

COMMON FIXED POINTS FOR SEMIGROUPS OF MAPPINGS

ANTHONY T. LAU AND CHI SONG WONG¹

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space. Let S be a Hausdorff topological semigroup which is either left amenable or left reversible. Then for any generalised nonexpansive (jointly) continuous action of S on X , X contains a common fixed point of S .

1. Introduction. Let S be a (nonempty) *topological semigroup*, i.e. S is a semigroup with a Hausdorff topology such that for each s in S , the mappings $x \rightarrow sx$ and $x \rightarrow xs$ of S into S are continuous. Let $C(S)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded real-valued continuous functions on S with the supremum norm. A function f in $C(S)$ is *left uniformly continuous* if the mapping $s \rightarrow l_s f$, where $l_s f(t) = f(st)$ for all $s, t \in S$, is continuous on S ([12], [13]). Let $LUC(S)$ be the family of all left uniformly continuous functions on S . Then $LUC(S)$ is a Banach subalgebra of $C(S)$ which contains all of the real-valued constant functions on S and is translation invariant [13]. S is *left amenable* if $LUC(S)$ has a left *invariant mean* μ , i.e. μ is a continuous linear functional on $LUC(S)$ such that $\|\mu\| = \mu(e) = 1$ and $\mu(l_s f) = \mu(f)$ for all $s \in S, f \in LUC(S)$, where e is the function with $e(s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$ [13]. When S is discrete, this definition coincides with that of M. M. Day in [1].

Let X be a subset of a Banach space B with norm p . An *action* of S on X is a mapping of $S \times X$ into X , denoted by $(s, x) \rightarrow sx$ such that $(st)x = s(tx)$ for all $s, t \in S, x \in X$ (as a consequence, S can be considered as a family of functions of X into X with the possibility that different elements in S correspond to the same function). A point x in X is a common fixed point of S (with respect to an action) if $sx = x$ for all $s \in S$. An action

Received by the editors March 2, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Common fixed points, equicontinuous mapping, generalized nonexpansive mapping, left amenable topological semigroup, left reversible topological semigroup, strictly convex Banach space, strongly almost periodic function.

¹ The first author is supported by NRC Grant A7679. The second author is partially supported by NRC Grant A8518 and a grant from the Mathematical Congress. The first author would like to thank Professor T. Rogers for providing a preprint of his joint paper [6] with G. Hardy.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

of S on X is *nonexpansive* if $p(sx - sy) \leq p(x - y)$ for all $s \in S$, $x, y \in X$; it is *generalized nonexpansive* if there exist nonnegative real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ such that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 1$ and for all $s \in S$, $x, y \in X$,

$$p(sx - sy) \leq \alpha_1 p(x - sx) + \alpha_2 p(y - sy) + \alpha_3 p(x - sy) \\ + \alpha_4 p(y - sx) + \alpha_5 p(x - y).$$

It is obvious that an action of S on X is generalized nonexpansive if it is nonexpansive. The converse is not true even for the case when X is a bounded closed interval [17].

A Banach space B with norm p is *strictly convex* if for any x, y, z in B , $p(x - z) + p(z - y) = p(x - y)$ implies that $z \in [x, y]$ ($= \{(1 - t)x + ty : t \in [0, 1]\}$). It is the main purpose of this paper to prove the following result. Related results for family of nonexpansive mappings can be found in [3], [7], [11], [15] and [16]. The notion of generalized nonexpansive mappings for metric spaces are considered in [4], [8], [14] and [6].

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a compact convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space B with norm p . Let S be a left amenable topological semigroup. Then for any (jointly) continuous generalized nonexpansive action of S on X , S has a common fixed point in X .*

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Since the given action is generalized nonexpansive there exist nonnegative real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ such that

$$(1) \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 1$$

and for all $s \in S$, $x, y \in X$,

$$(2) \quad p(sx - sy) \leq \alpha_1 p(x - sx) + \alpha_2 p(y - sy) + \alpha_3 p(x - sy) \\ + \alpha_4 p(y - sx) + \alpha_5 p(x - y).$$

By calculating $(p(sx - sy) + p(sy - sx))/2$ through (2), we may without loss of generality assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4$. Thus $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

For simplicity, a subset Y of X is said to be *invariant* if $sy \in Y$ for all $s \in S$, $y \in Y$. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a minimal nonempty closed convex invariant subset C of X . Again by Zorn's lemma, there exists a minimal nonempty invariant closed subset K of C . We shall first prove that $sK = K$ for all $s \in S$. Let $x_0 \in K$. x_0 will now be used to obtain a measure λ on the σ -algebra $B(K)$ of all Borel subsets of K . For each f in $B(K)$, let $Tf(s) = f(sx_0)$, $s \in S$. Then $Tf \in \text{LUC}(S)$ [12, proof of Theorem 1]. Since S is left amenable, $\text{LUC}(S)$ has a left invariant mean μ . Let $\lambda = T^* \mu$, where T^* is the adjoint of T . Then $\|\lambda\| = \lambda(e) = 1$ and $\lambda(sf) = \lambda(f)$ for all

