

## ON THE WEYL SPECTRUM OF A HILBERT SPACE OPERATOR

JOHN V. BAXLEY

**ABSTRACT.** Using the perturbation definition of the Weyl spectrum, conditions are given on a closed (possibly unbounded) linear operator  $T$  in a Hilbert space which allow the Weyl spectrum to be characterized as a subset of the spectrum of  $T$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $T$  be a closed linear operator with domain  $D(T)$  dense in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\sigma(T)$  denote the spectrum of  $T$ ,  $\pi_0(T)$  the set of eigenvalues of  $T$ ,  $\pi_{\text{of}}(T)$  the set of eigenvalues of finite geometric multiplicity of  $T$ , and  $\pi_{00}(T)$  the set of isolated eigenvalues of finite geometric multiplicity of  $T$ . (Here, "isolated" means isolated as points in  $\sigma(T)$ .) Thus

$$\pi_{00}(T) \subset \pi_{\text{of}}(T) \subset \pi_0(T) \subset \sigma(T).$$

In 1909, H. Weyl [10] investigated the behavior of the spectrum of  $T$  under perturbation by compact operators and proved that if  $T$  is bounded and selfadjoint, then

$$(*) \quad \bigcap \{ \sigma(T + K) : K \text{ compact} \} = \sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T).$$

For  $T$  an arbitrary closed linear operator, we denote the left-hand side of the above equation by  $\omega(T)$  and call  $\omega(T)$  the Weyl spectrum of  $T$ . Recently, several authors ([1]–[5], [7]) have proved that  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T)$  under conditions on  $T$  more general even than normality. All these authors except Bouldin assume that  $T$  is bounded with  $D(T) = H$ . In [3] and [4], Bouldin investigated several alternative definitions of the essential spectrum for a closed linear operator  $T$  and in particular gave conditions under which  $(*)$  holds. In addition, he considered the effect of replacing the concept of geometric multiplicity by that of algebraic multiplicity.

In this note, we extend the results of [1] on bounded operators to the unbounded case. As in [1], we continue to use the classical definition of the

---

Presented to the Society, March 31, 1972; received by the editors August 23, 1971 and, in revised form, October 22, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 46C10, 47A10; Secondary 47A55.

Key words and phrases. Weyl spectrum, Hilbert space operator, eigenvalue, algebraic multiplicity, geometric multiplicity, perturbation, compact operators.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

Weyl spectrum given above rather than the currently more fashionable definition in terms of Fredholm operators. In §2, the nature of the Weyl spectrum  $\omega(T)$  is investigated for an arbitrary closed linear operator  $T$ . In §3, a hypothesis on  $T$  is formulated which implies a modified form of (\*):  $\pi_{00}(T)$  must be replaced by the set of isolated eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicity. A similar result in [4] is then obtained as a corollary. Finally, in §4, we give conditions under which (\*) is valid.

I would like to record here my appreciation to Richard Bouldin for stimulating correspondence and critical remarks.

**2. Some general properties of the Weyl spectrum.** Throughout this section  $T$  is a closed linear operator with domain  $D(T)$  dense in a Hilbert space  $H$ .

We define the algebraic multiplicity of an isolated point  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  as in [3]. For such a  $\lambda$  it is known that there exists a direct sum decomposition  $H = A(\lambda) \oplus B(\lambda)$ , each summand of which is invariant under  $T - \lambda I$ ; the restriction  $N_\lambda$  of  $T - \lambda I$  to  $A(\lambda)$  is bounded and quasi-nilpotent and the restriction  $S_\lambda$  of  $T - \lambda I$  to  $B(\lambda)$  has a bounded inverse defined over all of  $B(\lambda)$ . The dimension  $\dim A(\lambda)$  of  $A(\lambda)$  is then by definition the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ , then the corresponding eigenspace  $G(\lambda)$  is contained in  $A(\lambda)$  so that the geometric multiplicity  $\dim G(\lambda)$  never exceeds the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

We introduce a little more notation. Let  $\alpha(T)$  denote the isolated eigenvalues of  $T$  of infinite algebraic multiplicity. Then let  $\hat{\pi}_{0f}(T) = \pi_{0f}(T) - \alpha(T)$  and let  $\hat{\pi}_{00}(T) = \pi_{00}(T) - \alpha(T)$ . Thus,  $\hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$  consists of the isolated eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicity.

LEMMA 2.1.  $\sigma(T) - \pi_0(T) \subset \omega(T)$ .

PROOF. The simple proof given in [6, Problem 143] for the case that  $T$  is bounded generalizes to the present situation.

LEMMA 2.2.  $\sigma(T) - \pi_{0f}(T) \subset \omega(T)$ .

PROOF. The proof in [1, Lemma 2] of the same result for  $T$  bounded generalizes with no essential change.

LEMMA 2.3.  $\sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T) \subset \omega(T)$ .

