

## $C^k$ , WEAKLY HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON ANALYTIC SETS<sup>1</sup>

JOSEPH BECKER

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $V$  be a complex analytic set and  $p \in V$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(V)$ , and  $C^k(V)$  denote respectively the rings of germs of holomorphic, weakly holomorphic, and  $k$ -times continuously differentiable functions on  $V$ . Spallek proved that there exists sufficiently large  $k$  such that  $C^k(V) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(V) = \mathcal{O}(V)$ . In this paper I give a new proof of this result for curves and hypersurfaces which also establishes that the conduction number of the singularity is an upper bound for  $k$ . This estimate also holds for any pure dimensional variety off of a subvariety of codimension two.

Let  $V$  be a complex analytic set and  $p \in V$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(V)$ , and  $C^k(V)$  denote respectively the rings of germs of holomorphic, weakly holomorphic, and  $k$ -times continuously differentiable functions on  $V$ . In [6], Spallek proved that there exists sufficiently large  $k$  such that  $C^k(V) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(V) = \mathcal{O}(V)$ . In this paper I give a new proof of this result for curves and hypersurfaces which also establishes that the conduction number of the singularity is an upper bound for  $k$ . This estimate also holds for any pure dimensional variety off of a subvariety of codimension two.

An element  $u \in \mathcal{O}$  is said to be a universal denominator if  $u\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathcal{O}$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  of all functions vanishing on  $\text{Sing}(V)$  and  $J$  be the ideal of universal denominators. Then  $\text{locus}(J) \subset \text{Sing } V$  [3, p. 56], so by the Hilbert Nullstellensatz there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $I^N \subset J$ . The main result of this paper is that  $k$  can be chosen so that  $k \leq N$ .

Siu has proven [5] that if  $k(p)$  is the minimal value of  $k$  such that  $C^k \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$  at the point  $p \in V$ , then the function  $k(p)$  is bounded on compact subsets of  $V$ . This result also follows from the above estimate, by the coherence of the ideal sheafs of  $I$  and  $J$ . (The ideal sheaf of  $J$  is coherent [2, Theorem 22] because it is the kernel of  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O})$ .)

This estimate is, in general, not the best possible. In an earlier work [1], for the example of a curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  normalized by a map  $t \rightarrow (t^q, t^p u(t))$  where  $u(t)$  is a unit,  $p > q$ , and  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime, it was shown that

---

Received by the editors June 30, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 32K15.

Key words and phrases.  $C^k$ , weakly holomorphic, conduction number.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by National Science Foundation SD GU3171.

$k = [(p/q)(q-2)] + 1$  and  $N = [(p/q)(q-1)]$ , where  $[x]$  for any real number  $x$  is the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .

The original estimate for  $k$  obtained by Spallek [6] seems a bit obscure in the case of a nonisolated singularity. This is made clearer by Siu in [5] and Spallek in [8]:

Suppose  $A$  is an analytic set of pure dimension  $r$ ,  $\pi: A \rightarrow C^r$  a branched covering of sheeting order  $\mu$ ,  $z_{r+1}$  a direction in  $C^n$  which separates the fibers of  $\pi$  almost everywhere, and  $\delta$  the discriminant of the minimal polynomial in  ${}_A\mathcal{O}$  for  $z_{r+1}$  over  ${}_r\mathcal{O}$ ; then  $k \leq \mu(m+1)$  where  $I(\text{locus}(\delta))^m \subset \delta_{{}_A}\mathcal{O}$ . Now  $m$  is related to the conduction number  $N$ , but not necessarily equal—depending upon whether the projection  $\pi$  has minimal multiplicity—so the estimate in this paper is better approximately by a factor of the minimal multiplicity. For the above mentioned case of a curve in  $C^2$ , direct computation shows that Spallek's estimate is  $k \leq p(q-1) + q$ .

I am greatly indebted to Professor John Stutz for correcting an error in an earlier version of this paper.