$f \in C(K)$, $s \in S$, where ${}_s f(y) = f(sy)$, $y \in K$. By the Riesz representation theorem, λ can be considered as a measure on $B(K)$ with $\lambda(K) = 1$ and $\lambda(s^{-1}(A)) = \lambda(A)$ for all $s \in S$, $A \in B(K)$, where $s^{-1}(A) = \{y \in K : sy \in A\}$. Since K is compact, the support $\text{supp } \lambda$ of λ is the smallest compact subset Y of K with $\lambda(Y) = 1$. Let $s \in S$. Then from $\lambda(s^{-1}(\text{supp } \lambda)) = \lambda(\text{supp } \lambda) = 1$, we have $s^{-1}(\text{supp } \lambda) \supset \text{supp } \lambda$. So $s(\text{supp } \lambda) \subset s(s^{-1}(\text{supp } \lambda)) \subset \text{supp } \lambda$ and therefore $\text{supp } \lambda$ is invariant. By minimality of K , $\text{supp } \lambda = K$. Since $\lambda(sK) = \lambda(s^{-1}(s(K))) \geq \lambda(K) = 1$, $sK \supset \text{supp } \lambda = K$. Hence $sK = K$.

Now note that if K is a singleton, then the point in K is a common fixed point of S . So we may assume that K contains at least two points.

Case 1. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$. By Lemma 1 in [3], there exists $z_0 \in C$ such that

$$\sup\{p(z_0 - x) : x \in K\} \leq r$$

for some $r < \delta(K)$ ($= \sup\{p(x - y) : x, y \in K\}$). Let

$$W = \{z \in C : p(z - x) \leq r \text{ for all } x \in K\}.$$

Then $z_0 \in W$ and W is a closed convex subset of C . To see that W is invariant, let $z \in W$, $s \in S$. By compactness of K , there exists $y_1 \in K$ such that $p(sz - y_1) = \sup\{p(sz - x) : x \in K\}$. Since $sK = K$, $sy_2 = y_1$ for some $y_2 \in K$. Now from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} p(sz - y_1) &= p(sz - sy_2) \\ &\leq \alpha_3 p(z - y_1) + \alpha_4 p(sz - y_2) + \alpha_5 p(z - y_2) \\ &\leq (\alpha_3 + \alpha_5)r + \alpha_4 p(sz - y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \alpha_4 \geq \frac{1}{2} > 0$,

$$p(sz - y_1) \leq \frac{\alpha_3 + \alpha_5}{1 - \alpha_4} r = r.$$

By the choice of y_1 , $sz \in W$. Thus W is invariant. By minimality of C , $W = C$. Hence by definition of W , $\delta(K) \leq r < \delta(K)$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \neq 0$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 \neq 0$. Let $s \in S$. Then from $sK = K$ and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(K) &= \sup\{p(sx - sy) : x, y \in K\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\alpha_1 p(x - sx) + \alpha_2 p(y - sy) + \alpha_3 p(x - sy) \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 p(y - sx) + \alpha_5 p(x - y) : x, y \in K\} \\ (3) \quad &\leq \alpha_1 \sup\{p(x - sx) : x \in K\} + \alpha_2 \sup\{p(y - sy) : y \in K\} \\ &\quad + \alpha_3 \sup\{p(x - sy) : x, y \in K\} + \alpha_4 \sup\{p(y - sx) : x, y \in K\} \\ &\quad + \alpha_5 \sup\{p(x - y) : x, y \in K\} \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \delta(K) + \alpha_2 \delta(K) + \alpha_3 \delta(K) + \alpha_4 \delta(K) + \alpha_5 \delta(K) \\ &= \delta(K). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \neq 0$, we have from (3),

$$(4) \quad \delta(K) = \sup\{p(x - sx) : x \in K\}.$$

From (4), $sK = K$ and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(K) &= \sup\{p(sx - s^2x) : x \in K\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\alpha_1 p(x - sx) + \alpha_2 p(sx - s^2x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_3 p(x - s^2x) + \alpha_5 p(x - sx) : x \in K\} \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \delta(K) + \alpha_2 \delta(K) + \alpha_3 \delta(K) + \alpha_5 \delta(K). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $1 \leq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 < 1$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \neq 0$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$. Let $s \in S$. By the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, $sw = w$ for some $w \in C$. Since K is compact, there exists $y_1 \in K$ such that $p(y_1 - w) = \sup\{p(x - w) : x \in K\}$. Since $sK = K$, $y_1 = sy_2$ for some $y_2 \in K$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p(w - y_1) &= p(sw - sy_2) \leq \alpha_2 p(y_2 - sy_2) + \alpha_5 p(w - y_2). \\ &\leq \alpha_2 p(y_2 - sy_2) + \alpha_5 p(w - y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \alpha_5 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 / (1 - \alpha_5) = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$p(w - y_1) \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{1 - \alpha_5} p(y_2 - sy_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta(K).$$

