

COMPLETELY OUTER GROUPS OF AUTOMORPHISMS ACTING ON $R/J(R)$

J. OSTERBURG

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring with unit, $J(R)$ its Jacobson radical, and assume $R/J(R)$ Artinian. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of R that induces a completely outer group on $R/J(R)$. Then R is G -Galois over the fixed ring, S , if R is projective over the usual crossed product, Δ , or, if the order of G is invertible in R , or if R is Artinian.

Let R be a ring with identity. We denote the Jacobson radical of R by $J(R)$ and we assume throughout that $R/J(R)$ is Artinian.

We assume that G is a finite group of automorphisms of R that induces a completely outer group of automorphisms on $R/J(R)$. (See Y. Miyashita [1, p. 126].) The crossed product Δ of R with G is $\Sigma \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} Ru_{\sigma}$ with $(xu_{\sigma})(yu_{\tau}) = xy^{\sigma}u_{\sigma\tau}$ for x and y in R .

The fixed ring S is the set of r in R such that $r^{\sigma} = r$ for all σ in G . We can view R as a left Δ -module by defining $xu_{\sigma} \cdot r = xr^{\sigma}$. In this way, R becomes a bi- Δ - S -module. The Jacobson radicals of Δ , R and S are denoted by $J(\Delta)$, $J(R)$ and $J(S)$ respectively.

Proposition 1. (a) $J(\Delta) = J(R) \cdot \Delta = \Delta \cdot J(R)$.

(b) $J(R) \cap S \subseteq J(S)$.

Proof of (a). Because $\sigma(J(R)) \subseteq J(R)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, $J(R) \cdot \Delta = \Delta \cdot J(R)$. Thus for any simple, left Δ -module $M \neq 0$, $J(R)M$ is a Δ -submodule of M . Now M is a finitely generated left R -module because Δ is a finitely generated R -module. Nakayama's lemma then shows $J(R) \cdot M = 0$. Since $J(R)$ annihilates every simple left Δ -module, $J(R) \subseteq J(\Delta)$.

Now G is a completely outer group of automorphisms acting on $R/J(R)$. Thus $\Delta(R/J(R), G)$ (the crossed product of $R/J(R)$ with G) has zero Jacobson radical. This was shown by T. Nakayama [4, Lemma 2, p. 204]. Now

Received by the editors April 2, 1973 and, in revised form, September 17, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A74, 16A50, 16A46;

Secondary 13B05.

Key words and phrases. Semilocal ring, group of automorphisms, completely outer Galois group, crossed product.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

$\Delta(R/J(R), G) \simeq \Delta/J(R)\Delta$; hence, $J(R)\Delta \supseteq J(\Delta)$ and so $J(R)\Delta = J(\Delta)$. Similarly, $\Delta J(R) = J(\Delta)$.

Proof of (b). If x is in $J(R) \cap S$, then for some y in R , $(1-x)y = y(1-x) = 1$. So $(1-x)y^\sigma = y^\sigma(1-x) = 1$ for all σ in G . Thus $y \in S$ so $J(R) \cap S \subseteq J(S)$.

Corollary. *If a left Δ -module M is completely reducible as an R -module, then it is completely reducible as a Δ -module and conversely.*

Proof. Since $\Delta/J(\Delta)$ is a finitely generated $R/J(R)$ -module, $\Delta/J(\Delta)$ is Artinian. If a left Δ -module M is completely reducible as an R -module, then $J(R)M = 0$. So $J(\Delta)M = \Delta J(R)M = 0$; then M is completely reducible as a Δ -module.

Proposition 2. *The following are equivalent.*

(1) *If $j: \Delta \rightarrow \text{End } R_S$ is the map associating to each element of Δ its action on the Δ -module R (namely to $\sum x_\sigma u_\sigma$ associate the endomorphism $r \mapsto \sum x_\sigma r^\sigma$), then j is an isomorphism.*

(2) *R is a finitely generated projective Δ -module.*

(3) *R is a Δ -generator.*

(4) *R over S is G -Galois (see [1, p. 116]).*

(5) *R^g is left Δ -isomorphic to Δ , where g is the order of G .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta/J(\Delta)$ and $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$. We will show R_S is a generator. Now \bar{R} is a finitely generated projective $\bar{\Delta}$ -module, since $\bar{\Delta}$ is semisimple, Artinian. Since $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) \subseteq \bar{\Delta}$, we conclude $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \bar{R}$. (See [2, Lemma 2.5, p. 128].) Thus there exist $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \bar{R}$, and $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \in \bar{R}$ such that for all $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\bar{x}) \bar{x}_i = \bar{x}$. If $f_i(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} \sum_{\sigma} u_\sigma \bar{r}_i^\sigma$, then $\bar{x} = \bar{x} \sum_i \sum_{\sigma} (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)^\sigma$, for all $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}$. Thus $\bar{1} = \sum_i \sum_{\sigma} (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)^\sigma$; let $\bar{d} = \sum_i (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)$, then $\text{tr } \bar{d} = \bar{1}$. So $\text{tr } d - 1 \in J(R) \cap S \subseteq J(S)$. Thus $\text{tr } R + J(S) = S$, but $J(S)$ is small. Thus $\text{tr}(R) = S$ or there is a c in R such that $\text{tr } c = 1$. We conclude that $\text{tr}: R \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ splits; hence R is an S -generator. Since $\text{End } R_S = \Delta$, we conclude that ${}_{\Delta}R$ is finitely generated projective and $S = \text{End}_{\Delta}(R)$. See K. Morita [3, Lemma 3.3, p. 100].

