

THE RIESZ SUMMABILITY OF LOGARITHMIC TYPE

B. KWEE¹

ABSTRACT. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is said to be summable (L) to s if $(\log(1-x))^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n x^{n+1}/n$, where $s_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{\nu}$, converges for $0 \leq x < 1$ and tends to s when $x \rightarrow 1^-$. The aim of this paper is to discuss the relation between summability (L) and Riesz summability $(R, \log n, \kappa)$. It is proved that $(R, \log n, \kappa) \subseteq (L)$ holds for $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$ and is false for $\kappa > 1$. It is also proved that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = s(L)$ and bounded $(R, \log n, \kappa)$ for $\kappa \geq 0$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = s(R, \log n, \kappa + \delta)$ for every $\delta > 0$.

1. Introduction. Let $\kappa \geq 0$, and let

$$A^{\kappa}(u) = \sum_{\log n < u} (u - \log n)^{\kappa} a_n.$$

If $C^{\kappa}(u) = u^{-\kappa} A^{\kappa}(u) \rightarrow s$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$, we say the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable $(R, \log n, \kappa)$ to s and write $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = s(R, \log n, \kappa)$.

If

$$\frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{n+1}}{n},$$

where $s_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{\nu}$, converges for $0 \leq x < 1$ and tends to s as $x \rightarrow 1^-$, we say the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable (L) to s .

The relation between summability $(R, \log n, \kappa)$ and (L) will be discussed in this paper. We shall prove

Theorem 1. *The inclusion $(R, \log n, \kappa) \subseteq (L)$ holds for $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$ and is false for $\kappa > 1$.*

Theorem 2. *If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable (L) and bounded $(R, \log n, \kappa)$, then it is summable $(R, \log n, \kappa + \delta)$ to the same sum for every $\delta > 0$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1. For $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, $(R, \log n, \kappa) \subseteq (R, \log n, 1)$. But summability $(R, \log n, 1)$ is equivalent to summability (I) defined by

Received by the editors November 1, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 40G99.

¹ The research reported herein has been sponsored in part by the U. S. Army Research and Development Group (Far East).

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

$$\frac{1}{\log(n+1)} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{s_{\nu}}{\nu} \rightarrow s$$

(see [4, Theorem 37]), and, by [4, Theorem 57], $(I) \subseteq (L)$. Hence $(R, \log n, \kappa) \subseteq (L)$ for $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$.

To prove the second part of the theorem, let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ be the series whose partial sums B_n are defined by

$$t_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{B_{\nu}}{\nu} = \gamma_n n^{-it},$$

where $\gamma_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n 1/\nu$ and $t \neq 0$. We have

$$(1) \quad \frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n x^n}{n} = \frac{-(1-x)}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n x^n = \frac{-(1-x)}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n n^{-it} x^n.$$

It is easy to verify that $1/\gamma_n$ is totally monotonic. Hence there exists a monotonic increasing function $\chi(x)$ such that $1 = \gamma_n \int_0^1 x^n d\chi(x)$ for $n \geq 0$. It follows from a theorem of Borwein [1] that, if the right-hand side of (1) tends to a finite limit s , then $n^{-it} \rightarrow s(A)$. Since $(n+1)^{-it} - n^{-it} = O(n^{-1})$, $n^{-it} \rightarrow s$ by a Tauberian theorem for Abel summability, which is impossible. Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ is not summable (L) .

Let $u = \log w$, $n < w \leq n+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu < w} b_{\nu} \log \frac{w}{\nu} &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} B_{\nu} \log \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} + B_n \log \frac{w}{n} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_{\nu}}{\nu} + O(\log n) = O(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ is bounded $(R, \log n, 1)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^N \log \frac{\mu+2}{\mu} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \left(\log \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} \right) B_{\nu} \\ = \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \frac{B_{\nu}}{\nu} + O(1) = \sum_{\mu=1}^N \gamma_{\mu} \mu^{-1-it} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{-1-it} = \frac{n^{-it}}{it} + D(t) + o(1).$$

(See [4, p. 333].) Then

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^N \gamma_{\mu} \mu^{-1-it} = - \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\sigma_{\mu}}{\mu+1} + \gamma_{N+1} \sigma_N = o(\log^2 N).$$

It follows from a result of Jurkat [5] that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = O(R, \log n, 2)$. Hence, by the convexity theorem for Riesz summability [3, p. 19] that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = O(R, \log n, \kappa)$ for $\kappa > 1$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall use the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let k be a nonnegative integer. If $C^k(u)$ is bounded, then, for $t > 0$, the series*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-t}$$

is summable (C, k) to

$$(3) \quad \frac{t^{k+1}}{\Gamma(k+1)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tu} A^k(u) du.$$

Since summability (C, k) is equivalent to (R, n, k) , this is a special case of [3, Theorem 3.51]. Note that there is no need to suppose that k is an integer; but, as it is much easier to prove the result in this case, and as this case is enough for our application, we state the result for this case only.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that, for some $k \geq 0$, $t > 0$, the series (2) is summable (C, k) . Then the series*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n (n^{-t} - (n+1)^{-t})$$

is summable (C, k) to the same sum as (2).

If $k = 0$, we are given that (2) converges. It follows easily that $s_n = o(n^t)$. Hence, by partial summation, (4) converges to the same sum as (2).

