

AN EXAMPLE INVOLVING BAIRE SPACES

H. E. WHITE JR.

ABSTRACT. If $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$, then there is a regular, Hausdorff space Y such that (1) every subspace of Y is a Lindelöf, Baire space, (2) Y is a homogeneous space, and (3) $Y \times Y$ is of the first category.

In this note we show, using a minor modification of an argument of Oxtoby [5, pp. 163–164], that, if $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$, then there is a dense subspace Y of (R, \mathcal{I}) , where \mathcal{I} denotes the density topology on the real line R , which has the properties listed in the abstract. The space (R, \mathcal{I}) is a completely regular, Hausdorff, Baire space with the property that

(1.1) a subset A of R is \mathcal{I} -nowhere dense if and only if the Lebesgue measure of A is zero.

The density topology \mathcal{I} , which consists of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of R having density 1 at each of their points, was introduced in [3] and studied in [2]; see §2 of [6] for more of its properties.

We shall denote the Euclidean topology on R by \mathcal{E} . For any topological space (X, \mathcal{U}) , we denote by $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$ the product topology on $X \times X$ induced by \mathcal{U} . And, for any family \mathcal{F} of subsets of R and any subset A of R , we denote $\{F \cap A: F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ by $\mathcal{F} \cap A$.

Proposition. *Suppose G is an additive subgroup of R of positive Lebesgue outer measure such that G is of the first category in (R, \mathcal{E}) . Then $(G, \mathcal{I} \cap G)$ is a completely regular, Hausdorff space such that (1) every subspace of G is a Baire space, (2) G is homogeneous, and (3) $G \times G$ is of the first category (in $G \times G$).*

Proof. It is easily seen that G is dense in (R, \mathcal{I}) . Next, there is a dense G_δ subset H of (R, \mathcal{E}) such that $G \cap H = \emptyset$. Let $K = \{(x, y) \in R \times R: x - y \in H\}$. Then K is a G_δ subset of $(R \times R, \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E})$. And (see [4]), if A, B are Lebesgue measurable subsets of R of positive measure, then $K \cap (A \times B) \neq \emptyset$. Hence K is a dense G_δ subset of $(R \times R,$

Presented to the Society, July 13, 1973; received by the editors August 16, 1973.
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D99, 54G20.

Key words and phrases. Baire space, density topology, homogeneous space.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

$\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$). Because $G \times G$ is dense in $(R \times R, \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I})$ and $(G \times G) \cap K = \emptyset$, $G \times G$ is of the first category.

Because every isometry of (R, \mathcal{E}) is a homeomorphism of (R, \mathcal{I}) onto itself, and G is an additive subgroup of R , $(G, \mathcal{I} \cap G)$ is homogeneous.

If $A \subset R$ and A is of the first category in (R, \mathcal{I}) , it follows from (1.1) and the definition of \mathcal{I} that $(A, \mathcal{I} \cap A)$ is discrete. It follows easily from this, that every subspace of (R, \mathcal{I}) is a Baire space; hence (1) holds.

In the following, we denote Lebesgue measure on R by m and the set of all rational numbers by Q . For any subset A of R and any x, y in R , we denote by $xA + y$ the set $\{xa + y : a \in A\}$.

Construction of the space Y that is described in the abstract. Suppose $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$. Let Z denote a dense G_δ subset of (R, \mathcal{E}) such that $0 \notin Z$ and $m(Z) = 0$. Suppose $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is the family of all \mathcal{E} -Borel sets of measure zero, and suppose $F_0 = Z$.

Let $Y_0 = \{0\}$. Suppose that, for some α , $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, we have defined $(Y_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ so that, for each β , $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$, Y_β is a countable subset of R . Let $Y^\alpha = \bigcup \{Y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ and $Z^\alpha = \bigcup \{qF_\beta + y : q \in Q, \beta < \alpha, y \in Y^\alpha\}$. Because $m(Z^\alpha) = 0$, we may choose b_α in $R \sim Z^\alpha$. Let $Y_\alpha = \{qb_\alpha + y : q \in Q, y \in Y^\alpha\}$. Finally, let $Y = \bigcup \{Y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. It is easily seen that Y is a rational vector subspace of R . We shall now show that

$$(1.2) \quad (Y_\alpha \sim Y^\alpha) \cap \left[\bigcup \{F_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right] = \emptyset \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < \omega_1.$$

Suppose $x \in (Y_\alpha \sim Y^\alpha) \cap \left[\bigcup \{F_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]$, where $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$. Then there are $y < \alpha$, q in Q , and y in Y^α such that $x \in F_y$ and $x = qb_\alpha + y$. Because $x \notin Y^\alpha$, $q \neq 0$. Then $b_\alpha \in q^{-1}[F_y + (-y)] \subset Z^\alpha$, contradicting the choice of b_α .

It follows from (1.2) that (a) $|Y \cap A| \leq \aleph_0$ for every subset A of R of Lebesgue measure 0, and (b) $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$. From (a) it follows that, because $|Y| > \aleph_0$, Y has positive Lebesgue outer measure. And it follows from (a), (1.1), and the fact that (R, \mathcal{I}) obviously satisfies the countable chain condition, that $(Y, \mathcal{I} \cap Y)$ is hereditarily Lindelöf.

Remarks. (1) Y is a totally nonmeagre space [1, p. 252] such that $Y \times Y$ is not a Baire space.

(2) It follows from [6, 2.1.2] that Blumberg's theorem does not hold for Y .

(3) To show that Y is completely regular we do not need to know that (R, \mathcal{I}) is completely regular; it is sufficient to know that (R, \mathcal{I}) is a regular, Hausdorff space. This latter statement is rather easy to prove.

(4) The space Y is not homeomorphic with the space Z constructed on p. 164 of [5] because Z is extremally disconnected and Y is not.

(5) If we replace the continuum hypothesis with the following hypothesis, then the argument used in constructing Y can be used, with only minor modifications, to construct a set G satisfying the hypothesis of the proposition.

If \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of R of Lebesgue measure 0 such that $|\mathcal{F}| < 2^{\aleph_0}$, then the interior Lebesgue measure of $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ is 0.

(6) Dr. R. M. Solovay has stated (in a letter) that (5) implies that if Martin's axiom holds, then R contains a subgroup satisfying the hypothesis of the proposition. He has stated further that it is consistent with $ZF + AC$ that there is no subgroup of R which satisfies the hypothesis of the proposition.

(7) If G satisfies the hypothesis of the proposition, then $(G, +, \mathcal{T} \cap G)$ is not a topological group. For, if U is an element of \mathcal{T} containing 0 such that $0 \notin \mathcal{E}\text{-int}(\mathcal{E}\text{-cl } U)$, then there is no V in \mathcal{T} such that $0 \in V$ and $\{x - y : x, y \in V \cap G\} \subset U \cap G$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics: General topology*. Part 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966.
2. C. Goffman, C. J. Neugebauer and T. Nishiura, *Density topology and approximate continuity*, *Duke Math. J.* **28** (1961), 497–505. MR 25 #1254.
3. C. Goffman and D. Waterman, *Approximately continuous transformations*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1961), 116–121. MR 22 #11082.
4. H. Helson, *Remark on measures in almost-independent fields*, *Studia Math.* **10** (1948), 182–183. MR 10, 600.
5. J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **49** (1960/61), 157–166. MR 25 #4055; 26 #1543.
6. H. E. White, Jr., *Topological spaces in which Blumberg's theorem holds*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 454–462.

Current address: 351 Eldon Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43204