

NONCONSTANT ENDOMORPHISMS OF LATTICES

J. SICHLER¹

ABSTRACT. There is a proper class of pairwise nonisomorphic lattices whose monoids of all nonconstant endomorphisms are isomorphic to a given monoid M .

1. Introduction. There are monoids not appearing as full endomorphism monoids of lattices: every constant mapping of a lattice into itself is one of its endomorphisms and therefore any monoid of all endomorphisms of a lattice contains a left zero element. The nonconstant endomorphisms do not have to form a monoid since the composition of two nonconstant mappings may be a constant mapping.

The aim of the present note is to show that for every monoid M there is a lattice L such that the set of all its nonconstant endomorphisms is closed under composition and isomorphic to M ; this solves completely Problem 3 of [2]. R. McKenzie advised the author that the result follows from [3] under the generalized continuum hypothesis. However, neither the present paper nor any of the results used here requires any set-theoretical assumptions.

Using graph-theoretic and lattice-theoretic results we will prove a substantially stronger theorem which will also yield the number of nonisomorphic lattices with isomorphic monoids of nonconstant endomorphisms.

2. Graphs. By a graph we will always mean a pair $\langle X, R \rangle$ in which X is a set and R is a set of two-element subsets of X ; a compatible mapping $f: \langle X, R \rangle \rightarrow \langle X', R' \rangle$ is a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X'$ for which $\{x_1, x_2\} \in R$ implies $\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} \in R'$. Let G be the category of all graphs and all their compatible mappings and let H be the full subcategory of G determined by all Hell graphs, i.e. by the graphs $\langle X, R \rangle$ satisfying the following condition:

For every x in X there is a three-element
(H) set $\{x, x_1, x_2\} \subset X$ such that $\{x, x_1\},$
 $\{x, x_2\}, \{x_1, x_2\} \in R.$

Presented to the Society, September 21, 1970; received by the editors April 8, 1971.
AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 06A20, 18B15.

Key words and phrases. Lattice, homomorphism, category.

¹ This research work was supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

In other words, every vertex belongs to a triangle of the graph $\langle X, R \rangle$.

The following theorem is due to P. Hell [6].

THEOREM 1. *Every full category of algebras is isomorphic to a full subcategory of \mathbf{H} , i.e. \mathbf{H} is binding.*

As every monoid \mathbf{M} is isomorphic to the full endomorphism monoid of an algebra, the above theorem yields the existence of a Hell graph H with the monoid of all compatible mappings $f: H \rightarrow H$ isomorphic to \mathbf{M} .

3. Lattices. Let \mathbf{L} be the category of all lattices and all their homomorphisms and let \mathbf{N} be the class of all lattices and all nonconstant homomorphisms between them; note that \mathbf{N} is not a category.

THEOREM 2. *There is a full subcategory \mathbf{M} of the category \mathbf{L} of all lattices such that*

- (i) $\mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{N}$ is a category,
- (ii) $\mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{N}$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{H} .

In other words, $\mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{N}$ is a binding category. To prove the theorem a one-to-one and full functor $M: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ will be constructed; the objects of \mathbf{M} will be all lattices $M(G)$ for $G = \langle X, R \rangle$ in \mathbf{H} . To define $M(G)$, consider the lattice $F(X)$ freely generated by X and define Θ_R to be the smallest congruence relation on $F(X)$ identifying $x \wedge y$ with $z \wedge t$ and $x \vee y$ with $z \vee t$ whenever both $\{x, y\}$ and $\{z, t\}$ belong to R . Set $M(G) = F(X) / \Theta_R$. Let $\pi_G: F(X) \rightarrow M(G)$ be the canonical homomorphism with $\text{Ker } \pi_G = \Theta_R$, let $f: G \rightarrow G' = \langle X', R' \rangle$ be a compatible mapping and let $F(f): F(X) \rightarrow F(X')$ be the homomorphism of the free lattices extending f . If $\{x_1, x_2\} \in R$, then there is x_3 in X , $x_3 \neq x_2$, such that $\{x_1, x_3\} \in R$. Hence $x_1 \vee x_2 \equiv x_1 \vee x_3 (\Theta_R)$ and $x_1 \wedge x_2 \equiv x_1 \wedge x_3 (\Theta_R)$. As f is a compatible mapping, then $F(f)(x_1 \vee x_2) = F(f)(x_1) \vee F(f)(x_2) = f(x_1) \vee f(x_2) \equiv f(x_1) \vee f(x_3) = F(f)(x_1 \vee x_3)$ in $\Theta_{R'}$. Similarly, $F(f)(x_1 \wedge x_2) \equiv F(f)(x_1 \wedge x_3) (\Theta_{R'})$. Consequently, $\Theta_R \subseteq \text{Ker}(\pi_{G'} \circ F(f))$ so there is a unique homomorphism