PROOF. In view of Lemma 2.2, we need only show that  $\lambda \in \omega(T)$  if  $\lambda$  is an isolated eigenvalue of infinite algebraic multiplicity but finite geometric multiplicity. For such a  $\lambda$ , it follows from [8, p. 240] that the range of  $N_\lambda$  is not closed. Hence, the range of  $T - \lambda I$  is not closed. If  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T + K)$  for some compact  $K$ , then  $(T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is a bounded operator with domain  $H$ , and so  $(T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}K$  is compact. The theory of compact operators

[9, p. 279] then guarantees that  $I - (T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}K$  has closed range. Using the factorization

$$T - \lambda I = [T + K - \lambda I][I - (T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}K],$$

we easily see that  $T - \lambda I$  has closed range, a contradiction.

If  $T$  is bounded, we can give a completely elementary proof of this last lemma, without recourse to the theory of compact operators in [9] or [8, p. 240]. Assuming that  $A(\lambda)$  is infinite dimensional, let  $\{e_n\}$  be an infinite orthonormal sequence in  $A(\lambda)$ . Suppose  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T + K)$  for some compact  $K$ ; then  $U = (T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists as a bounded operator on  $H$ . Let  $E = (2\|U\|)^{-1}$  and choose  $k \geq 2$  so that  $\|N_\lambda^k\|^{1/k} \leq E$ . This can be done since  $N_\lambda$  is quasi-nilpotent. Let  $y_n = (T + K - \lambda I)^k e_n$ . Then  $y_n = (T - \lambda I)^k e_n + V e_n$ , where  $V$  is a sum of  $2^k - 1$  operators each of which is a finite product of bounded operators at least one of which is the compact operator  $K$ . Thus  $V$  is compact. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $y = \lim V e_n$  exists. Thus

$$\|y_n - y\| \leq \|N_\lambda^k e_n\| + E^k \leq 2E^k$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. But  $e_n = U^k y_n$  and hence

$$\|e_n - U^k y\| \leq \|U\|^k \|y_n - y\| \leq 2(E\|U\|)^k \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. Thus, if  $m > n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= \|e_n\|^2 + \|e_m\|^2 = \|e_n - e_m\|^2 \\ &\leq [\|e_n - U^k y\| + \|U^k y - e_m\|]^2 \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

If  $T$  is not bounded, the above proof would be valid if  $A(\lambda)$  is invariant under  $K$ . Otherwise, the operator  $V$  might experience severe difficulties.

LEMMA 2.4.  $\omega(T) \subset \sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$ .

PROOF. Since  $\omega(T) \subset \sigma(T)$ , we need only show that  $\omega(T) \cap \hat{\pi}_{00}(T) = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $\lambda \in \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$ . We look for a compact  $K$  for which  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T + K)$ . Since  $1 \leq \dim A(\lambda) < \infty$ , the operator  $K$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Kx &= x, \quad \text{if } x \in A(\lambda), \\ &= 0, \quad \text{if } x \in B(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and extended by linearity to all of  $H$  is compact since its range is finite dimensional. Since  $N_\lambda$  is quasi-nilpotent, then  $\sigma(N_\lambda) = \{0\}$  and it follows easily that  $\sigma(N_\lambda + I) = \{1\}$ . Thus  $N_\lambda + I$  is one-to-one and onto. Since  $S_\lambda$  is also one-to-one and onto, it follows that  $T + K - \lambda I$  is one-to-one and onto. Thus,  $(T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is a closed operator with domain  $H$ . By the closed graph theorem (see [8] or [9]),  $(T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is bounded and  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T + K)$ . Hence  $\lambda \notin \omega(T)$ .

**3. Weyl's theorem and algebraic multiplicity.** We use the generic phrase "Weyl's theorem" for any theorem which characterizes  $\omega(T)$  as a subset of  $\sigma(T)$ . In this section, we give a sufficient condition that  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$ .

**CONDITION C-1.** If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is an infinite sequence of distinct points in  $\hat{\pi}_{0f}(T)$ , if  $\lim \lambda_n = \lambda \in \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T)$ , and if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of corresponding normalized eigenvectors, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  does not converge.

We remark that Condition C-1 is slightly modified from the statement in [1].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $T$  satisfies C-1, then  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2.4, we must only show that  $\sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T) \subset \omega(T)$ . Now  $\sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T) = [\sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T)] \cup [\hat{\pi}_{0f}(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)]$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T) \subset \omega(T)$ . Since  $\omega(T)$  is closed (topologically),

$$\text{cl}(\sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T)) \subset \omega(T).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that  $\lambda \in \omega(T)$  if  $\lambda \in \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$  but  $\lambda \notin \text{cl}(\sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T))$ . Thus, there exists an infinite sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of distinct points in  $\hat{\pi}_{0f}(T)$  which converges to  $\lambda$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of corresponding normalized eigenvectors. Then by Condition C-1,  $\{x_n\}$  does not converge. Suppose now that  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T + K)$  for some compact  $K$ . Then  $(T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists as a bounded operator on  $H$ . Let

$$y_n = (T + K - \lambda I)x_n = (\lambda_n - \lambda)x_n + Kx_n.$$

By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume  $y = \lim Kx_n$  exists. Since  $\lim \lambda_n = \lambda$ , we have  $\lim y_n = y$ . But then

$$\lim x_n = \lim (T + K - \lambda I)^{-1}y_n = (T + K - \lambda I)y,$$

a contradiction.