By the choice of y_1 ,

$$(5) \quad p(w - x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta(K) \quad \text{for all } x \in K.$$

By compactness of K , there exist $x_1, x_2 \in K$ such that $\delta(K) = p(x_1 - x_2)$. Now from (5), $\delta(K) = p(x_1 - x_2) \leq p(x_1 - w) + p(w - x_2) \leq \delta(K)$, i.e.

$$(6) \quad p(x_1 - x_2) = p(x_1 - w) + p(w - x_2).$$

Since B is strictly convex, we have from (5) and (6), $w = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$. Since x_1, x_2 do not depend on w , $(x_1 + x_2)/2$ is a common fixed point of S .

3. Related results. Let S be a topological semigroup. S is left *reversible* if the family of all closed right ideals in S has the finite intersection property. When S has the discrete topology, S is left reversible if it is left amenable [5, p. 181]. However, in general, "left reversible" and "left amenable" are two independent conditions on a topological semigroup ([1, p. 516], [7, §4]). By using Lemma 1 in [9], we still have $sK = K$ for all $s \in K$ even if, in Theorem 1, S is left reversible instead of being left amenable. So we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a compact convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space B with norm p . Let S be a left reversible topological semigroup.*

Then for any (jointly) continuous generalized nonexpansive action of S on X , S has a common fixed point in X .

Let S be a topological semigroup. A function $f \in C(S)$ is *strongly almost periodic* if $\{l_s f : s \in S\}$ is relatively compact in $C(S)$. Let $AP(S)$ be the family of all strongly almost periodic functions on S . Then $AP(S)$ is a Banach subalgebra of $LUC(S)$ which contains all constant real-valued functions on S and is translation invariant [2]. An action of S on a compact subset X of a Banach space is *equicontinuous* if S is equicontinuous when it is considered as a family of functions of X into X . Now by using Lemma 3.1 in [10] and by modifying the proof of Theorem 1 in an obvious way, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a compact subset of a Banach space B with norm p . Let S be a topological semigroup such that $AP(S)$ has a left invariant mean. Then for any equicontinuous and jointly continuous action of S on X , S has a common fixed point in X .*

We would like to point out here that by modifying the definitions and proofs in an obvious way, one can prove Theorems 1–3 for the case when B is a Hausdorff locally convex topological space with its topology induced by a given family of pseudonorms on B .

REFERENCES

1. M. M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 509–544. MR **19**, 1067.
2. K. deLeeuw and I. Glickberg, *Application of almost periodic compactification*, Acta. Math. **105** (1961), 63–97. MR **24** #A1632.
3. R. DeMarr, *Common fixed points for commuting contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 1139–1141. MR **28** #2446.
4. M. Edelstein, *On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1962), 74–79. MR **24** #A2936.
5. E. E. Granirer, *Extremely amenable semigroups*, Math. Scand. **17** (1965), 177–197. MR **33** #5760.
6. G. Hardy and T. Roger, *A generalisation of a fixed point theorem of S. Reich*, Canad. Math. Bull. (to appear).
7. R. D. Holmes and A. Lau, *Nonexpansive actions of topological semigroups and fixed points*, J. London Math. Soc. **5** (1972), 330–336.
8. R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points. II*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 405–408. MR **41** #2487.
9. A. T. Lau, *Invariant means on subsemigroups of locally compact groups*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **3** (1973), 77–81.
10. ———, *Invariant means on almost periodic functions and fixed point properties*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **3** (1973), 69–76.
11. T. Mitchell, *Fixed points of reversible semigroups of nonexpansive maps*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 322–323. MR **42** #2316.

12. T. Mitchell, *Topological semigroups and fixed points*, Illinois J. Math. **14** (1970), 630–641. MR 42 #5245.
13. I. Namioka, *On certain actions of semi-groups on L -spaces*, Studia Math. **29** (1967), 63–77. MR 36 #6910.
14. S. Reich, *Some remarks concerning contractive mappings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **14** (1971), 121–124. MR 45 #1145.
15. W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorem for amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **21** (1969), 383–386. MR 41 #7501.
16. Chi Song Wong, *Fixed point theorems on compact Hausdorff spaces* (to appear).
17. ———, *Fixed points of generalised nonexpansive mappings on an int.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOR, WINDSOR, ONTARIO, CANADA