Suppose now that $k > 0$. It follows from a theorem of [2] that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n-1} n^{-t-1}$ is summable $(C, k-1)$ to some sum. Hence the sequence $\{s_{n-1} n^{-t}\}$ is summable (C, k) to 0. By the translativity of (C, k) , the sequence $\{s_n (n+1)^{-t}\}$ is summable (C, k) to 0. But

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{\nu} \nu^{-t} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n s_{\nu} (\nu^{-t} - (\nu+1)^{-t}) + s_n (n+1)^{-t},$$

i.e. the n th partial sums of (2) and (4) differ by $s_n (n+1)^{-t}$. Hence the result.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that*

$$(5) \quad f(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n n^{-1} e^{-nu}$$

converges for all $u > 0$; suppose that

$$(6) \quad f(u) = o(u^{-\alpha})$$

as $u \rightarrow 0+$ for every fixed $\alpha > 0$. Then, for all $t > 0$,

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n n^{-t-1}$$

is Abel summable to $(1/\Gamma(t)) \int_0^{\infty} u^{t-1} f(u) du$.

Take $t > 0$ as fixed. Then for any $x > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n n^{-t-1} e^{-nx} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n n^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-n(u+x)} u^{t-1} du.$$

Since (5) converges for all $u > 0$, it converges absolutely for all $u > 0$. Applying this result with u replaced by x , we see that the inversion in the order of integration is justified by absolute convergence. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n n^{-t-1} e^{-nx} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^{\infty} u^{t-1} f(u+x) du.$$

Hence it is enough to prove that

$$(8) \quad \int_0^{\infty} u^{t-1} f(u+x) du - \int_0^{\infty} u^{t-1} f(u) du \rightarrow 0$$

as $x \rightarrow 0+$. Applying (6) with some α satisfying $0 < \alpha < t$, the difference on the left of (8) is

$$o\left(x^{-\alpha} \int_0^x u^{t-1} du\right) + o\left(\int_0^{2x} u^{t-1-\alpha} du\right) + \int_{2x}^{\infty} ((u-x)^{t-1} - u^{t-1}) f(u) du.$$

The first two terms clearly tend to 0 as $x \rightarrow 0+$. The third is

$$(9) \quad O\left(x \int_{2x}^{\infty} u^{t-2} |f(u)| du\right).$$

Again using (6), and using also the result that, for large u , $f(u) = O(e^{-u})$, we find that the expression (9) tends to 0 as $x \rightarrow 0+$; hence the lemma.

We can now prove Theorem 2. Let k be an integer with $k \geq \kappa$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is bounded $(R, \log n, \kappa)$, it is also bounded $(R, \log n, k)$. By Lemma 1, the series (2) is summable (C, k) to the expression (3). Hence, by Lemma 2, the series (4) is summable (C, k) , and hence Abel summable

to the same sum. Now, by the analogue for Riesz bounded series of the limitation theorem for Riesz summable series

$$(10) \quad s_n = O(n^k (\log n)^k).$$

For $0 < t < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^t} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^t} = t \left(\frac{1}{n^{t+1}} + \sum_{\rho=1}^k \frac{c_\rho(t)}{n^{t+\rho+1}} + R_n(t) \right),$$

where

$$R_n(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{t+k+2}}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{k+2}}\right)$$

uniformly in t , and where $c_\rho(t)$ is, for each ρ , a bounded function of t .

Hence, by (10), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n R_n(t)$ converges uniformly in t . It follows from Lemma 3 that the series (4) is Abel summable to

$$(11) \quad \frac{t}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^{\infty} u^{t-1} f(u) du + t \sum_{\rho=1}^k \frac{c_\rho(t)}{\Gamma(t+\rho)} \int_0^{\infty} u^{t+\rho-1} f(u) du + t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n R_n(t).$$

Hence the expressions (3) and (11) are equal.

Now for large u , $f(u) = O(e^{-u})$ so that the contribution of the range $u \geq 1$ to the first term in (11) tends to 0. We have $f(u) \sim s \log(1/u)$ as $u \rightarrow 0+$,

$$\int_0^1 u^{t-1} \log \frac{1}{u} du = \int_0^{\infty} v e^{-vt} dv = t^{-2},$$

and, for fixed $\eta > 0$, $\int_{\eta}^1 u^{t-1} \log(1/u) du = O(1)$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$. Hence

$(t/\Gamma(t)) \int_0^1 u^{t-1} f(u) du \rightarrow s$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$. Since, for $\rho \geq 1$, $\int_0^{\infty} u^{t+\rho-1} f(u) du$

is bounded, and by uniform convergence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n R_n(t)$ is also bounded. Hence the expression (3) tends to s as $t \rightarrow 0+$. In other words

$$\frac{t^{k+1}}{\Gamma(k+1)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tu} u^k C^k(u) du \rightarrow s$$

as $t \rightarrow 0+$. Since $C^k(u)$ is bounded, it follows from a theorem of Wiener (as stated, for example, in [4, Theorem 232]) that

$$C^{k+1}(w) = \frac{k+1}{w^{k+1}} \int_0^w u^k C^k(u) du \rightarrow s$$

as $w \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable $(R, \log n, k+1)$ to s . The result now follows from the convexity theorem for Riesz summability.

REFERENCES

1. D. Borwein, *On methods of summability based on power series*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **64** (1957), 342–349. MR **19**, 955.
2. L. S. Bosanquet and H. C. Chow, *Some analogues of a theorem of Andersen*, J. London Math. Soc. **16** (1941), 42–48. MR **3**, 148.
3. K. Chandrasekharan and S. Minakshisundaram, *Typical means*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1952. MR **14**, 1077.
4. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949. MR **11**, 25.
5. W. Jurkat, *Über Rieszche Mittel und verwandte Klassen von Matrixtransformationen*, Math. Ž. **57** (1953), 353–394. MR **14**, 866.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA, KUALA LUMPUR,
MALAYSIA