## ON BOREL MEASURES AND BAIRE'S CLASS 3

## R. DANIEL MAULDIN

ABSTRACT. Let S be a complete and separable metric space and  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite, complete Borel measure on S. Let  $\Phi$  be the family of all real-valued functions, continuous  $\mu$ -a.e. Let  $B_{\alpha}(\Phi)$  be the functions of Baire's class  $\alpha$  generated by  $\Phi$ . It is shown that if  $\mu$  is not a purely atomic measure whose set of atoms form a dispersed subset of S, then  $B_2(\Phi) \neq B_{\omega_1}(\Phi)$ , where  $\omega_1$  denotes the first uncountable ordinal.

If  $\Phi$  is a family of real-valued functions defined on a set S, then,  $B(\Phi)$ , the Baire system of functions generated by  $\Phi$  is the smallest subfamily of  $R^X$  which contains  $\Phi$  and which is closed under the process of taking pointwise limits of sequences. The family  $B(\Phi)$  can be generated from  $\Phi$  as follows: Let  $B_0(\Phi) = \Phi$  and for each ordinal  $\alpha$ , let  $B_{\alpha}(\Phi)$  be the family of all pointwise limits of sequences taken from  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} B_{\gamma}(\Phi)$ . Thus,  $B_{\omega_1}(\Phi)$  is the Baire system of functions generated by  $\Phi$ , where  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal. The Baire order of a family  $\Phi$  is the first ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $B_{\alpha}(\Phi) = B_{\alpha+1}(\Phi)$ .

Kuratowski has proved that if S is a metric space and  $\Phi$  is the family of all real-valued functions on S which are continuous except for a first category set, then the order of  $\Phi$  is 1 and  $B_1(\Phi)$  is the family of all functions which have the Baire property in the wide sense [1, p. 323].

Let S be a complete separable metric space, let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, complete Borel measure on S and let  $\Phi$  be the family of all real-valued functions on S, whose set of points of discontinuity is of  $\mu$ -measure 0. In [3], it was shown that the order of  $\Phi$  is 1 if and only if  $\mu$  is purely atomic and the set of atoms of  $\mu$  is a dispersed [7] (scattered) subset of S. Thus, as far as the Baire order problem is concerned the notion of first category and measure 0 cannot, in general, be interchanged.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that if  $\mu$  is not a purely atomic measure whose atoms form a dispersed set, then the Baire order of  $\Phi$  is at least 3. This will be accomplished by exhibiting a function in  $B_3(C(S))$  which is not in  $B_2(\Phi)$ . Of course,  $B_3(C(S))$  is a subfamily of  $B_3(\Phi)$ .

Received by the editors March 16, 1972 and, in revised form, October 18, 1972. AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A05, 26A21; Secondary 02K30. Key words and phrases. Borel measure, Baire function, Baire class  $\alpha$ , dispersed set, ambiguous sets.

In what follows suppose  $\mu$  is not a purely atomic measure whose set of atoms form a dispersed set. In order to prove the main theorem the following technical lemma is employed.

LEMMA. There is a perfect set M of finite measure such that if V is an open set intersecting M, then  $\mu(M \cap V) > 0$  and there is a set of sequences,  $\{T_{np}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}, n=1, 2, 3, \cdots$ , such that

- (1)  $\{T_{1p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of disjoint, perfect, nowhere dense subsets of M such that  $T_1 = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} T_{1p}$  is a dense subset of M and for each p, if V is an open set intersecting  $T_{1p}$ , then  $\mu(T_{1p} \cap V) > 0$ ;
- (2) for each n,  $\{T_{n+1,p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of disjoint perfect subsets of M such that for each k,  $T_{n+1,k}$  is a subset of some term of  $\{T_{np}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  and is nowhere dense with respect to that set, and if V is an open set intersecting  $T_{n+1,k}$  then  $\mu(T_{n+1,k} \cap V) > 0$ ; and
- (3) for each n and p, the union of all the sets in  $\{T_{n+1,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which are subsets of  $T_{nn}$  is dense in  $T_{nn}$ .

PROOF. Since the support of  $\mu$  is a closed set, it can be decomposed into a perfect part, P, and a countable scattered (dispersed) part D. From the assumptions made concerning  $\mu$ , we have that the perfect part of this decomposition is nonempty.

It follows that there is a perfect subset M of P of finite measure such that if V is an open set which meets M, then  $\mu(M \cap V) > 0$ .

If H is a family of disjoint, perfect, nowhere dense subsets of M, each having positive  $\mu$ -measure then the family H is countable. Let G be the collection of all such families H which have the additional property that if an open set V meets some member K of H, then  $\mu(V \cap K) > 0$ . Let the collection G be partially ordered by inclusion. Since every totally ordered subsystem of G has an upper bound, G has a maximal element:  $\{T_{1p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ .

