

## THE ADDITIVE GROUP OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS GENERATED BY IDEMPOTENTS<sup>1</sup>

PAUL HILL

**ABSTRACT.** If  $R$  is a ring, let  $R^+$  denote its additive group. Our purpose is to give an elementary proof that if  $R$  is a commutative ring generated by idempotents, then any subring of  $R$  generated by idempotents is pure. This yields immediately an independent proof of the following result of G. M. Bergman. If  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity and if  $R$  is generated by idempotents, then  $R^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

G. M. Bergman [1, Corollary 4.3] has proved (in particular) that if  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity generated by idempotents, then  $R^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups. This result is rather striking inasmuch as it contains, as a special case when  $R^+$  is torsion free, the recent celebrated result of G. Nöbeling [2] that the finite-valued functions from any set  $I$  to the integers  $Z$  form a free abelian group with respect to pointwise addition. Recall that the latter result was first proved for a countable set  $I$  by E. Specker [3] with the aid of the continuum hypothesis.

If  $S$  is a subset of the ring  $R$ , we shall use  $\{S\}$  to denote the *subring* generated by  $S$ , while  $\langle S \rangle$  denotes the *subgroup* of  $R^+$  that  $S$  generates. An elementary but important fact concerning a commutative ring  $R$  generated by idempotents is the following. *If  $A$  is a finitely generated subring of  $R$ , then  $A^+$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $R^+$ .* Since a subgroup of a finitely generated commutative group is again finitely generated and since each element in  $R$  is a linear combination over  $Z$  of idempotent elements, it suffices to prove the above statement for the case that  $A$  is generated as a ring by a finite number of idempotents. However, in this case,  $A^+$  is generated by all possible products of the idempotents generation  $A$ . Hence  $A^+$  is finitely generated.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring generated by idempotents. Any subring of  $R$  generated by idempotents is pure.*

---

Received by the editors June 6, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20K10, 20K20, 20K25; Secondary 16A32.

*Key words and phrases.* Specker theorem, Nöbeling theorem, direct sum of cyclic groups, pure subgroups, commutative rings, idempotent generators.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported, in part, by NSF grant GP-29025.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

**THEOREM 2 (BERGMAN).** *If  $R$  is a commutative ring generated by idempotents, then  $R^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups.*

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Let  $A$  be a subring generated by idempotents. The purity of  $A$  in  $R$  means that, for each prime  $p$  and positive integer  $n$ , the equation  $p^n x = a$  where  $a \in A$  has a solution in  $A$  whenever the equation has a solution in  $R$ . Thus it obviously suffices to prove the purity of  $A$  in case the subring  $A$  is generated (as a ring) by a finite number of idempotents  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ . The purity of  $A$  is accomplished by induction on  $n$ . Equivalently, for an idempotent  $e$ , we shall prove that  $\{A, e\}$  is pure under the assumption that  $A$  is a subring generated by  $n$  idempotents and that  $A$  is pure for any such subring. We can take  $A=0$  to prove inductively the purity of a subring generated by a single nonzero idempotent.

For simplicity of notation, let  $B = \{A, Ae\}$  and let  $C = \{B, e\} = \{A, e\}$ . Since  $A(Ae) \subseteq Ae$  and since  $Be \subseteq B$ , we observe that  $B = \langle A, Ae \rangle$  and  $C = \langle B, e \rangle$ . In order to prove that  $C$  is pure, we shall first prove that  $B$  is pure. For a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $n$ , suppose that  $p^n x = b$  where  $b \in B$  and  $x \in R$ . Since  $B = \langle A, Ae \rangle$ , we can write  $b = a_1 + a_2 e$  where  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . Since  $p^n(xe) = be = (a_1 + a_2)e$  is contained in  $Ae$  and since  $Ae$  is a subring of  $R$  generated by the  $n$  idempotents  $e_1 e, e_2 e, \dots, e_n e$ , the induction hypothesis asserts that  $p^n(a_3 e) = be$  for some  $a_3 \in A$ . Note that  $p^n(x - a_3 e) = a_1 - a_1 e$ . Let  $D = \{e_i - e_i e\}$  be the subring of  $R$  generated by the idempotents  $e_i - e_i e$  where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $A = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  and since  $a_1 \in A$ , the verification that  $a_1 - a_1 e$  is contained in  $D$  is trivial if we make the observation that  $\prod e_i - (\prod e_i)e = \prod (e_i - e_i e)$ , where  $\prod$  represents a product over any nonempty subset of  $[1, 2, \dots, n]$ . By the induction hypothesis, we conclude that  $p^n d = p^n(x - a_3 e)$  for some  $d \in D$  since  $D$  is generated by  $n$  idempotents and since  $p^n(x - a_3 e) = a_1 - a_1 e$  is in  $D$ . Thus  $p^n x = p^n(a_3 e + d)$ , and we have proved the purity of  $B$  in  $R$  since  $a_3 e + d \in B$ .

