

## MAINTENANCE OF OSCILLATIONS UNDER THE EFFECT OF A PERIODIC FORCING TERM

ATHANASSIOS G. KARTSATOS

**ABSTRACT.** A necessary and sufficient condition is given for the oscillation of all solutions of the differential equation

$$x^{(n)} + P(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)}) = Q(t)$$

where  $x_1 P(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0$  for every  $x_1 \neq 0$ , and  $Q$  is a continuous periodic function. This result answers a question recently raised by J. S. W. Wong. It is also shown that a well-known sufficient condition for the existence of at least one nonoscillatory solution of the unperturbed equation guarantees, for a large class of equations, the nonexistence of bounded oscillatory solutions.

**Introduction.** It is of great importance in physics, and particularly in the study of mechanical systems, to know whether we can maintain the oscillation of all solutions of

$$(*) \quad x^{(n)} + P(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)}) = 0$$

by adding a periodic forcing term (cf. Wong [5, p. 230], for a question raised there).

In [3] we gave some results concerning the oscillation of solutions to equations of the form

$$(**) \quad x^{(n)} + P(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)}) = Q(t)$$

where the function  $Q$  was small in some sense. Here we consider the same problem for a class of functions  $Q$  which contains "many" continuous periodic functions.

The functions  $P, Q$  will be supposed to be continuous and smooth enough to allow the existence of solutions of  $(**)$  for all large  $t$ . We consider only such solutions in this paper and denote their family by  $\mathcal{E}$ . A solution  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  is said to be bounded if  $|x(t)| \leq k$  for every  $t$  in its domain  $[T_x, +\infty)$  ( $T_x \geq t_0$ , where  $t_0$  is a fixed nonnegative number) and some  $k > 0$ . A solution  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  is said to be oscillatory if it has an unbounded set

---

Received by the editors August 20, 1971 and, in revised form, November 29, 1971.  
AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 3442; Secondary 3440.

*Key words and phrases.* Oscillation of solutions, nonoscillation of solutions, nonlinear differential equations.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

of zeros on  $[T_x, +\infty)$ . The function  $Q$  will be supposed to have the following property: there exist two sequences  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $\{t_n^*\}$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^* = +\infty$ ,  $R(t_n) = \lambda_1$ ,  $R(t_n^*) = -\lambda_2$ , and  $-\lambda_2 \leq R(t) \leq \lambda_1$  for all  $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$ , where  $R \in C^n[t_0, +\infty)$ ,  $R^{(n)}(t) = Q(t)$  for every  $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$ , and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ .

# 1. Our main result is contained in the following

**THEOREM 1.** Suppose that  $P(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv P_0(t)G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  where

(i)  $P_0: [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ , continuous and such that for any continuous  $S: [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  with  $S(t) \geq P_0(t)$ ,  $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$ , the equation

$$(1) \quad W^{(n)} + S(t)G(W, W' + R', \dots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) = 0$$

has all of its bounded solutions (resp. all of its solutions) (a) for  $n = \text{odd}$ , oscillatory or tending monotonically to zero, (b) for  $n = \text{even}$ , oscillatory;

(ii)  $G: R^n \rightarrow R = (-\infty, +\infty)$ , continuous, increasing w.r.t.  $x_1$  and  $x_1 G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0$  for every  $x_1 \neq 0$ .

Then, all bounded solutions (resp. all solutions)  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  are (a) for  $n = \text{odd}$ , oscillatory or such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [x(t) - R(t)] = -\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$ , (b) for  $n = \text{even}$ , oscillatory.

**PROOF.** Suppose first that  $n = \text{even}$  and that (1) has all of its bounded solutions oscillatory. Assume the existence of a bounded nonoscillatory solution  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  and let  $0 < x(t) \leq k < +\infty$  for all  $t \geq t_1$ , where  $t_1 \geq t_0$ . Then the function  $W(t) \equiv x(t) - R(t)$  is a bounded solution of

$$(2) \quad W^{(n)} + P_0(t)G(W(t) + R(t), \dots, W^{(n-1)}(t) + R^{(n-1)}(t)) = 0$$