Now, suppose that  $T_1 = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} T_{1p}$  is not dense in M. Let U be an open set which meets M such that Cl U does not intersect  $T_1$ . The set  $Cl(U \cap M)$  is a perfect subset of M and  $\mu(Cl(U \cap M)) > 0$ . It follows that there is a perfect nowhere subset K of M, lying in  $Cl(U \cap M)$  such that if V is an open set which meets K, then  $\mu(V \cap K) > 0$ . This contradicts the maximality of the family  $\{T_{1p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ . Thus,  $T_1$  is dense in M.

Arguments, similar to the preceding one, can be given to complete the proof of the lemma.

THEOREM. Let S be a complete separable metric space, let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, complete Borel measure on S and let  $\Phi$  be the family of all real-valued functions on S which are continuous  $\mu$ -a.e. If the Baire order of  $\Phi$  is not 1, then the order of  $\Phi$  is at least 3.

PROOF. Let M be a perfect subset of S and  $\{T_{np}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $n=1, 2, 3, \cdots$ , a set of sequences of subsets of M satisfying the conditions of the conclusion of the lemma. Let  $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ . The set T is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  set and  $\mathscr{E}_T$ , the characteristic function of T is in Baire's class 3 ([8], [9]).

Suppose  $\mathscr{E}_T$  is in  $B_2(\Phi)$ . By Theorem 3 of [4], there is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set K such that  $\mu(K) = 0$  and a function g in Baire's class 2 such that if x is in S - K,  $g(x) = \mathscr{E}_T(x)$ . Hence,  $\mathscr{E}_T|K'$  is in Baire's class 2 with respect to K', the complement of K. So,  $T \cap K'$  is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  set with respect to K'. There is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  subset A of S such that  $A \cap K' = T \cap K'$ . Since K' is a  $G_{\delta}$  set in S,  $T \cap K'$  is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  set in S.

We have  $T' = T'_1 \cup (T_1 - T_2) \cup (T_2 - T_3) \cup \cdots$ . Since  $T_n - T_{n+1}$  is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  set, for each n and  $T'_1$  and K are  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  sets, it follows that  $T' \cup K$ , the complement of  $T \cap K'$ , is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  set. Thus,  $T' \cup K$  is an ambiguous set of class 2.

It follows that there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of ambiguous sets of class 1 such that

$$T' \cup K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap A_{n+1} \cap \cdots) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup \cdots)$$
 [6, p. 355].

Let  $\{A_{n_1i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the subsequence of  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  consisting of all terms which intersect T' and for each k>1, let  $\{A_{n_ki}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the subsequence of  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  consisting of all terms which intersect  $T_{k-1}-T_k$  and having subscript  $\geq k$ .

For each k, let  $B_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{n_k i}$ . It follows that for each k,  $B_k$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set,  $B_1$  contains  $T_1'$  and if k > 1,  $B_k$ -contains  $T_{k-1} - T_k$ . Also, it follows that  $\limsup_{k \to \infty} B_k$  is a subset of  $\limsup_{k \to \infty} A_k$ , which is  $T' \cup K$ .

Let  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , where for each n,  $F_n$  is a closed set of measure 0 and  $F_{n+1}$  contains  $F_n$ .

Since  $B_1$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set containing  $T_1'$ , and  $T_1$  is of the first category with respect to P, there is an open set  $C_1$  intersecting P such that  $\operatorname{Cl}(C_1 \cap P)$  is a subset of  $B_1$ . Since  $F_1$  is closed and  $\mu(F_1)=0$ ,  $F_1 \cap P$  is a closed, nowhere dense subset of P. Let  $S_1$  be a spherical ball of radius less than 1, intersecting P such that  $\operatorname{Cl}(S_1 \cap P)$  is a subset of  $C_1 \cap P$  and  $\operatorname{Cl}(S_1 \cap P)$  does not intersect  $F_1$ .

Since  $T_1$  is a dense subset of P, there is a positive integer  $n_1$  such that  $T_{1n_1}$ , intersects  $S_1$ . Thus,  $S_1 \cap T_{1n_1}$ , is a dense in itself subset of  $T_{1n_1}$ , and  $H_1 = \text{Cl}(S_1 \cap T_{1n_1})$ , is a perfect subset of  $T_{1n_1}$ , such that if  $\emptyset$  is an open set intersecting  $H_1$ , then  $\mu(\emptyset \cap H_1) > 0$ .

As  $B_2$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set containing  $T_1 - T_2$  and  $T_2$  is of the first category with respect to  $H_1$ ,  $B_2$  is not of the first category with respect to  $H_1$ . There is an open set  $C_2$  lying in  $S_1$  and intersecting  $H_1$  such that  $Cl(H_1 \cap C_2)$  is a subset of  $B_2$ . Since  $F_2$  is closed and  $\mu(F_2) = 0$ ,  $F_2 \cap H_1$  is a closed, nowhere dense subset of  $H_1$ . Let  $S_2$  be a spherical ball of radius less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

intersecting  $H_1$  such that  $Cl(S_2)$  subset of  $C_2$  and  $Cl(S_2 \cap H_1)$  does not intersect  $F_2$ .

