

A VARIATIONAL PROBLEM FOR SUBMANIFOLDS OF EUCLIDEAN SPACE

JOSEPH A. ERBACHER

ABSTRACT. Let M^n be a compact differentiable manifold and R^{n+k} Euclidean space. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for an immersion $\psi: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$ to be a stationary immersion for $J = \int_{M_\psi^n} \langle x - x_c, x - x_c \rangle dv$ subject to the side condition $V = \int_{M_\psi^n} dv =$ a fixed constant, where x_c is the center of mass. In particular, minimal submanifolds of spheres satisfy this condition.

1. Introduction. Let M^n be an n -dimensional compact differentiable manifold. An immersion $\psi: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$ induces a Riemannian metric on M^n ; M^n with this Riemannian metric is denoted by M_ψ^n . Let x denote the position vector in R^{n+k} , and let x_c denote the center of mass of M_ψ^n in R^{n+k} ; i.e., $x_c = (1/V) \int_{M_\psi^n} x dv$, where $V = \int_{M_\psi^n} dv$ and dv is the volume element on M_ψ^n . For $p \in M_\psi^n$, the tangent space $T_p(M_\psi^n)$ is identified with a subspace of $T_{\psi(p)}(R^{n+k})$. The normal space $T_p^\perp(M_\psi^n)$ is the subspace of $T_{\psi(p)}(R^{n+k})$ consisting of all $X \in T_{\psi(p)}(R^{n+k})$ which are orthogonal to $T_p(M_\psi^n)$. For $q \in R^{n+k}$, $T_q(R^{n+k})$ is identified with $T_0(R^{n+k})$ by parallel translation, where 0 is the origin in R^{n+k} ; and $T_0(R^{n+k})$ is identified with R^{n+k} . If $z: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$, we consider z as a vector field defined along ψ by the above identifications. Let $z_N(p)$ be the orthogonal projection of $z(p)$ into $T_p^\perp(M_\psi^n)$ and z_T the orthogonal projection of $z(p)$ into $T_p(M_\psi^n)$. The Euclidean inner product will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

THEOREM. *The immersion $\varphi: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$ is a stationary immersion for $J = \int_{M_\psi^n} \langle x - x_c, x - x_c \rangle dv$ subject to the side condition $V = \int_{M_\psi^n} dv = a$ fixed constant, say V_0 , if and only if $(x - x_c)_N = \frac{1}{2}(\langle x - x_c, x - x_c \rangle + \lambda)\eta$ and $\int_{M_\psi^n} dv = V_0$, where λ is a constant and η is the mean curvature normal [2, p. 34].¹*

The stationary character of φ means that if ψ_t , $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, is any one parameter family of immersions with $\psi_0 = \varphi$ and $V_0 = \int_{M_{\psi_t}^n} dv$ for all t , then $dJ(0)/dt = 0$.

Received by the editors July 23, 1971 and, in revised form, November 1, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 49F99, 53B25.

Key words and phrases. Compact differentiable manifold, Riemannian, Euclidean space, center of mass, stationary immersion, mean curvature normal, minimal submanifold.

¹ The definition of η in [2] differs from our usage by a factor of $1/n$.

LEMMA. The immersion $\varphi: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c \rangle + \lambda)\boldsymbol{\eta},$$

λ a constant, if and only if φ immerses M^n as a minimal submanifold of a sphere S^{n+k-1} .

As an immediate consequence of the Theorem and the Lemma we have:

COROLLARY. If $\varphi: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$ immerses M^n as a minimal submanifold of a sphere S^{n+k-1} with $V_0 = \int_{M_\varphi^n} dv$, then φ is a stationary immersion for J subject to $V = V_0$.

Brian Smyth has pointed out the following Proposition to me.

PROPOSITION. If $\varphi: M^n \rightarrow R^{n+k}$ is a stationary immersion for J subject to $V = \text{constant}$ and in addition $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle$ is constant on M^n , then φ immerses M^n as a minimal submanifold of a sphere.

All immersions, vector fields, etc. are assumed to be C^∞ .

