

## A CLASS OF WILD CLOSED CURVES THAT SPAN ORIENTABLE SURFACES<sup>1</sup>

O. G. HARROLD, JR.

ABSTRACT. A classical result in the topology of manifolds asserts that every polygonal closed curve in three-space bounds an orientable surface. In this note we relax the condition that the curve be locally tame and obtain a partial generalization.

THEOREM 1. *If a simple closed curve  $k$  is a boundary component of an annulus  $A$  that is untwisted and unknotted and if  $k = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i$ , where  $T_i$  is a solid torus, then  $k$  bounds a disk.*

The author wants to express his appreciation to Wolfgang Heil and Shin'ichi Kinoshita for suggesting improvements in the original manuscript.

PRELIMINARIES. Since we are not in the  $PL$  category it is necessary to explain what is meant by saying  $A$  is untwisted and unknotted. By the Bing Approximation Theorem [2], we may take  $A$  locally polyhedral mod  $k$  and each  $\hat{T}_i$  (=boundary of  $T_i$ ) polyhedral. If  $k'$  is a polygonal nonbounding curve on  $A \setminus k$  near  $k$ , then  $k'$  and the other boundary component  $l$  of  $A$  determines a polyhedral annulus to which the criteria of Kyle applies [6]. In essence it is assumed these annuli are untwisted and unknotted.

STATEMENT I. Hypothesis as in Theorem 1; conclusion:  $k$  bounds an orientable surface. (The conclusion that  $k$  bounds an orientable surface holds even if  $A$  is knotted.)

PROOF OF STATEMENT I. The simple closed curves  $k$  and  $l$  are the boundary components of  $A$ . Since  $k = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i$ , there is an integer  $N$  such that  $\hat{T}_N$  separates  $k$  and  $l$ . By general position considerations we assume

$$A \cap \hat{T}_N = (A \setminus k) \cap \hat{T}_N = s_1 \cup \cdots \cup s_m,$$

is a nonempty collection of pairwise disjoint simple closed curves. By a familiar disk replacement argument, no  $s_i$  that is  $\sim 0$  on both  $\hat{T}_N$  and  $A$  need occur. If  $s_i \sim 0$  on  $A$  but  $s_i \not\sim 0$  on  $\hat{T}_N$  we easily find the desired disk

---

Received by the editors July 26, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 55A30, 57A10; Secondary 55A25.

Key words and phrases. Wild closed curves, untwisted annuli, solid tori.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by NSF GP-19964.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

by replacing a circular ring on  $A$  (not containing  $k$ ) by a disk of  $\hat{T}_N$ . The problem is thus reduced to the case no  $s_i \sim 0$  on  $\hat{T}_N$ . By the fact that  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  are disjoint, all  $s_i$ 's are longitudes or nonlongitudes.

Consider the case all  $s_i$ 's are nonlongitudes. Then each  $s_i$  links  $k$ . (Although  $k$  is not a polyhedral core of  $T_N$ , there is a polyhedral core as close to  $k$  as we like.) Now  $s_i \sim l$  on  $A \setminus k$ . Hence  $l$  links  $k$  and hence  $l$  links any sufficiently good approximation to  $k$ . But the annulus determined by  $l$  and such an approximation to  $k$  is untwisted by hypothesis. This is impossible, and each  $s_i$  must be a longitude. By definition of longitude, there is a surface  $B$  such that  $s_i = \partial B$ ,  $B \subset S^3 \setminus T_N$ . If  $s_i$  separates  $A$  into  $A'$  and  $A''$ , we may suppose  $A'$  contains  $k$  and  $A' \cap (S^3 \setminus T_N) = \square$ . Then  $B \cup A'$  is the desired surface. This proves Statement I.

It remains to show that the surface may be taken to be a disk under the full hypothesis of Theorem 1 regarding  $A$ . The tori  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  by Theorem 2 below may be assumed concentric (see [3] or [5] for definition of concentric). Let us assume the tori are concentric for the moment and finish the proof that  $k$  bounds a disk.

