

THE DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION OF  
 CERTAIN CONTINUED FRACTIONS

CHARLES F. OSGOOD

ABSTRACT. Given a real number  $\alpha$  defined by

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(1)+} \frac{1}{\varphi(2)+} \cdots,$$

where  $\varphi$  is a function from the natural numbers to the rational numbers larger than or equal to one which satisfies certain restrictions on the growth of the numerators and denominators of the numbers  $\varphi(n)$ , then a lower bound is found in terms of  $\varphi$  for the diophantine approximation of  $\alpha$ .

We wish to consider the continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(1)+} \frac{1}{\varphi(2)+} \cdots,$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  and an auxiliary function  $\psi(n)$  satisfy certain conditions. Suppose that  $\varphi(n)$  and  $\psi(n)$  are functions defined from the positive integers to, respectively, the positive rationals and the positive integers such that

- (a)  $1 \leq \varphi(j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(j) = \infty$ , and
- (b)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log(2))(1 + n/2) \left( \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi(j) \right) \right)^{-1} = \eta$   
 for some  $0 \leq \eta < +\infty$ ;
- (c)  $0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log(\varphi(n+1))) \left( \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(j) \right) \right)^{-1} = \delta < +\infty$ ;
- (d) all  $\psi(n) \left( \prod_{j \in S} \varphi(j) \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ; and
- (e)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log(\psi(n))) \left( \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(j) \right) \right)^{-1} = \gamma < 1$ .

Received by the editors July 19, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 10F20, 10F05.

Key words and phrases. Diophantine approximation, continued fractions, rational partial quotients.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

(Since each  $\varphi(j) > 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1 = +\infty$ , it follows immediately, from [1], that the continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(1)+} \frac{1}{\varphi(2)+} \dots \frac{1}{\varphi(n)+} \dots$$

converges to a positive real number  $\alpha$ .)

**THEOREM.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $c(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that, for every pair of positive integers  $p$  and  $q$ ,*

$$(1) \quad |\alpha - pq^{-1}| > c(\varepsilon)q^{-(1+\theta+\varepsilon)}$$

where  $\theta = (1 + \delta)(1 + \eta + \gamma)(1 - \gamma)^{-1}$ .

**EXAMPLES.** We note that  $\varphi(n) = n$  and  $\psi(n) = 1$  satisfy (a)–(e), as do  $\varphi(n) = (ts^{-1})^n$  and  $\psi(n) = s^{n(n+1)/2}$  for positive integers  $s$  and  $t$  with  $t > s^2$ . Also, with  $s$  and  $t$  as above,  $\varphi(n) = p_d((ts^{-1})^n)$  and  $\psi(n) = s^{n(n+1)d/2}$  satisfy (a)–(e) where  $p_d(x) \in Z^+[x]$  and  $p_d(x)$  has degree  $d \geq 1$  in  $x$ . One may generalize this to see that, where  $d_j, t_j$ , and  $s_j$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , are positive integers satisfying

$$\prod_{j=1}^m t_j^{d_j} > \left( \prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{d_j} \right)^2,$$

where  $\varphi(n) = p_{d_0, \dots, d_m}(n, q_1^n, \dots, q_m^n)$  is a polynomial in  $n, q_1^n = (t_1 s_1^{-1})^n, \dots, q_m^n = (t_m s_m^{-1})^n$  with positive integral coefficients and degrees  $d_0, \dots, d_m$ , respectively, of the form a nonzero polynomial in  $n$  times  $q_1^{n d_1} \dots q_m^{n d_m}$  plus terms of lower degree in some  $q_j^n$ , and where  $\psi(n) = \prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{n(n+1)d_j/2}$  then hypotheses (a)–(e) of the Theorem are satisfied also.

One may drop the assumption of positive coefficients in the  $p_d(x)$  above. To see this, note first that the dominant term in each  $\varphi(n)$  forces it to be of constant sign for large enough  $n$ . Then, for some positive integer  $N$ , the hypotheses of the Theorem are satisfied upon substituting

$$\beta = \frac{1}{|\varphi(N)| + |\varphi(N + 1)| +} \dots \text{ for } \alpha.$$

The  $(N+j)$ th convergent of the original continued fraction for  $\alpha$  is given by  $(Ac_j + B)(Cc_j + D)^{-1}$ , for integers  $A, B, C$ , and  $D$  with  $|\frac{A}{C} \frac{B}{D}| \neq 0$ , where  $c_j$  is the  $j$ th convergent of the continued fraction above giving  $\beta$  and  $AC^{-1}$  and  $BD^{-1}$  are, respectively, the  $N$ th and  $(N-1)$ st convergents of  $\alpha$ . Since  $\beta$  is irrational (by (1)) eventually each  $(Ac_j + B)(Cc_j + D)^{-1}$  is defined and, as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , these convergents approach  $(A\beta + B)(C\beta + D)^{-1} = T(\beta)$ , where  $T(z) = (Az + B)(Cz + D)^{-1}$ .