1. Suppose  $V$  is a complex analytic hypersurface in  $C^n$ , the projection  $\pi: C^n \rightarrow C^{n-1}$  to the first  $n-1$  coordinates gives a  $q$  sheeted branched cover of  $V$  with branch set  $B$ ,  $B' = \pi(B)$  and  $z' = \pi(z)$ . Now  $\pi$  induces a homomorphism  ${}_{n-1}\mathcal{O} \rightarrow {}_n\mathcal{O}/I(V) = \mathcal{O}(V)$  making  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  into a finitely generated  ${}_{n-1}\mathcal{O}$  module with generators  $1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{q-1}$ . Hence if  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ , then  $f$  can be written as  $\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} b_i(z')z_n^{q-i-1}$ . For any weakly holomorphic function  $f$ , there is a canonical attempted extension to the ambient space, which is in fact holomorphic, if  $f$  is holomorphic: for  $z' \notin B'$ , let

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z', z_n) &= \sum_{j=1}^q \left( \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{z_n - \alpha_k(z')}{\alpha_j(z') - \alpha_k(z')} \right) f(z', \alpha_j(z')) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^i b_i(z') z_n^{q-i-1}, \\
 b_i(z') &= \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{\sigma_i(\alpha_1(z'), \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j(z'), \dots, \alpha_q(z'))}{\prod_{k \neq j} (\alpha_j(z') - \alpha_k(z'))} f(z', \alpha_j(z'))
 \end{aligned}$$

where hatted terms are deleted,  $\sigma_i$  is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree  $i$ , and  $\{\alpha_j(z') : 1 \leq j \leq q\}$  are the values of  $z_n$  on the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(z')$ .

For  $z \in V$ ,  $z_n = \text{some } \alpha_j$  so  $g(z) \equiv f(z)$ . The coefficients  $b_i$  are well defined (do not depend upon the ordering of the  $\alpha_i$ 's),  $b_i \in \mathcal{O}(C^r - B')$  and  $g \in \mathcal{O}(C^n - B' \times C^{n-r})$ . By the Riemann removable singularities theorem,  $g$  extends holomorphically to  $C^n$  if and only if the  $b_i$  are bounded near  $B'$ . (If  $g$  is holomorphic, then so is  $(\partial^{q-1}/\partial z_n)g = (q-1)! b_0(z')$ , etc.)

If  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ , then as pointed out by Spallek [5, Abschnitt 6], the Newton Interpolation Formula [4, pp. 10–16] says that if

$$[f_1, \dots, f_q] = \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{f(z', \alpha_j(z'))}{\prod_{j \neq k} (\alpha_j(z') - \alpha_k(z'))},$$

then there exists a complex constant  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  and real numbers  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_q \geq 0$  with  $\sum \delta_i = 1$  such that

$$[f_1, \dots, f_q] = (\lambda/(q - 1)!)(\partial^{q-1}/\partial z_n) f(z', \delta_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + \delta_q \alpha_q).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_q) &= \sigma_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q) - \alpha_j \sigma_{i-1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_q) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^l \alpha_j^l \sigma_{i-l}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$b_i(z') = \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^l \sigma_{i-l}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q) [(z_n^l f)_1, \dots, (z_n^l f)_q]$$

and it follows immediately that  $b_i$  is bounded near  $B'$  by the continuity of  $(\partial^{q-1}/\partial z_n) f$ .

2. First we consider the one-dimensional case. Let  $V$  be normalized by a map  $\theta(t) = (t^q, t^{p_1} u_1(t), \dots, t^{p_{n-1}} u_{n-1}(t))$  where each  $p_i \geq q$  and each  $u_i$  is a holomorphic function with  $u_i(0) \neq 0$ . Let  $f \in C^k(V) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(V)$  and  $T_0^k(f)$  be the  $k$ th order Taylor series of  $f$  about the origin; write  $T_0^k f = P_k f + Q_k f$  where  $P_k f$  is a homomorphic polynomial and  $Q_k f$  contains the antiholomorphic terms. It is a standard fact that  $f - T_0^k f = o(|z|^k)$ . However even more is true:

LEMMA.  $(f - P_k f)\theta(t) = o(\theta(t)^k) = o(t^{qk})$ .