with the property  $W(t) + R(t) > 0$  on  $[t_1, +\infty)$ . For this  $W(t)$  we obtain  $W^{(n)}(t) < 0$ , i.e., as in Theorem 1 of [1],  $(-1)^m W^{(m)}(t) < 0$  for every  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and every  $t \in [t_1, +\infty)$ . Since  $W(t) + R(t) > 0$ ,  $W'(t) > 0$  and  $R(t_n^*) = -\lambda_2$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that  $t_{n_0}^* \geq t_1$  and  $W(t) + R(t) \geq W(t_{n_0}^*) - R(t_{n_0}^*) = W(t_{n_0}^*) - \lambda_2 > 0$ ,  $t \in [t_{n_0}^*, +\infty)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} G(W + R, W' + R', \dots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) \\ \geq G(W - \lambda_2, \dots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [t_{n_0}^*, +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Now put  $V(t) \equiv W(t) - \lambda_2$ ,  $t \in [t_{n_0}^*, +\infty)$ ; then (1) becomes

$$(3) \quad V^{(n)}(t) + S(t)G(V(t), V'(t) + R'(t), \dots, V^{(n-1)}(t) + R^{(n-1)}(t)) = 0$$

where

$$S(t) = \frac{P_0(t)G(W + R, \dots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)})}{G(W - \lambda_2, \dots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)})} \geq P_0(t).$$

Since  $V(t)$  has to be oscillatory by assumption, we obtain a contradiction to  $W(t) - \lambda_2 > 0$ . Consequently,  $x(t)$  cannot be eventually positive. An analogous proof holds if we assume that  $x(t)$  is negative for all large  $t$ , and the proof for bounded solutions is complete.

Now, suppose that  $x(t)$  is a nonoscillatory and unbounded solution of (\*\*). Assume that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Then the function  $W(t) \equiv x(t) - R(t)$  satisfies equation (2) and, according to Theorem 2 of [1], we must have  $W'(t) > 0$  for all large  $t$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W(t) = +\infty$ . Thus,  $W(t) + R(t) \geq W(t) - \lambda_2 > 0$  eventually, and we arrive again at equation (3) which (provided of course that hypothesis (i), (b) is satisfied) implies a contradiction to the positivity of the function  $W(t) - \lambda_2$ . Consequently,  $x(t)$  cannot be eventually positive. An analogous situation appears in the case  $x(t) = \text{negative}$  for all large  $t$ , and this completes the proof in the case  $n = \text{even}$ .

Assume now that  $n = \text{odd}$ ,  $x(t)$  is a solution of (\*) such that  $0 < x(t) \leq k$ ,  $t \in [t_1, +\infty)$  and hypothesis (i), (a) is satisfied for the bounded solutions of (1). Let  $W(t) \equiv x(t) + R(t)$ ,  $t \in [t_1, +\infty)$ . Then  $W(t)$  is a bounded solution of (2) such that  $W(t) + R(t) > 0$  and  $W'(t) < 0$  for every  $t \in [t_1, +\infty)$  (formulas analogous to those of Cases I, II in Theorem 2 of [1] also hold in the case  $n = \text{odd}$ ). Suppose that  $W(\tau) - \lambda_2 \leq 0$  for some  $\tau \geq t_1$ . Then,  $W(t) - \lambda_2 < 0$  for all  $t > \tau$ , which implies a contradiction to  $W(t) + R(t) > 0$ . Thus,  $W(t) - \lambda_2 > 0$  for all  $t \geq t_1$  and it follows from (3) that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W(t) - \lambda_2 = 0$ , or  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) - R(t) = \lambda_2$ . As in the case  $n = \text{even}$ , it can be shown that there are no positive solutions of (\*\*) which are unbounded for all large  $t$ , and this completes the proof of the case  $n = \text{odd}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 1 is satisfied, and  $P_0$  is positive and continuous with  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} P_0(t) dt = +\infty$ , then, for  $n = \text{even}$ , every bounded solution of (\*\*) is oscillatory, and, for  $n = \text{odd}$ , every bounded solution of (\*\*) is oscillatory, or such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [x(t) - R(t)] = -\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$ .*