If  $|\beta - T^{-1}(pq^{-1})| < |\beta - DC^{-1}|$  we have, by the law of the mean, that  $|T(\beta) - pq^{-1}| = |T(\beta) - T(T^{-1}(pq^{-1}))| = |T'(\xi)| |\beta - T^{-1}(pq^{-1})|$  where  $\xi$  is some point lying between  $\beta$  and  $T^{-1}(pq^{-1})$ . By the continuity of  $T(z)$  at the irrational point  $\beta$ , we see the continuity of  $T^{-1}(z)$  at  $T(\beta)$  and this latter property implies that, by requiring  $|T(\beta) - pq^{-1}|$  to be sufficiently small, we can guarantee  $|\beta - T^{-1}(pq^{-1})| < |\beta - DC^{-1}|$ . Thus either

$$(i) \quad |T(\beta) - pq^{-1}| \geq K_1 |\beta - T^{-1}(pq^{-1})|$$

for some constant  $K_1 > 0$  independent of  $p$  and  $q$  or

$$(ii) \quad |T(\beta) - pq^{-1}| > K_2 > 0$$

for some constant  $K_2$  independent of  $p$  and  $q$ . One may conclude, from (1) with  $\alpha = \beta$  and the alternatives (i) and (ii) above, that (1) holds with  $\alpha = T(\beta)$ , for a  $0 < c_1(\varepsilon) \leq c(\varepsilon)$  replacing  $c(\varepsilon)$  in (1); since, if  $c_1(\varepsilon) < K_2$ , case (ii) implies that (1) holds and case (i) says that  $|T(\beta) - pq^{-1}| \geq K_1 |\beta - T^{-1}(pq^{-1})|$ , which is larger than  $c_1(\varepsilon)q^{-(1+\theta+\varepsilon)}$  for some  $0 < c_1(\varepsilon) \leq \min\{c(\varepsilon), K_2\}$  independent of  $p$  and  $q$ .

In all of the above examples,  $\delta$  was zero. To see a case in which  $\delta > 0$  set  $\varphi(n) = (5/2)^{2^n}$  and  $\psi(n) = \frac{1}{2}(2^{2^{n+1}})$ . One could generalize along the lines above and build up more complicated examples from this last example.

The author was led to consider the present problem after obtaining in [2], by different but related methods, a lower bound on the simultaneous diophantine approximation of the real number

$$1 + \frac{zq^{-1}}{1+} \frac{zq^{-2}}{1+} \cdots \frac{zq^{-n}}{1+},$$

where  $q$  denotes an integer,  $z$  denotes a rational number,  $|q| > 1$ , and  $|z| > 0$ . (For  $z=1$ , the above number equals

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{-(5n+2)})(1 - q^{-(5n+1)})^{-1}(1 - q^{-(5n+3)})(1 - q^{-(5n+4)})^{-1},$$

as was shown by Ramanujan.) Since we may rewrite this real number as

$$1 + \frac{z}{q+} \frac{z}{q+} \frac{z}{q^2+} \frac{z}{q^2+} \cdots \frac{z}{q^n+} \frac{z}{q^n+} \frac{z}{q^{n+1}+} \cdots,$$

it follows that the present theory applies at  $z=1$ . In each case, we obtain inequality (1) with  $\theta=1$ .