This is Lemma 3 of [1] and is also essentially contained in [5, paragraph 2.2].

Let  $h = f - P_k f$ ; we have that  $h$  is also weakly holomorphic,  $h$  is holomorphic if and only if  $f$  is holomorphic,  $h$  is precisely as differentiable as  $f$  and that  $h(\theta(t)) = o(t^{qk})$  since  $P_k h \equiv 0$ . Hence  $h/z_1^k$  is weakly holomorphic. Since  $z_1^N$  is a universal denominator,  $h z_1^{N-k}$  is holomorphic; for  $k = N$  we have that  $h$  is holomorphic. Thus  $C^N(V) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(V) = \mathcal{O}(V)$ .

More generally, for a variety  $V$  of pure dim  $r$  in  $C^n$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \text{Sing}(\text{Sing } V) \\ &\cup \{p \in V \mid \dim C_4(V, p) > r\} \cup \{p \in V \mid \dim C_5(V, p) > r + 1\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_4(V, p)$  and  $C_5(V, p)$  are the fourth and fifth Whitney tangent cones to  $V$  at  $p$  [10]. Then  $C$  is an analytic subset of  $V$  of codimension at least two [9, Proposition 3.6] and every  $p \in V - C$  has an open neighborhood so that after a local biholomorphic change of coordinates the following hold:

(i) For each irreducible component  $V_i$  of  $V$ ,  $V_i \cap \text{Sing } V = \text{Sing } V_i = C^{r-1}$  [9, Proposition 2.10, 2.12, and 4.5].

(ii) Each component has a one-to-one nonsingular normalization [9, Proposition 4.2]  $\phi: D \rightarrow V_i$  given by

$$\phi(t_1, \dots, t_r) = (t_1, \dots, t_{r-1}, t_r^q, \phi_{r+1}(t), \dots, \phi_n(t)),$$

where  $q$  is the sheeting order of  $\pi|_{V_i}$  and  $\pi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . The branching set of this projection is just  $\phi(\{t_r=0\}) = C^{r-1}$ .

Now let  $\text{Cond}_p(V)$  denote the conduction number of the variety at the point  $p$  as defined in the introduction. If  $V_i$  is a component of  $V$  it is clear that any universal denominator for  $V$  is a universal denominator for  $V_i$  and since  $\text{Sing } V_i = \text{Sing } V$ , we have that  $\text{Cond}_p(V) \geq \text{Cond}_p(V_i)$ .

For any fixed  $s = (t_1, \dots, t_{r-1})$  consider the curve  $W_s$  in  $V_i$  given by  $t_r \rightarrow \phi(s, t_r)$ . Since this curve  $W_s$  lies in  $s \times C^{n-r+1}$ , weakly holomorphic functions on  $W_s$  extend to weakly holomorphic functions on  $V_i$  by ignoring the first  $r-1$  variables. Hence any universal denominator for  $V_i$  is a universal denominator for  $W_s$  and  $\text{Cond}_p(V_i) \geq \text{Cond}_p(W_s)$ .

Suppose  $f \in C^k \cap \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ ,  $k \geq \text{Cond}_p(V)$ , and  $r = n-1$ ; recall the canonical extension of §1,  $\sum b_i(z') z_n^{q-i-1}$ , with  $b_i \in \mathcal{O}(C^r - C^{r-1})$ . Since  $W_s$  is a hypersurface in  $s \times C^2$  and  $k \geq \text{Cond}_{\phi(s,0)}(W_s)$  for each  $s$ , by the one-dimensional case we have that  $b_i$  is bounded on each line

$$L_s = \{(s, z_r) : z_r \in C\}.$$

We need to conclude that  $b_i$  extends holomorphically to  $C^r$ . To do this consider the Laurent power series expansion of  $b_i$  in  $C^r - C^{r-1}$ :

$$b_i(z') = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_m(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}) z_r^m, \quad a_m \in \mathcal{O}(C^{r-1}),$$

$$a_m(z'') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{b_i(z'', \xi) d\xi}{\xi^{m+1}}.$$