(2) \Rightarrow (5). Now $\bar{R}^g \simeq \bar{\Delta}$ as $\bar{\Delta}$ -modules, where g is the order of G (see [4, Lemma 3, p. 205]). The uniqueness of the projective cover implies R^g and Δ are Δ -isomorphic.

(5) \Rightarrow (3). This is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). We show that R over S is G -Galois by proving R is a finitely generated, projective right S -module and $j: \Delta \rightarrow \text{End } R_S$ is an isomorphism. But this is true by Morita's theorem. (See [3, Lemma 3.3, p. 100].)

(4) \Rightarrow (1). (1) is part of the definition of G -Galois.

Proposition 3. *If R is Artinian, then R over S is G -Galois.*

Proof. By [4, Lemma 3, p. 205] there is an isomorphism $\bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{R}^g$, and hence a Δ -module map $\Delta \rightarrow \bar{R}^g$. The natural epimorphism $\pi: R^g \rightarrow \bar{R}^g$ and the Δ -projectivity of Δ then gives a Δ -map $f: \Delta \rightarrow R^g$ which is an epimorphism because π is a minimal epimorphism. Since R^g and Δ are both free, rank g R -modules, they have the same R -length, and so any R -epimorphism (like f) is an isomorphism.

Proposition 4. *If a left Δ -module M is R -projective and the order of G is a unit in R , then M is Δ -projective.*

Proof. Let $\pi: N \rightarrow N'$ be a left Δ -epimorphism and $f: M \rightarrow N'$ be a left Δ -map. We want $h: M \rightarrow N$ such that $\pi h = f$. Now any Δ -module is a left R -module, so by hypothesis there exists an R -map $h': M \rightarrow N$ such that $\pi h' = f$. Let g be the order of G . Let $h(m) = \sum_{\tau\sigma=1} u_\sigma h'(u_\tau m)$. Now h is a Δ -map and $\pi h = f$.

Corollary. *If the order of G is a unit in R , then R over S is G -Galois.*

If M is a left Δ -module, define $M^G = \{m \in M | u_\sigma \cdot m = m \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$.

Proposition 5. *If R is Δ -projective, then $(R/J(R))^G \simeq S/J(S)$ and $J(S) = J(R) \cap S$.*

Proof. We show $\text{Hom}_\Delta(R, M) \simeq M^G$ under $f \rightarrow f(1)$, if $f \in \text{Hom}_\Delta(R, M)$. This is so, because $u_\sigma f(1) = f(u_\sigma \cdot 1) = f(1)$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J(R) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/J(R) \rightarrow 0$. Since R is Δ -projective,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Delta(R, J(R)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Delta(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Delta(R, R/J(R)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus

$$0 \rightarrow S \cap J(R) \rightarrow S \rightarrow (R/J(R))^G \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence $(R/J(R))^G \simeq S/S \cap J(R)$.

We now have the following situation: G is a completely outer group of automorphisms of $R/J(R)$ and the fixed ring is $S/J(R) \cap S$. We can show there is a $\bar{d} = d + J(R) \in R/J(R)$, for $d \in R$, such that $\text{tr } d - 1 \in J(R) \cap S$. See the proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2) in Proposition 2. Thus $S/J(R) \cap S$, as a left

$S/J(R) \cap S$ -module, is a direct summand of $R/J(R)$, as a left $S/J(R) \cap S$ -module. Since the Jacobson radical of $R/J(R)$ is zero, the Jacobson radical of $S/J(R) \cap S$ is zero. (See [1, Theorem 7.10, p. 132].) Thus $J(S) \subseteq J(R) \cap S$; hence $J(S) = J(R) \cap S$.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Miyashita, *Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I 19 (1966), 114–134. MR 35 #1638.
2. ———, *Galois extensions and crossed products*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I 20 (1968), 122–134. MR 39 #262.
3. K. Morita, *Duality for modules and its application to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 6 (1958), 83–142. MR 20 #3183.
4. T. Nakayama, *Galois theory for general rings with minimum condition*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 1 (1949), 203–216. MR 12, 237.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, CINCINNATI,
OHIO 45221