We must show some  $T_N$  (say  $T_1$ ) is unknotted. Since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are concentric,  $\text{Cl}(T_1 \setminus T_2)$  contains an annulus  $Z$  with one boundary component on  $\hat{T}_1$  and one on  $\hat{T}_2$ . Since  $l$  and  $k$  are exterior to  $\hat{T}_1$  and interior to  $\hat{T}_2$ , respectively, a  $Z$  can be found that is a subset of  $A$ . The fact that  $Z$  is unknotted and untwisted means its boundary components can approximate a polygonal core of  $T_1$  arbitrarily closely and therefore  $T_1$  must be unknotted. Thus the surface  $B$  can be taken to be a disk and  $k$  bounds a (possibly wild) disk. (See examples of Alford [1] and Gillman [4].)

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $k$  is a boundary component of an annulus  $A$  that is untwisted and unknotted and if  $k = \bigcap_1^\infty T_i$ , where  $T_i$  is a solid torus, then for some subsequence  $i_1, i_2, \dots$  of the integers  $T_{i_{k+1}}$  is concentric to  $T_{i_k}$ .*

**REMARKS.** As mentioned above each  $T_i$  may be assumed polyhedral. If solid tori  $B$  and  $B^*$  are such that  $B \subset \text{int } B^*$ , then  $B$  and  $B^*$  are concentric iff  $\text{Cl}(B^* \setminus B) = S^1 \times S^1 \times [0, 1]$ . An integer  $O(B^*, B)$  is defined by Schubert [8] for any such pair of solid tori. Since  $k = \bigcap T_i$ , and  $A$  is untwisted and unknotted we are concerned only with the cases  $O(T_i, T_j) = \pm 1, i \neq j$ . By a criterion of Edwards [3], concentricity of  $B$  and  $B^*$  in the presence of  $O(B, B^*) = \pm 1$  is equivalent to the equivalence of the knot-types of the center lines of  $B$  and  $B^*$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** Suppose, on the contrary, there is a subsequence  $i_1, i_2, \dots$  such that  $T_{i_{k+1}}$  is knotted in  $T_{i_k}, k=1, 2, \dots$ . By passing to a subsequence, we may suppose  $i_k = k$ . Thus  $T_2$  is knotted in  $T_1, T_3$  in  $T_2, \dots$ . Let  $T_k$  have a polygonal center line  $l_k$ . Each  $l_k$  has a unique decomposition (apart from the order) into prime nontrivial knots. There is a

subannulus of  $A$  with boundary components  $l_k$  and  $l_{k+j}$ . Now the subannulus of  $A$  with these boundary components is untwisted and unknotted, by hypothesis. Therefore the total curvature of each  $l_k$  and  $l_{k+j}$  is  $2\pi$ . But it is well known that a polygonal knotted curve, i.e.  $(l_k)$ , has a total curvature  $\geq 4\pi$  [7].

## REFERENCES

1. W. R. Alford, *Some "nice" wild 2-spheres in  $E^3$* , *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics* (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Inst., 1961), Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962, pp. 29–33. MR 25 #4504.
2. R. H. Bing, *Approximating surfaces with polyhedral ones*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **65** (1957), 456–483. MR 19, 300.
3. C. H. Edwards, Jr., *Concentric solid tori in the 3-sphere*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (1962), 1–17. MR 25 #3514.
4. D. S. Gillman, *Sequentially 1-ULC tori*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1964), 449–456. MR 28 #5433.
5. O. G. Harrold, Jr., H. C. Griffith and E. E. Posey, *A characterization of tame curves in three-space*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1955), 12–34. MR 19, 972.
6. R. H. Kyle, *Embeddings of Möbius bands in 3-dimensional space*, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **57** (1955), 131–136. MR 19, 976.
7. J. W. Milnor, *On the total curvature of knots*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **52** (1950), 248–257. MR 12, 273.
8. H. Schubert, *Knoten und Vollringe*, *Acta Math.* **90** (1953), 131–286. MR 17, 291.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306