

## VARIANTS OF CYCLIC DIFFERENCE SETS<sup>1</sup>

H. J. RYSER

**ABSTRACT.** This paper is an exploratory study of certain rare and intriguing configurations that are "almost" cyclic difference sets. The variants are of two types. We give precise definitions of the variants and then establish some nonexistence theorems. Only a few examples of the variants are known to us and their complete structure is far from understood.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $v$ ,  $k$ , and  $\lambda$  be positive integers. Suppose that  $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  is a set of  $k$  residues modulo  $v$  with the property that for any residue  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{v}$  the congruence

$$(1.1) \quad d_i - d_j \equiv a \pmod{v}$$

has exactly  $\lambda$  solution pairs  $(d_i, d_j)$  with  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  in  $D$ . Such a residue set is called a *cyclic difference set*. The terms *difference set* and  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -*difference set* are also in standard usage.

It follows at once that

$$(1.2) \quad k(k-1) = \lambda(v-1).$$

It is customary to write

$$(1.3) \quad n = k - \lambda$$

and we usually assume that  $n \geq 2$  so that all of the degenerate difference sets are excluded. We let  $C$  denote the permutation matrix of order  $v$  with 1's in positions  $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (v-1, v), (v, 1)$  and 0's in all other positions. Then the *incidence matrix*

$$(1.4) \quad A = C^{d_1} + \dots + C^{d_k}$$

---

Received by the editors February 20, 1973.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 05B10, 05B20, 05B25; Secondary 05B05, 15A24.

*Key words and phrases.* Circulant, cyclic difference set, finite projective plane, group divisible design, incidence matrix, planar difference set, symmetric block design.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by the Army Research Office-Durham under Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-72-G171 and the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-36230X.

of the difference set  $D$  satisfies the matrix equation

$$(1.5) \quad AA^T = nI + \lambda J \equiv B,$$

where  $A^T$  is the transpose of the matrix  $A$ ,  $I$  is the identity matrix of order  $v$ , and  $J$  is the matrix of 1's of order  $v$ .

Difference sets on the parameters

$$(1.6) \quad v = n^2 + n + 1, \quad k = n + 1, \quad \lambda = 1$$

are called *planar of order  $n$* . Singer has constructed planar difference sets for every prime power order and all known planar difference sets are of the Singer type. The extensive literature on difference sets is well summarized in the recent text by Baumert [1].

**2. Near difference sets of type 1.** We are now ready to define the first of two variants of the difference set  $D$ . Let  $v \geq 4$  be an even integer and let  $k$  and  $\lambda$  be positive integers. Suppose that  $D_1 = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  is a set of  $k$  residues modulo  $v$  with the property that for any residue  $a \not\equiv 0, v/2 \pmod{v}$  the congruence

$$(2.1) \quad d_i - d_j \equiv a \pmod{v}$$

has exactly  $\lambda$  solution pairs  $(d_i, d_j)$  with  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  in  $D_1$  and no solution pairs for the residue  $a \equiv v/2 \pmod{v}$ . Then we call  $D_1$  a *near difference set* and we say that  $D_1$  is of *type 1*.

It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad k(k-1) = \lambda(v-2)$$

and we write

$$(2.3) \quad n = k - \lambda.$$

Then the *incidence matrix*

$$(2.4) \quad A = C^{d_1} + \dots + C^{d_k}$$

of the near difference set  $D_1$  of type 1 satisfies the matrix equation

$$(2.5) \quad AA^T = nI + \lambda J - \lambda C^{v/2} \equiv B_1.$$

We now prove a nonexistence theorem for near difference sets of type 1. The first portion of the theorem follows from known results on group divisible designs [2]. But we keep the discussion self-contained.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $D_1 = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  be a near difference set of type 1 on the parameters  $v$ ,  $k$ , and  $\lambda$ . Then  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  implies that  $k - 2\lambda$  is a square and  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  implies that  $k$  is a square. Moreover, the existence*

of  $D_1$  implies the existence of a cyclic difference set (possibly degenerate) on the parameters  $v/2, k,$  and  $2\lambda$ .