2. Proofs.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let ψ_t be a 1-parameter family of immersions of M^n into R^{n+k} with $\psi_0 = \varphi$. Let \mathbf{y} denote the position vector in R^{n+k} for ψ_t and \mathbf{x} the position vector for φ . Assume $\mathbf{x}_c = \mathbf{0}$. Let $\mathbf{u} = d\boldsymbol{\psi}(0)/dt$. It is well known that (see [1, p. 74] for the case of a surface in R^3)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dt}(0) &= - \int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{u} \rangle dv + \int_{M_\varphi^n} \text{Div } \mathbf{u}_T dv \\ (1) \qquad &= - \int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{u} \rangle dv. \end{aligned}$$

For $dJ(0)/dt$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dJ}{dt}(0) &= \int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle -\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{u} \rangle + \text{Div } \mathbf{u}_T dv \\ &\quad + \int_{M_\varphi^n} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_c, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_c \rangle \right) (0) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\mathbf{x}_c = \mathbf{0}$ (and therefore $\int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} \rangle dv = 0$ for a constant vector \mathbf{b}) and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \text{Div } \mathbf{u}_T &= \text{Div} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \mathbf{u}_T - \langle \mathbf{u}_T, \text{grad} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \rangle \\ &= \text{Div} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \mathbf{u}_T - 2 \langle \mathbf{u}_T, \mathbf{x} \rangle \\ &= \text{Div} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \mathbf{u}_T - 2 \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}_T \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we easily find

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dJ}{dt}(0) &= \int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle 2\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}_T - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \eta, \mathbf{u} \rangle dv \\ &= \int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle 2\mathbf{x}_N - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \eta, \mathbf{u} \rangle dv. \end{aligned}$$

Since M^n is compact, η is not identically zero. Appealing to the well-known method of Euler-Lagrange multipliers for variational problems with side conditions, we conclude from (1) and (2) that a necessary and sufficient condition for φ to be a stationary immersion for J subject to $V = \text{constant}$ is that there exist a constant λ (the Euler-Lagrange multiplier) such that $2\mathbf{x}_N - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \eta = \lambda \eta$; i.e.,

$$(3) \quad 2\mathbf{x}_N = (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \lambda) \eta.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA. (i) Assume $\mathbf{x}_c = \mathbf{0}$. Suppose $\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \lambda) \eta$. Clearly $\mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{x}$. Let X be tangent to M^n . Then $X \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 2 \langle X, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$, since $\mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{x}$. Thus $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ is constant on M^n and $\mathbf{x} = (\text{constant}) \eta$. This implies that φ immerses M^n as a minimal submanifold of a sphere with center at the origin.

(ii) Suppose φ immerses M^n as a minimal submanifold of a sphere with center \mathbf{a} . Since $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a} = \mu \eta$ for some constant μ , it suffices to show that $\mathbf{x}_c = \mathbf{a}$. Let $f = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$, where \mathbf{b} is a constant vector. Then $\Delta f = \langle \eta, \mathbf{b} \rangle$, where Δ is the Laplacian on M^n (see [2, p. 340]). Hence, $\int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle \eta, \mathbf{b} \rangle dv = 0$; and thus $\int_{M_\varphi^n} \eta dv = \mathbf{0}$. But $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a} = \mu \eta$. Thus $\int_{M_\varphi^n} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}) dv = \mathbf{0}$; i.e., $\mathbf{x}_c = \mathbf{a}$.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION. Assume $\mathbf{x}_c = \mathbf{0}$, and let $H = \langle \eta, \eta \rangle$. Let $f = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$. Then, it is not difficult to show that $\Delta f = n + \langle \mathbf{x}, \eta \rangle$, where Δ is the Laplacian on M^n . At a local maximum of f , we must have $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_N$ and $\Delta f \leq 0$. Thus, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \eta \rangle \leq -n$; and using (3) we obtain $f \leq (-2n/H) - \lambda$ at a local maximum of f . Similarly, at a local minimum of f we have $f \geq (-2n/H) - \lambda$. Thus, f is constant on M^n and $\mathbf{x} = (\text{constant}) \eta$. This implies that φ immerses M^n as a minimal submanifold of a sphere.

REMARK 1. It would be interesting to know whether or not all solutions of the variational problem considered in this paper are minimal submanifolds of spheres.

REMARK 2.

$$\iint_{M_\varphi^n \times M_\varphi^n} \langle \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle dv_1 dv_2 = 2V \int_{M_\varphi^n} \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c \rangle dv,$$

where \mathbf{x}_i is the position vector and dv_i the volume element for the i th factor of $M_\varphi^n \times M_\varphi^n$.

REFERENCES

1. E. Hopf, *Lectures on differential geometry in the large*, Notes, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif.
2. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*. Vol. II, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 15, vol. II, Interscience, New York, 1969. MR 38 #6501.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES,
CALIFORNIA 90007