using (4) and (5) that

$$\mu_1(\log n)^\alpha \leq M \leq \mu_2(\log n)^\alpha$$

and

$$(\mu_3 \log n)/(\log \log n) \leq k \leq (\mu_4 \log n)/(\log \log n).$$

We shall complete the proof of the theorem by showing that the measure of the exceptional set tends to zero as n tends to infinity.

$$\begin{aligned} ke^{-M} &\leq \{(\mu_4 \log n)/(\log \log n)\} \exp\{-\mu_1(\log n)^\alpha\} \\ &= (\mu_4/\log \log n) \cdot \{(\log n)/\exp[\mu_1(\log n)^\alpha]\} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero since $\alpha \geq 1$. Again

$$\begin{aligned} k/\lambda^\alpha &\leq \{(\mu_4 \log n)/(\log \log n)\}/(\log n)^\alpha \\ &= \{\mu_4/(\log \log n)\}/(\log n)^{\alpha-1} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero for the same reason as above. Also $1/k$ tends to zero as n tends to infinity. Hence (11) tends to zero as n tends to infinity. Obviously, the measure of the exceptional set is $O(1/(\log \log n)(\log n)^{\alpha-1})$ if $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ and $O(\log \log n/\log n)$ if $\alpha \geq 2$, when n tends to infinity.

REFERENCES

1. B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables*, GITTL, Moscow, 1949; English transl., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1954. MR 12, 839; MR 16, 52.
2. J. E. Littlewood and A. C. Offord, *On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation*. II, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 35 (1939), 133–148.
3. G. Samal, *On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 58 (1962), 433–442. MR 25 #2657.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAVENSHAW COLLEGE, CUTTACK 3, ORISSA, INDIA

BUREAU OF STATISTICS, CUTTACK, INDIA