2. Let  $x(t)$  be a solution of (\*\*) ( $Q(t) \equiv 0$ ) such that  $|x(t)| \leq k$ ,  $t \in [T_x, +\infty)$  and

$$(4) \quad \int_{T_x}^{\infty} t^{n-1} |P(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t))| dt < +\infty.$$

Then we have

$$x^{(n-1)}(t) = x^{(n-1)}(T_x) - \int_{T_x}^t P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds \quad (\bar{x}(t) \equiv (x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)))$$

which, by use of (4), yields

$$(5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x^{(n-1)}(t) = x^{(n-1)}(T_x) - \int_{T_x}^{\infty} P(t, \bar{x}(t)) dt.$$

Now,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x^{(n-1)}(t) = 0$ , otherwise we would have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = \pm\infty$ , a contradiction to the boundedness of  $x(t)$ . Thus, from (5) we obtain

$$(6) \quad x^{(n-1)}(T_x) = \int_{T_x}^{\infty} P(t, \bar{x}(t)) dt.$$

It is obvious that we can replace  $(T_x)$  in (6) by any  $t \geq T_x$ , in which case it becomes

$$(7) \quad x^{(n-1)}(t) = \int_t^{\infty} P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds, \quad t \geq T_x.$$

A new integration from  $T_x$  to  $t \geq T_x$  gives

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} x^{(n-2)}(t) &= x^{(n-2)}(T_x) + \int_{T_x}^t \left( \int_s^{\infty} P(u, \bar{x}(u)) du \right) ds \\ &= x^{(n-2)}(T_x) + \int_{T_x}^{\infty} (s - T_x) P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds - \int_t^{\infty} (s - t) P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit of (8) as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and then replacing  $T_x$  by  $t \geq T_x$ , we finally obtain

$$(9) \quad x^{(n-2)}(t) = \int_t^{\infty} (t - s) P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds.$$

Repeating the same process we obtain the formulas

$$(10) \quad x^{(m)}(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(t - s)^{n-m-1}}{(n - m - 1)!} P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$$

and

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(T_x) - \int_{T_x}^{\infty} \frac{(T_x - s)^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(t - s)^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x(t)$  is bounded and oscillatory, then we have to have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = 0$ . Thus, from (11) we get

$$(12) \quad x(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(t - s)^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} P(s, \bar{x}(s)) ds.$$

We have the following

**LEMMA.** *If  $x(t)$  is a solution of  $(**)$  ( $Q(t) \equiv 0$ ) such that  $|x(t)| \leq k$ ,  $t \in [T_x, +\infty)$  and*

$$\int_{T_x}^{\infty} t^{n-1} |P(t, \bar{x}(t))| dt < +\infty,$$

*then  $x(t)$  satisfies the equation (11), which reduces to (12) if  $x(t)$  is oscillatory.*

It has been repeatedly shown (see Wong [5] and the references cited there) that if  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} |P_0(t)| dt < +\infty$ , the equation (\*\*) with  $Q(t) \equiv 0$  and  $P \equiv P_0 G$  has at least one bounded nonoscillatory solution which converges to a nonzero limit as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . We show here that, under quite general conditions, equation (\*\*) with  $Q(t) \equiv 0$  has no bounded oscillatory solutions. Before we give the main theorem of this section, we note that the integral condition on  $P_0$  in the corollary is also necessary. In fact, as above, the problem reduces to finding a solution to the integral equation

$$x(t) = k + \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} P_0(s) G(W(s) + R(s), \dots, W^{(n-1)}(s) + R^{(n-1)}(s)) ds,$$

where  $k$  is a nonzero constant.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $Q(t) \equiv 0$  in (\*\*) and*

(i)  *$P: [t_0, +\infty) \times R^n \rightarrow R$ , continuous, and such that*

$$|P(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(t))| \leq P_{1,u}(t) |u(t)|,$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} P_{1,u}(t) dt < +\infty,$$

*for every bounded  $u \in C^n[t_0, +\infty)$ , where  $P_{1,u}: [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow R_+ = [0, +\infty)$  and continuous.*