Choose an  $s \in C^{r-1}$  such that for each  $a_m$  which is not identically zero,  $a_m(s) \neq 0$ ; if there are any negative exponents of  $z_r$  in the above power series expansion,  $b_i$  is not bounded on the line  $L_s$  (neither a pole nor an essential singularity is bounded).

So far we have shown that  $k \leq \text{Cond}_p(V)$  for  $p \in V - C$ . By coherence of the ideal sheafs of  $I(\text{Sing } V)$  and  $J$ , if  $c \in C$ , then  $\text{Cond}_c(V) \geq \text{Cond}_p(V)$

for all  $p$  near  $c$ . If  $f \in C^k \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  with  $k \geq \text{Cond}_c(V)$  then by the last paragraph  $b_i \in \mathcal{O}(C^r - \pi(C))$ ; but  $\dim \pi(C) \leq r-2$  so by Hartog's theorem [3, p. 59],  $b_i \in \mathcal{O}(C^r)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ .

3. Even without the assumption about the codimension of  $V$ , it follows that for pure  $r$ -dimensional  $V$  there exists an analytic subset  $W$  of  $V$  with  $\dim W \leq r-2$  such that for every  $p \in V-W$ ,  $k_{(p)} \leq N_{(p)}$ . Of course this implies the result of the last section since for algebraic complete intersections, singularities in codim two are removable [11].

Instead of directly exhibiting the holomorphic extension, we must resort to more delicate results in sheaf theory, due to Spallek [8, Satz 3.2].

If  $f$  is a weakly holomorphic function on  $V$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq r$ , and  $f$  restricted to each  $q$ -dimensional parallel section is holomorphic, then there is an analytic subset  $B^q$  of  $V$  (not depending upon  $f$ ) of dimension at most  $r-q-1$  so that  $f$  is holomorphic on  $V-B^q$ .

It was shown in the previous section that by restricting to  $V-C$ , we have  $f$  holomorphic on each  $s \times C^{n-r}$  and  $q = \dim V \cap (s \times C^{n-r}) = 1$  so  $\dim B^1 \leq r-2$  and  $f$  is holomorphic on  $V-(C \cup B^1)$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Becker and J. Polking,  $C^k$ , weakly holomorphic functions on an analytic curve, Proc. Conf. on Singularities (March 1972), Rice University Studies (to appear).
2. R. C. Gunning, *Lectures on complex analytic varieties: The local parametrization theorem*, Math. Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970. MR 42 #7941.
3. R. Narasimham, *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1966. MR 36 #428.
4. N. Nörlund, *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*, Springer, Berlin, 1924.
5. Y.-T. Siu,  $O^N$ -approximable and holomorphic functions on complex spaces, Duke Math. J. 36 (1969), 451-454. MR 39 #7144.
6. K. Spallek, *Differenzierbare und holomorphe Funktionen auf analytischen Mengen*, Math. Ann. 161 (1965), 143-162. MR 33 #4328.
7. ———, *Zum Satz von Osgood und Hartogs für analytische Moduln. I*, Math. Ann. 178 (1968), 83-118. MR 38 #345.
8. ———, *Zum Satz von Osgood und Hartogs für analytische Moduln. II*, Math. Ann. 182 (1969), 77-94. MR 40 #2904.
9. J. Stutz, *Analytic sets as branched coverings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
10. H. Whitney, *Local properties of analytic varieties*, Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Sympos. in Honor of Marston Morse), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965, pp. 205-244. MR 32 #5924.
11. S. Abhyankar, *Concepts of order and rank on a complex space, and a condition for normality*, Math. Ann. 141 (1960), 171-192. MR 23 #A351.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12222