As  $T_2 \cap T_{1n_1}$  is a dense subset of  $T_{1n_1}$ , there is a positive integer  $n_2$  such that  $T_{2n_2}$  intersects  $S_2 \cap H_1$ . Then  $T_{2n_2}$  is a subset of  $T_{1n_1}$ ,  $S_2 \cap T_{2n_2}$  is a dense in itself subset of  $S_2 \cap H_1$  and  $H_2 = Cl(S_2 \cap T_{2n_2})$  is a perfect subset of  $T_{2n_2}$  such that if O is an open set intersecting it, then  $\mu(O \cap H_2) > 0$ .

Suppose k>1 and sets  $C_i$ ,  $S_i$ , and  $T_{in_i}$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ , have been defined having the following properties:

- (1)  $C_k$  is an open set lying in  $S_{k-1}$  such that  $Cl(H_{k-1} \cap C_k)$ , where  $H_{k-1} = Cl(S_{k-1} \cap T_{k-1})$ , is a subset of  $B_k$ ;
- (2)  $S_k$  is a spherical ball of radius less than 1/k intersecting  $H_{k-1}$  such that  $Cl(S_k)$  is a subset of  $C_k$  and  $Cl(S_k \cap H_{k-1})$  does not intersect  $F_k$ ;
  - (3)  $n_k$  is a positive integer such that  $T_{kn_k}$  intersects  $S_k \cap H_{k-1}$ .

Now, an argument analogous to the one given above to obtain the sets  $C_2$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $T_{2n_2}$  may be used to obtain sets  $C_{k+1}$ ,  $S_{k+1}$ , and  $T_{k+1_{n+1}}$  having properties (1), (2), and (3) listed above where k+1 is substituted for k.

The sequence  $\{H_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence of closed point sets in the complete and separable space S and the diameter of  $H_{p+1}$  is less than 2/(p+1). There is a point w common to all the terms of the sequence  $\{H_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ . As for each p,  $H_p$  is a subset of  $B_p$  and of  $T_p$  and  $H_p$  does not intersect  $F_p$ , w is in  $\limsup B_n$  and w is in  $T \cap K'$ . But,  $\limsup B_n$  is a subset of  $T' \cup K$ . This is a contradiction. This completes the argument for the theorem.

L. Kantorovitch has shown that in the special case S = [0, 1] and  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure, there is a function in Baire's class 2, not in  $B_1(\mathcal{F})$  [10]. The theorem proved in this paper shows that there is a function in Baire's class 3, not in  $B_2(\mathcal{F})$ , if  $\mu$  is not a purely atomic measure having a dispersed set of atoms. It is not difficult to show from results in [4] that  $B_{\alpha+1}(\mathcal{F}) \neq B_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if there is a function f in Baire's class  $\alpha+1$  such that if g is in Baire's class  $\alpha$ , then the set  $(f \neq g)$  is not a subset of an  $F_{\alpha}$  set of measure 0.

Conjecture. If the Baire order of  $\Phi$ , the family of all real-valued functions continuous a.e. is not 0 or 1, then it is  $\omega_1$ .

REMARK. To settle this question, calls for some delicate analysis as it is well known that every measurable function f agrees with a function g in Baire's class 2 almost everywhere; however, as has been shown here the topological nature of the set  $(f \neq g)$  is very important in this process.

QUESTION. Is there any family  $\Phi$  whose Baire order is not 0, 1, 2 or  $\omega_1$ ?

## REFERENCES

1. F. Hausdorff, *Mengenlehre*, 3rd ed., de Gruyter, Berlin, 1937; English transl., 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1962. MR 25 #4999.

- 2. R. D. Mauldin, On the Baire system generated by a linear lattice of functions, Fund. Math. 68 (1970), 51-59. MR 42 #8243.
- 3. —, Some example of  $\sigma$ -ideals and related Baire functions, Fund. Math. 71 (1971), 179–184.
  - 4. ——, σ-ideals and related Baire functions, Fund. Math. 71 (1971), 171-177.
- 5. John E. Jayne, Space of Baire functions, Baire classes, and Suslin sets, Dissertation, Columbia University, New York, 1971.
- 6. K. Kuratowski, *Topologie*. Vol. 1, PWN, Warsaw, 1958; English transl., Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warsaw, 1966. MR 19, 873; MR 36 #840.
- 7. A. Petczyński and Z. Semadeni, Spaces of continuous functions. III. The spaces  $C(\Omega)$  for  $\Omega$  without perfect subsets, Studia Math. 18 (1959), 221–222. MR 21 #6528.
- 8. S. Banach, Über analytisch darstellbare Operationen in abstrakten Raum, Fund. Math. 17 (1931), 283-295.
- 9. R. Baire, Sur la représentation des fonctions discontinues, Acta. Math. 30 (1905); ibid. 32 (1909).
- 10. L. Kantorovitch, Sur les suites des fonctions presque partout continues, Fund. Math. 16 (1930), 25-28.
- 11. N. Luzin, Leçons sur les ensembles analytiques et leurs applications, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1930.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32601