

\mathcal{F} -PROJECTORS OF FINITE SOLVABLE GROUPS

JOSEPH A. TROCCOLO¹

ABSTRACT. \mathcal{F} denotes a class of finite solvable groups closed under the taking of epimorphic images. For any finite solvable group G we construct \mathcal{F} -projectors and prove that they are a single conjugacy class of subgroups of G .

0. **Introduction.** The concept of a formation was introduced by Gäschtz [3] and showed that in finite solvable groups the Sylow subgroups and Carter subgroups are examples of more general classes called \mathcal{F} -projectors. If \mathcal{F} is a saturated formation then every finite solvable group has a single conjugacy class of \mathcal{F} -projectors. Some results of formation theory have been extended to less restricted classes; see [2], [5], and [7]. In [8] Wielandt localized the concept of a formation by studying $Q(G)$, the factors of a single group G , and showed that only the properties of the collection $\mathcal{F} \cap Q(G)$ are relevant to the existence and conjugacy of \mathcal{F} -projectors of G . Results analogous to this have been obtained for \mathcal{F} -normalizers by Prentice [6]. In this note we follow the approach of studying the factors of a single group G and construct \mathcal{F} -projectors for any class of factors closed under the taking of epimorphic images within G . The subgroups we obtain coincide with those of Schunk if \mathcal{F} is a saturated homomorph.

1. **\mathcal{F} -projectors.** All groups considered are finite and solvable.

Let G be a group. We define $Q(G) = \{H/H_0 \mid H_0 \trianglelefteq H \leq G\}$, the set of factors of G . Let \mathcal{F} be any subset of $Q(G)$.

Definition 1.1. (i) A subgroup E of G has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in G if whenever $E \leq F \leq G$ and $F/F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ then $EF_0 = F$.

(ii) A subgroup E of G with the \mathcal{F} -covering property in G is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G if no proper subgroup of E has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in E .

Presented to the Society, November 25, 1972; received by the editors February 26, 1973 and, in revised form, December 31, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20D10.

Key words and phrases. Finite solvable group, formation, \mathcal{F} -projector.

¹The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Steven Bauman of the University of Wisconsin under whose supervision this work was done.

If \mathcal{F}^* is a saturated formation and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^* \cap \mathcal{Q}(G)$, the above definition coincides with the usual one.

We will determine conditions on \mathcal{F} that insure that \mathcal{F} -projectors of G exist and are a single conjugacy class of subgroups of G .

Definition 1.2. \mathcal{F} is a homomorph if

(1) $A_0 \trianglelefteq A$, $B_0 \trianglelefteq B$, $A_0 B = A$ and $A_0 \cap B = B_0$, then $A/A_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $B/B_0 \in \mathcal{F}$; and

(2) $R/R_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $R_0 \leq R_1 \trianglelefteq R$, then $R/R_1 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 1.3. \mathcal{F} is normal if and only if whenever $R/S \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g \in G$ then $R^g/S^g \in \mathcal{F}$.

If \mathcal{F} is closed with respect to the taking of epimorphic images within G , then Definitions 1.2 and 1.3 are satisfied.

In the rest of the paper, \mathcal{F} will denote a normal homomorph. If $H \leq G$, then $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{Q}(H)$ is a normal homomorph of H . If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and we identify the factor $(L/N)/(L_0/N)$ of G/N with the factor L/L_0 of G , then the set $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{Q}(G/N)$ is a normal homomorph of G/N . We will refer to $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{Q}(H)$ -projectors of H and $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{Q}(G/N)$ -projectors of G/N as \mathcal{F} -projectors of G and G/N , respectively.

Proposition 1.4. Let E be an \mathcal{F} -projector of G .

(1) If $E \leq H \leq G$ then E is an \mathcal{F} -projector of H .

(2) If $N \trianglelefteq G$ then EN/N is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G/N .

Proof. (1) is clear from the definition.

(2) Let $EN/N \leq F/N$ and suppose $N \leq F_0 \trianglelefteq F$ and $F/F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then since $E \leq F$ and E is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G , $EF_0 = F$, hence $(EN/N) \cdot (F_0/N) = F/N$. Now suppose E_1/N has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in EN/N . Suppose $E/E_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $EN/E_0N \in \mathcal{F}$ so $E_1(E_0N) = EN$. Hence since $E_1 \geq N$, $E_1E_0 = EN$ so $E = (E \cap E_1)E_0$ by Dedekind's lemma. Thus $E \cap E_1$ has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in E and so $E \cap E_1 = E$. Therefore $EN = E_1$. Thus EN/N is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G/N .