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** Since  $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varphi(j) = +\infty$  we see that the continued fraction with these partial quotients converges to a positive real

number,  $\alpha'$ . Now  $\alpha = (\varphi(1) + \alpha')^{-1}$  so we have  $\alpha < (\varphi(1))^{-1}$ . Using induction set  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = \alpha < (\varphi(1))^{-1}$ ,  $a_2 = a_1((a_1 a_0^{-1})^{-1} - \varphi(1)) < (\varphi(1)\varphi(2))^{-1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $a_n = a_{n-1}((a_{n-1} a_{n-2}^{-1})^{-1} - \varphi(n-1)) < (\varphi(1) \cdots \varphi(n))^{-1}$ . Note that no  $a_j$  above is zero since each  $a_j$  is the product of nonzero real numbers. Also for  $n=2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$(2) \quad a_n = -\varphi(n-1)a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}.$$

Using (2) repeatedly, we may write each  $\psi(n-1)a_n$  as a linear form  $L_n = A_n a_1 + B_n a_0$  for integers  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  satisfying easily calculable upper bounds on their absolute values. We find that

$$|B_n| \leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n/2} \binom{n-k}{k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi(j) \right) \psi(n-1),$$

where  $\binom{n-k}{k} < 2^{n-k}$ . Thus  $B_n < 2^{1+n/2} (\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi(j)) \psi(n-1)$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n| &\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n/2} \binom{n-1-k}{k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi(j) \right) \psi(n-1) \\ &< 2^{1+n/2} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi(j) \right) \psi(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

Using hypotheses (b) and (e), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\max\{|A_n|, |B_n|\} < \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi(j) \right)^{(1+\eta+\gamma+\varepsilon)}$$

if  $n$  is sufficiently large. Under these same conditions we have, using (c), that

$$(3) \quad \max\{|A_n|, |A_{n+1}|, |B_n|, |B_{n+1}|\} < \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(j) \right)^{(1+\eta+\gamma+\varepsilon)}.$$

Also, if  $n$  is sufficiently large,

$$(4) \quad \max\{|L_n|, |L_{n+1}|\} \leq \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(j) \right)^{-(1-\gamma)+\varepsilon}.$$

From (2), we may write

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\varphi(n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{n-1} \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\varphi(n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\varphi(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\varphi(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

must be proportional to those of

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_n & B_n \\ A_{n+1} & B_{n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_n & B_n \\ A_{n+1} & B_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

For each real  $1 \leq x < \infty$  set

$$f(x) = \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^{[x]} \varphi(j) \right) (\varphi([x] + 1))^{x-[x]} \right)^{\theta_1}$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer function and  $\theta_1 = (1 + \delta)(1 + \eta + \gamma) = (1 - \gamma)\theta$ . Note that  $f(x)$  is monotone increasing, and that  $f(x)$  takes the nonnegative reals onto  $[\varphi(1), +\infty)$ , since  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(j) = \infty$ . For  $n = 1, \dots$  set

$$\Delta_n = \begin{pmatrix} A_n & B_n \\ A_{n+1} & B_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\|\text{matrix}\|$  denote the maximum of the absolute values of its entries. Then given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , if  $n_1$  is sufficiently large we have, for all  $n \geq n_1$ ,

- (i)  $\|\Delta_n\| \leq (f(n))^{1+\varepsilon/5}$ , from (3),
- (ii)  $\|\Delta_n V\| \leq (f(n))^{-\theta_2^{1(1-\varepsilon/5)}}$ , from (4), where  $\theta_2 = (1 + \eta + \gamma)(1 - \gamma)^{-1}$ , and
- (iii)  $f(n) \leq (f(n-1))^{(1+\delta)(1+\varepsilon/5)}$  from (c).

We shall next state a lemma from which we will be able to conclude the present Theorem. Then we shall conclude this paper with a proof of the Lemma.

Suppose that for some positive integer  $t$  we have a sequence  $\Delta_m$  of  $t$  by  $t$  nonsingular matrices over the Gaussian integers and a  $t$  by 1 matrix  $V \neq 0$  with complex entries. Let  $f(n)$  be a monotone increasing function from  $[1, +\infty)$  onto  $[c, +\infty)$  for some  $c \geq 1$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < +\infty$ ,  $0 < r < +\infty$ , and  $(1 + \varepsilon/r)^2(1 - \varepsilon/r)^{-1} < 1 + \varepsilon$ . Suppose that, for all nonnegative integers  $n \geq n_1 \geq 1$ ,

- (i)  $\|\Delta_n\| \leq (f(n))^{1+\varepsilon/r}$ ,
- (ii)  $\|\Delta_n V\| \leq (f(n))^{-\Lambda(1-\varepsilon/r)}$  for some  $\Lambda > 0$ , and
- (iii)  $f(n) \leq (f(n-1))^{(1+\delta)(1+\varepsilon/r)}$ .

Let  $q$  denote a nonzero Gaussian integer and  $P$  denote a general  $t$  by 1 matrix of Gaussian integers with not all entries zero.