$$M(f): M(G) \rightarrow M(G')$$

such that $M(f) \circ \pi_G = \pi_{G'} \circ F(f)$. It is easy to see that M is a functor from \mathbf{H} into the category \mathbf{L} of all lattices. Denote $e = \pi_G(x \vee y) = \pi_G(\bar{x} \vee \bar{y})$ for any $\{x, y\}, \{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\}$ in R ; similarly, $z = \pi_G(x \wedge y)$. Since the graphs satisfying (H) do not have isolated points, $z \leq \pi_G(x) \leq e$ for every x in X ; as $M(G)$ is generated by $\pi_G(X)$, $z \leq m \leq e$ for every m in $M(G)$. Note also, that for $\{x_1, x_2\}$ in R , $\{\pi_G(x_1), \pi_G(x_2)\}$ is a complemented pair of elements of $M(G)$, so that, for every compatible $f: G \rightarrow G'$, $M(f)(e) = e'$ and $M(f)(z) = z'$.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of Theorem 2 of [3].

LEMMA 1. (1) If $m \in M(G) \setminus \{e, z\}$, then $|\pi_G^{-1}(m)| = 1$.

(2) $\{m_1, m_2\}$ is a complemented pair of elements of $M(G)$ if and only if either $\{m_1, m_2\} = \{e, z\}$ or $\{m_1, m_2\} = \{\pi_G(x_1), \pi_G(x_2)\}$ for some $\{x_1, x_2\}$ in R .

LEMMA 2. (3) The functor M is one-to-one, $M: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$.

(4) If $\varphi: M(G) \rightarrow M(G')$ is a lattice homomorphism such that $\varphi(e) = e'$ and $\varphi(z) = z'$, then $\varphi = M(f)$ for a compatible mapping $f: G \rightarrow G'$.

PROOF. (3) follows immediately from (1) and (H).

(4) φ preserves all complemented pairs. Since every vertex x of $\langle X, R \rangle$ belongs to a triangle of R , $\varphi(x) \in M(G') \setminus \{e', z'\}$ by (2). The only other elements of $M(G')$ possessing complements are elements of the form $\pi_{G'}(y)$ for y in X' so that $\varphi(\pi_G(X)) \subseteq \pi_{G'}(X')$. Using (1) and (2) again, we conclude that there is a compatible mapping $f: G \rightarrow G'$ with $M(f) \circ \pi_G = \pi_{G'} \circ F(f) = \varphi \circ \pi_G$. Since π_G is an onto homomorphism, $\varphi = M(f)$.

To finish the proof of the theorem it remains to show that all the other homomorphisms $\varphi: M(G) \rightarrow M(G')$ are constant.