If  $\lambda \in \pi_0(T)$ , Bouldin [4] says that the eigenspace  $G(\lambda)$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  is not an asymptotic eigenspace if there exists a  $\delta$  ( $0 < \delta < 1$ ) such that  $|(x, y)| \leq \delta$  if  $x \in G(\lambda)$ ,  $\|x\| = 1 = \|y\|$ , and  $y$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  corresponding to some eigenvalue  $\mu \neq \lambda$ . We now get as a corollary to the above theorem a result of Bouldin [4].

**COROLLARY.** *If each finite dimensional eigenspace of  $T$  is not an asymptotic eigenspace, then  $T$  satisfies Condition C-1 and, consequently,  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $T$  does not satisfy Condition C-1. Then there exists an infinite sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of distinct points in  $\hat{\pi}_{0f}(T)$  which converges to  $\lambda \in \hat{\pi}_{0f}(T)$  and a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of corresponding normalized eigenvectors which converges. Let  $x = \lim x_n$ . Then  $\lambda x = \lim \lambda_n x_n = \lim Yx_n$ . Since  $T$  is

closed,  $x \in D(T)$  and  $Tx = \lambda x$ . Thus,  $x \in G(\lambda)$  and clearly  $\|x\| = 1$ . Therefore,  $\lim(x, x_n) = (x, x) = 1$  and  $G(\lambda)$  is an asymptotic eigenspace.

**4. Weyl's theorem and geometric multiplicity.** We now give sufficient conditions in order that  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T)$ . If  $T$  satisfies Condition C-1, we already know that  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$ . Thus, any condition which implies that  $\hat{\pi}_{00}(T) = \pi_{00}(T)$  is of interest. We now consider

CONDITION C-2. If  $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T)$ , then  $T - \lambda I$  has closed range.

We remark that Condition C-2 above assumes less than the corresponding condition of [1].

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $T$  satisfies Condition C-2, then  $\hat{\pi}_{00}(T) = \pi_{00}(T)$ .*

**PROOF.** It suffices to show that  $\pi_{00}(T) \subset \hat{\pi}_{00}(T)$ . If  $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T)$ , then  $\lambda$  is an isolated eigenvalue of finite geometric multiplicity and thus the null space of  $T - \lambda I$  is finite dimensional. By Condition C-2,  $T - \lambda I$  also has closed range. Thus, the null space of the quasi-nilpotent operator  $N_\lambda$  is finite dimensional and  $N_\lambda$  has closed range. It follows from [8, p. 240] that  $\dim A(\lambda) < \infty$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If  $T$  satisfies both Conditions C-1 and C-2, then  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T)$ .*

This corollary, even if  $T$  is bounded, is stronger than the result in [1]. Moreover, the results of Bouldin [3, Theorem 4 and Corollary 3] corresponding to Theorem 4.1 above are proved only in the case that  $T$  is bounded. In this case, the referee has pointed out that the condition (5) in [3, Corollary 3] is equivalent to  $\dim A(\lambda) < \infty$ , which in turn is equivalent (by a theorem of Kato) to our Condition C-2. Thus, [3, Corollary 3] in conjunction with [4, Theorem 3] is, at least in the bounded case, essentially equivalent to our corollary above.

That the corollary above contains most known results is adequately documented in [3] and [1]. However, it is known [5] that  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T)$  holds for any Toeplitz operator. Although C-2 is vacuously satisfied in this case, Richard Bouldin has shown me an example (the adjoint of the unilateral shift on the Hardy space  $H_2$ ) for which C-1 is violated. Thus, Weyl's theorem for Toeplitz operators lies deeper than any of the general theorems so far discovered.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. V. Baxley, *Some general conditions implying Weyl's theorem*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **16** (1971), 1163-1166.
2. S. K. Berberian, *An extension of Weyl's theorem to a class of not necessarily normal operators*, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 273-279, MR **40** #3335.

3. R. H. Bouldin, *Essential spectrum for a Hilbert space operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **163** (1972), 437–445.
4. ———, *The Weyl essential spectrum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1971), 531–536.
5. L. A. Coburn, *Weyl's theorem for nonnormal operators*, Michigan Math. J. **13** (1966), 285–288. MR **34** #1846.
6. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1967. MR **34** #8178.
7. V. Istrăţescu, *Weyl's theorem for a class of operators*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **13** (1968), 1103–1105. MR **38** #6381.
8. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 132, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966. MR **34** #3324.
9. A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1958. MR **20** #5411.
10. H. Weyl, *Über beschränkte quadratische Formen deren differenz vollstetig ist*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **27** (1909), 373–392.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY, WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA 27109

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801