Based on the purity of  $B$ , we can now prove the purity of  $C$ . Suppose that  $p^n x = c$  where  $c \in C$  and  $x \in R$ . We want to show that  $p^n c_1 = c$  for some  $c_1 \in C$ . Write  $c = b + p^m q e$  where  $b \in B$  and  $(q, p) = 1$ . Since  $(q, p) = 1$ ,  $q$  is not relevant to the equation under consideration and therefore there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $q = 1$ , so now let  $c = b + p^m e$ . If  $m \geq n$ , then  $p^n b_1 = b$  for some  $b_1 \in B$  due to the purity of  $B$ . Thus  $c_1 = b_1 + p^{m-n} e$  is the desired solution,  $p^n c_1 = c$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $m < n$ . Hence let  $r = n - m > 0$ . From the purity of  $B$ , we can choose  $b_1 \in B$  so that  $p^m b_1 = b$ . Suppose, for a positive integer  $i$ , that we have already shown (as we have for  $i=1$ ) the existence of  $x_i \in \{x\}$  and  $b_i \in B$  such that

$$(1) \quad p^{r+i} x_i = p^m b_i + p^m e.$$

Since  $e$  is idempotent, we obtain from (1) the equation

$$(2) \quad p^r x_i (p^m e) = p^m b_i e + p^m e$$

and finally

$$(3) \quad p^{r(i+1)+m} x_{i+1} = p^m b_{i+1} + p^m e,$$

where  $x_{i+1} = p^{r(i-1)} x_i^2$  and  $b_{i+1} = b_i^2 + 2b_i e$ . Therefore, (1) has a solution, for all  $i \geq 1$ , with  $x_i \in \{x\}$  and  $b_i \in B$ . This implies that  $p^m e + B^+$  has infinite  $p$ -height in  $\{C, x\}^+ / B^+$ . From a remark in the introduction, we know that  $\{C, x\}^+$  is a finitely generated group. Hence  $\{C, x\}^+ / B^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups since it is finitely generated. We conclude that  $p^m e + B^+$  has finite order  $t$  where  $(t, p) = 1$  since a direct sum of cyclic groups has no elements of infinite  $p$ -height except elements of finite order relatively prime to  $p$ . Since  $(t, p) = 1$ , we may replace the equation  $p^n x = b + p^m e$  by the equation  $p^n y = tb + tp^m e$ . However, the latter equation has a solution in  $B$  since  $tp^m e \in B$  and  $B$  is pure. We have shown that  $C$  is pure, and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 2 now follows quickly by induction on the (minimal) cardinality of a generating set of idempotents. Let  $E$  be a set of idempotents that generate the ring  $R$ , and assume that  $E$  has been chosen so that  $|E|$  is as small as possible. If  $E$  is finite, then  $R^+$  is finitely generated and, therefore, is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Thus assume that  $|E| = \aleph \geq \aleph_0$ . In view of the purity of any subring of  $R$  generated by idempotents and the fact that direct sums of cyclic groups are the pure-projectives in the category of abelian groups, it suffices to prove that  $\{A, e\}^+ / A^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups whenever  $e$  is an idempotent and  $A$  is a subring of  $R$  generated by idempotents. The point here is that we can ascend to  $R$  with a chain

$$0 = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_\alpha \subset \dots$$

of subrings such that: (1)  $A_{\alpha+1}$  is a simple extension of  $A_\alpha$  by an idempotent, (2)  $A_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} A_\alpha$  if  $\beta$  is a limit, and (3)  $A_\alpha$  is generated by fewer than  $\aleph$  idempotents. To prove that  $\{A, e\}^+ / A^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups, we again insert  $B = \{A, Ae\}$ . Since  $B = \langle A, Ae \rangle$ , we have the isomorphism  $B^+ / A^+ \cong (Ae / A \cap Ae)^+$  because  $A \cap Ae$  is an ideal of  $Ae$ . Moreover,  $Ae / A \cap Ae$  is a commutative ring generated by fewer than  $\aleph$  idempotents, for by hypothesis the same is true of  $A$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $(Ae / A \cap Ae)^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Since  $B$  is pure in  $C = \{A, e\} = \langle B, e \rangle$  and since  $C^+ / B^+ \cong \langle e \rangle^+ / (B \cap \langle e \rangle)^+$  is cyclic,  $B^+$  is a direct summand of  $C^+$ . Therefore,  $C^+ / A^+$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups since  $B^+ / A^+$  is. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

1. G. Bergman, *Boolean rings of projection maps*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **4** (1972), 593–598.
2. G. Nöbeling, *Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von Herrn E. Specker*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 41–55. MR **38** #233.
3. E. Specker, *Additive Gruppen von Folgen ganzer Zahlen*, Portugal. Math. **9** (1950), 131–140. MR **12**, 587.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA  
32306