If $\varphi(z) = e'$ or $\varphi(e) = z'$, then φ is constant, as $z \leq m \leq e$ for all elements m of $M(G)$, and therefore we may assume that $d = \varphi(z) \neq e', z'$. For any triangle $\{a, b, c\}$ of G , $A = \{\pi_G(a), \pi_G(b), \pi_G(c), e, z\}$ is a simple sublattice of $M(G)$; set $\pi_G(s) = \bar{s}$ for every s in $F(X)$. Consider the sublattice $\varphi(A)$ of $M(G')$. If φ is not constant, then $\varphi|_A$ has to be a one-to-one homomorphism. If $\varphi(\bar{a}) = z'$, then $\varphi(z) \leq \varphi(\bar{a}) = z'$, a contradiction. $\varphi(\bar{a}) = e'$ implies $\varphi(\bar{b}) = e' \wedge \varphi(\bar{b}) = \varphi(\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b}) = \varphi(z)$, a contradiction again. We may assume that $\varphi(\bar{a}), \varphi(\bar{b}), \varphi(\bar{c}) \notin \{e', z'\}$; since $d = \varphi(\bar{a}) \wedge \varphi(\bar{b}) = \varphi(\bar{a}) \wedge \varphi(\bar{c})$, using (1) we obtain the equation

$$\pi_{G'}^{-1}(d) = \pi_{G'}^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{a})) \wedge \pi_{G'}^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{b})) = \pi_{G'}^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{a})) \wedge \pi_{G'}^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{c}))$$

in the free lattice $F(X')$. By Lemma 2.6 of [6],

$$\pi_{G'}^{-1}(d) = \pi_{G'}^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{a})) \wedge (\pi_{G'}^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{b})) \vee \pi_{G'}^{-1}(\varphi(\bar{c})))$$

in $F(X')$; consequently,

$$d = \varphi(\bar{a}) \wedge (\varphi(\bar{b}) \vee \varphi(\bar{c})) = \varphi(\bar{a} \wedge (\bar{b} \vee \bar{c})) \quad \text{in } M(G').$$

But $\bar{b} \vee \bar{c} = \bar{a} \vee \bar{c} \geq \bar{a}$, therefore $\varphi(z) = d = \varphi(\bar{a})$; $\varphi|_A$ cannot be one-to-one, thus φ is a constant homomorphism of $M(G)$ into $M(G')$.

4. Number of representations. Note that $|M(X, R)| = |X|$ for any infinite X . Utilizing this together with the existence of a full embedding of the category of commutative groupoids into the category \mathbf{H} by a functor K preserving the infinite cardinalities of underlying sets (the existence of such a functor K follows easily from [4] and [6]), we obtain the following theorem as an immediate consequence of Theorem 5 of [5].

THEOREM 3. *Let M be a monoid, $|M|=m$ and let n be an infinite cardinal number, $n \geq m$. Then there are exactly 2^n lattices L_α such that*

- (a) *the set of all nonconstant endomorphisms of each L_α is isomorphic to M ,*
- (b) *$|L_\alpha|=n$ for each $\alpha \in 2^n$,*
- (c) *given indices $\alpha, \alpha' \in 2^n$, $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, $\text{Hom}_L(L_\alpha, L_{\alpha'})$ consists exactly of all constant homomorphisms.*

COROLLARY. *For every infinite cardinal number n there is a lattice L of cardinality n such that its endomorphisms are constant mappings only.*

REFERENCES

1. C. C. Chen and G. Grätzer, *On the construction of complemented lattices*, J. Algebra **11** (1969), 56–63. MR **38** #1038.
2. G. Grätzer, *Lattice theory: First concepts and distributive lattices*, Freeman, San Francisco, Calif., 1971.
3. G. Grätzer and J. Sichler, *On the endomorphism semigroup and category of bounded lattices*, Pacific J. Math. **35** (1970), 639–647.
4. Z. Hedrlín and A. Pultr, *On full embeddings of categories of algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966), 392–406. MR **33** #85.
5. Z. Hedrlín and J. Sichler, *Any boundable binding category contains a proper class of mutually disjoint copies of itself*, Algebra Universalis **1** (1971), 97–103.
6. P. Hell, *Full embeddings into some categories of graphs*, Algebra Universalis (to appear).
7. B. Jónsson, *Sublattices of a free lattice*, Canad. J. Math. **13** (1961), 256–264. MR **23** #A818.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, CANADA