PROOF. Equation (2.5) tells us that the determinant of the matrix  $B_1$  must be a square. We write  $B_1$  in the form

$$(2.6) \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} nI + \lambda J & -\lambda I + \lambda J \\ -\lambda I + \lambda J & nI + \lambda J \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I$  and  $J$  are both of order  $v/2$ . If we subtract appropriate rows and then add appropriate columns we transform  $B_1$  into

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} kI & -kI \\ -\lambda I + \lambda J & nI + \lambda J \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} kI & 0 \\ -\lambda I + \lambda J & (k - 2\lambda)I + 2\lambda J \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively, where  $0$  is the zero matrix of order  $v/2$ . Hence

$$(2.8) \quad \det(B_1) = k^{v/2}(k - 2\lambda)^{(v-2)/2}(k + \lambda(v - 2)),$$

and by (2.2) we have

$$(2.9) \quad \det(B_1) = k^{(v+4)/2}(k - 2\lambda)^{(v-2)/2}.$$

We note that  $k=2\lambda$  is possible only for  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , again by (2.2); thus (2.9) gives us our first conclusion.

Two distinct elements  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  of the near difference set  $D_1 = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  of type 1 cannot satisfy  $d_i \equiv d_j \pmod{v/2}$  because this implies  $d_i - d_j \equiv v/2 \pmod{v}$ , contrary to hypothesis. We now regard  $D_1$  as a set of  $k$  residues modulo  $v/2$ . Then for any residue  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{v/2}$  the congruence  $d_i - d_j \equiv a \pmod{v/2}$  has exactly  $2\lambda$  solution pairs  $(d_i, d_j)$  with  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  in  $D_1$ . Thus  $D_1$  becomes a cyclic difference set on the parameters  $v/2, k,$  and  $2\lambda$ .

Near difference sets of type 1 on the parameters

$$(2.10) \quad v = n^2 + n + 2, \quad k = n + 1, \quad \lambda = 1$$

are called *planar* of order  $n$ . The following are planar near difference sets of type 1 of orders  $n=1, 2,$  and  $3,$  respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{0, 1\} \pmod{4}, \\ D_1 &= \{0, 1, 3\} \pmod{8}, \\ D_1 &= \{0, 1, 4, 6\} \pmod{14}. \end{aligned}$$

These are the only orders of planar near difference sets of type 1 known to us.

The following is an example of a near difference set of type 1 on the parameters  $v=12, k=5,$  and  $\lambda=2: D_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 9\} \pmod{12}$ .

**3. Near difference sets of type 2.** Our second variant of the difference set  $D$  is defined as follows. Let  $v \geq 4$ ,  $k$ , and  $\lambda$  be positive integers. Suppose that  $D_2 = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  is a set of  $k$  residues modulo  $v$  with the property that for any residue  $a \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{v}$  the congruence

$$(3.1) \quad d_i - d_j \equiv a \pmod{v}$$

has exactly  $\lambda$  solution pairs  $(d_i, d_j)$  with  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  in  $D_2$  and no solution pairs for the residues  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{v}$ . Then we also call  $D_2$  a *near difference set* and we say that  $D_2$  is of *type 2*.

It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad k(k-1) = \lambda(v-3)$$

and we write

$$(3.3) \quad n = k - \lambda.$$

Then the *incidence matrix*

$$(3.4) \quad A = C^{d_1} + \dots + C^{d_k}$$

of the near difference set  $D_2$  of type 2 satisfies the matrix equation

$$(3.5) \quad AA^T = nI + \lambda J - \lambda(C + C^{-1}) \equiv B_2.$$

The following nonexistence theorem for near difference sets of type 2 uses certain ideas developed earlier in [4] for cyclic difference sets.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $D_2 = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$  be a near difference set of type 2 on the parameters  $v$ ,  $k$ , and  $\lambda$ . Suppose that  $v = 3m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. Then the Diophantine equation*

$$(3.6) \quad x^2 = ky^2 - \lambda(m-1)z^2$$

*has a solution in integers  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ , not all zero.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\varepsilon \neq 1$  be a  $v$ th root of unity. Then following [4] we let

$$(3.7) \quad \theta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{d_1} + \dots + \varepsilon^{d_k}.$$