LEMMA. *If  $|q| > \frac{1}{2}(f(n_1))^{\Lambda(1-\varepsilon/r)}$  then  $\|V - Pq^{-1}\| \geq t^{-1} |2q|^{-(1+(1+\varepsilon)(1+\delta)/\Lambda)}$ .*

Note that if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  then  $(1 + \varepsilon/5)^2(1 - \varepsilon/5)^{-1} < (1 + \varepsilon/5)^2(1 + \varepsilon/4) < (1 + \varepsilon/4)^3 < 1 + 61(64)^{-1}\varepsilon < 1 + \varepsilon$ . One may then apply the Lemma to our present situation with  $\Lambda = \theta_2^{-1} = (1 - \gamma)(1 + \eta + \gamma)^{-1} = (1 + \delta)\theta^{-1}$ . Thus we see that

$$(5) \quad \|V - Pq^{-1}\| \geq \frac{1}{2} |2q|^{-(1+(1+\varepsilon)\theta)},$$

where  $V = \binom{1}{\alpha}$ . Setting  $P = \binom{q}{p}$ , where  $p$  is an arbitrary nonnegative integer, and letting  $q$  be an arbitrary positive integer, we obtain

$$(6) \quad |\alpha - pq^{-1}| > q^{-(1+(1+\varepsilon)\theta)}$$

if  $q$  is sufficiently large. Since (6) would be impossible if  $\alpha$  were rational, we see that there must exist a  $c(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $|\alpha - pq^{-1}| > c(\varepsilon)q^{-(1+\theta+\varepsilon)}$  if  $q \geq 1$ . This proves our Theorem, assuming the Lemma.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. For each nonnegative integer  $n$ ,

$$\|\Delta_n(V - Pq^{-1})\| \geq \|\Delta_n Pq^{-1}\| - \|\Delta_n V\|.$$

Then  $\|\Delta_n Pq^{-1}\| \geq |q|^{-1}$ , and

$$(7) \quad \|\Delta_n(V - Pq^{-1})\| \geq |q|^{-1} - \|\Delta_n V\|,$$

since each entry in  $P$  and  $\Delta_n$  is a Gaussian integer,  $P \neq 0$ , and each  $\Delta_n$  is nonsingular. We now choose  $n$  to be the first integer such that  $|2q| < (f(n))^{\Lambda(1-\varepsilon/r)}$ . Since  $(f(n_1))^{\Lambda(1-\varepsilon/r)} < |2q| < (f(n))^{\Lambda(1-\varepsilon/r)}$  and  $f(n)$  is monotone increasing we have  $n > n_1$ .

Therefore, we may use (ii) with (7) to conclude that

$$\|\Delta_n(V - Pq^{-1})\| \geq |q|^{-1} - (f(n))^{-\Lambda(1-\varepsilon/r)}.$$

Since  $|2q|^{-1} > (f(n))^{-\Lambda(1-\varepsilon/r)}$  we have

$$(8) \quad \|\Delta_n(V - Pq^{-1})\| \geq |2q|^{-1}.$$

From our choice of  $n$  and from hypothesis (iii), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp((\log |2q|)\Lambda^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon/r)^2(1 - \varepsilon/r)^{-1}(1 + \delta)) \\ \geq (f(n - 1))^{(1+\varepsilon/r)^2(1+\delta)} \geq (f(n))^{(1+\varepsilon/r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(1 + \varepsilon/r)^2(1 - \varepsilon/r)^{-1} < 1 + \varepsilon$ , we see that

$$(9) \quad (f(n))^{1+\varepsilon/r} \leq |2q|^{(1+\varepsilon)(1+\delta)\Lambda^{-1}}.$$

From (8), hypothesis (i), and (9), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V - Pq^{-1}\| &\geq t^{-1} |2q|^{-1} \|\Delta_n\|^{-1} \geq t^{-1} |2q|^{-1} (f(n))^{-(1+\varepsilon/r)} \\ &\geq t^{-1} |2q|^{-(1+(1+\varepsilon)(1+\delta)\Lambda^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Lemma.

The author wishes to thank the referee for pointing out a computational error in the original manuscript which when corrected enabled the author to strengthen his result.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Ja. Hinčin, *Continued fractions*, GITTL, Moscow, 1961; English transl., Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill., 1964. MR 13, 444; MR 28 #5038.
2. C. F. Osgood, *On the Diophantine approximation of values of functions satisfying certain linear  $q$ -difference equations*, J. Number Theory 3 (1971), 159-177.

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER, NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20390