*Then, every bounded oscillatory  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  has to be identically zero for all large  $t$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  is bounded and oscillatory. Then it follows from the Lemma that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = 0$  and

$$(13) \quad x(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) ds.$$

Now, there exists  $t_1 \geq T_x$  such that  $|x(t_1)| = \sup_{t \in [t_1, +\infty)} |x(t)|$ , and

$$(14) \quad \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (t - t_1 + 1)^{n-1} P_{1,x}(t) dt < 1.$$

Combining (13) and (14) we obtain

$$|x(t)| \leq \int_t^{\infty} |(t-s)^{n-1} P(s, x(s))| ds \leq \int_t^{\infty} (t - t_1 + 1)^{n-1} P_{1,x}(t) |x(t)| dt$$

and

$$|x(t_1)| \leq |x(t_1)| \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (t - t_1 + 1)^{n-1} P_{1,x}(t) dt,$$

a contradiction to (14), unless  $\sup_{t \in [t_1, +\infty)} |x(t)| = 0$ .

A corollary to Theorem 2, which covers large classes of interesting equations, is the following

**COROLLARY.** *There are no nontrivial bounded oscillatory solutions to the equation*

$$x^{(n)} + (1/t^{n+\varepsilon})x^{2p+1} = 0$$

where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p = \text{a nonnegative integer}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

In fact, here we have  $P_{\bar{x},x}(t) = k^{2p}(1/t^{n+\varepsilon})$  for any  $x \in C^n[1, +\infty)$ ,  $|x(t)| \leq k$ .

**DISCUSSION.** It is worth noticing that the problem of the oscillation of (\*\*) is being reduced here to that concerning the oscillation of an equation of the type (\*) without forcing term. However, there still remains an open question: What functions do ensure the oscillation of (\*\*) without necessarily requiring that all solutions of the unperturbed equation be oscillatory?

Theorem 1 can be extended to equations with more general functions  $P$ , e.g., the ones considered in Chapter 1 of [2] which contain as very special cases some of those considered by Ryder and Wend in [4].

Another open problem here is the following: what happens if  $P$  does not satisfy  $x_1 P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ ? In the case

$$P^-(t, \bar{x}(t)) = -\min\{P(t, \bar{x}(t)), 0\} = \text{small enough,}$$

e.g.,  $\int_0^\infty t^{n-1} P^-(t, \bar{x}(t)) dt < +\infty$ , the author thinks that the following procedure might prove to be useful: We first reduce the problem to that of an unperturbed equation and then we consider the perturbed equation

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(n)} + P^+(t, W + R, \dots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) \\ = P^-(t, W + R, \dots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) \\ (P^+(t, \bar{x}(t)) = \max\{P(t, \bar{x}(t)), 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

which can be treated as in [3].

**EXAMPLE.** Consider the equation:

$$(***) \quad x^{(n)} + (1/t^n)x^{2p+1} = \sin(2t + 1)$$

where  $n = \text{even}$ ,  $p = \text{a positive integer}$ . Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(t) &\equiv 1/t^n, & G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &\equiv x_1^{2p+1}, \\ R(t) &\equiv 2^{-n} \sin(2t + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_1^\infty t^{n-1} S(t) dt = +\infty \text{ for any function } S(t) \geq P_0(t)$$

it follows from Theorem 2 in [1] that for such functions  $S$  all solutions of

$x^{(n)} + S(t)x^{2p+1} = 0$  are oscillatory, and our Theorem 1 implies the oscillation of all solutions of (\*\*\*).

The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. Professor H. Teufel [*Forced second order nonlinear oscillation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear)] has obtained some results under conditions independent of the ones considered in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. G. Kartsatos, *On oscillation of solutions of even order nonlinear differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **6** (1969), 232–237. MR **39** #5877.
2. ———, *Contributions to the research of the oscillation and the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Athens; Bull. Soc. Math. Grèce **10** (1969), 1–48.
3. ———, *On the maintenance of oscillations of  $n$ th order equations under the effect of a small forcing term*, J. Differential Equations **10** (1971), 355–363.
4. G. H. Ryder and D. V. V. Wend, *Oscillation of solutions of certain ordinary differential equations of  $n$ th order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 463–469. MR **41** #5710.
5. J. S. W. Wong, *On second order nonlinear oscillation*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **11** (1968), 207–234. MR **39** #7221.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA, TAMPA, FLORIDA 33620