Since  $D_2$  is a near difference set of type 2 we have

$$(3.8) \quad \theta(\varepsilon)\theta(\varepsilon^{-1}) = n - \lambda(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1}).$$

Now let  $\varepsilon$  be a primitive 3rd root of unity. Then (3.8) reduces to

$$(3.9) \quad \theta(\varepsilon)\theta(\varepsilon^{-1}) = k.$$

Let  $e_i$  of the roots  $\varepsilon^{d_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^{d_k}$  be equal to  $\varepsilon^i$  ( $i=0, 1, 2$ ). Then

$$(3.10) \quad e_0 + e_1 + e_2 = k$$

and we may write  $\theta(\varepsilon)$  in the form

$$(3.11) \quad \theta(\varepsilon) = e_0 + e_1\varepsilon + e_2\varepsilon^2.$$

Equation (3.9) implies

$$(3.12) \quad e_0^2 + e_1^2 + e_2^2 - k = e_0e_1 + e_1e_2 + e_2e_0.$$

We square (3.10) and use (3.2) and (3.12) to obtain

$$(3.13) \quad e_0^2 + e_1^2 + e_2^2 = k + \lambda(m-1)$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad e_0e_1 + e_1e_2 + e_2e_0 = \lambda(m-1).$$

We now define the circulant

$$(3.15) \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} e_0 & e_1 & e_2 \\ e_2 & e_0 & e_1 \\ e_1 & e_2 & e_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it follows from (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$(3.16) \quad EE^T = kI + \lambda(m-1)J.$$

Hence by the basic nonexistence criterion for symmetric block designs we have that the Diophantine equation (3.6) has a solution in integers  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ , not all zero. (Our precise situation is covered by the lemma on rational congruences in [4].)

Near difference sets of type 2 on the parameters

$$(3.17) \quad v = n^2 + n + 3, \quad k = n + 1, \quad \lambda = 1$$

are called *planar* of order  $n$ . The following are planar near difference sets of type 2 of orders  $n=1, 2, 3$ , and 4, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &= \{0, 2\} \pmod{5}, & D_2 &= \{0, 2, 6\} \pmod{9}, \\ D_2 &= \{0, 2, 5, 9\} \pmod{15}, & D_2 &= \{0, 2, 7, 10, 19\} \pmod{23}. \end{aligned}$$

These are the only orders of planar near difference sets of type 2 known to us. We remark that Theorem 3.1 excludes orders 5 and 9.

There is very great interest in the problem of the existence of a finite projective plane of order 10. This is the smallest undecided order. However, it has been known for a long time that there does not exist a planar difference set of order 10 [3]. Theorem 2.1 implies that there does not exist a planar near difference set of type 1 of order 10 because there does not exist a difference set on the parameters  $v=56$ ,  $k=11$ , and  $\lambda=2$ . However,

we have not excluded the possibility of the existence of a planar near difference set of type 2 of order 10. But its existence appears unlikely.

## REFERENCES

1. L. D. Baumert, *Cyclic difference sets*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 182, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971. MR 44 #97.
2. R. C. Bose and W. S. Connor, *Combinatorial properties of group divisible incomplete block designs*, Ann. Math. Statist. 23 (1952), 367–383. MR 14, 124.
3. M. Hall, Jr., *Cyclic projective planes*, Duke Math. J. 14 (1947), 1079–1090. MR 9, 370.
4. M. Hall, Jr. and H. J. Ryser, *Cyclic incidence matrices*, Canad. J. Math. 3 (1951), 495–502. MR 13, 312.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91109