Lemma 1.5. Let E/N be an \mathcal{F} -projector of G/N and let D be an \mathcal{F} -projector of E . Then D is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G .

Proof. By (2) of Proposition 1.4, DN/N is an \mathcal{F} -projector of E/N . Therefore $DN = E$ since the only subgroup of E/N which has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in E/N is E/N itself. Now suppose $D \leq F$ and $F/F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $E/N = DN/N \leq FN/N$. Hence $E(F_0N) = FN$, i.e., $EF_0 = FN$. Thus $F = (E \cap F)F_0$. Thus $(E \cap F)/(E \cap F_0) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $D \leq E \cap F$. Therefore $D(E \cap F_0)$

$= E \cap F$, so $D(E \cap F_0)F_0 = F$, i.e., $DF_0 = F$. Thus D is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G .

Theorem 1.6. *If \mathcal{F} is a normal homomorph of G , then G has an \mathcal{F} -projector and the \mathcal{F} -projectors of G are a single conjugacy class of subgroups of G .*

Proof. Existence. We proceed by induction on $|G|$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . By induction G/N has an \mathcal{F} -projector E/N . If $E < G$ then E has an \mathcal{F} -projector D also by induction. Then D is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G by Lemma 1.5. Thus we may assume that G/N is its own \mathcal{F} -projector. Now if G is its own \mathcal{F} -projector there is nothing to show, so assume it is not. Then there must exist a subgroup $E < G$ with the \mathcal{F} -covering property in G . Suppose that $E_1 \leq E$ has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in E . Since EN/N has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in G/N , $EN/N = G/N$ and so $E \cap N = 1$. But E_1N/N has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in EN/N so we must also have $E_1N = G$. Therefore since $E_1 \leq E$, $E_1 = E$. Thus E is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G .

Conjugacy. Clearly, if E is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G so is any conjugate of E , thus we only need to show that any two \mathcal{F} -projectors of G are conjugate. We proceed by induction on $|G|$. If G is its own \mathcal{F} -projector there is nothing to show, so assume E, F are \mathcal{F} -projectors of G and $E, F < G$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Then by (2) of Proposition 1.4, EN/N and FN/N are \mathcal{F} -projectors of G/N so, by induction, $EN = F^gN$ for some $g \in G$. Since F^g is also an \mathcal{F} -projector, we may assume $EN = FN$. Now if $EN < G$ we may apply induction in EN to conclude that E and F are conjugate because of (1) of Proposition 1.4. Thus we may assume $EN = FN = G$ for every minimal normal subgroup N of G . Thus E and F are maximal subgroups of G , and $\text{core}_G E = \text{core}_G F = 1$ so E and F are conjugate by Ore's theorem [4, p. 165].

2. \mathcal{F} -crucial chains. In this section the \mathcal{F} -projectors of G are characterized by means of the maximal chains joining them to G .

Definition 2.1. A complemented chief factor H/K of G is \mathcal{F} -crucial if G/H is its own \mathcal{F} -projector but G/K is not. A complement M to H/K is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroup.

Lemma 2.2. *If M is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroup of G which complements the \mathcal{F} -crucial chief factor H/K , then M/K is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G/K .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $K = 1$ so H is a

minimal normal subgroup of G . If M does not have the \mathcal{F} -covering property in G , then $ML \neq G$ for some $L \trianglelefteq G$ such that $G/L \in \mathcal{F}$. Since M is a maximal subgroup of G , it follows that $L \leq M$. Let E be an \mathcal{F} -projector of G . Since G/H is its own \mathcal{F} -projector, $EH = G$. Also $EL = G$ since $G/L \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $M = M \cap EL = (M \cap E)L$. Let $N_1 = E \cap LH$. Then $N_1 \trianglelefteq E$ and $H \leq C_G(N_1)$ since $LH = L \times H = LN_1$. Thus $N_1 \trianglelefteq G$. Now $N_1 \not\leq M$ since $L \leq M$ but $LN_1 = LH \not\leq M$. Thus $MN_1 = G$. Now suppose $M/M_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $G/M_0N_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, hence $EM_0N_1 = G$, so $EM_0 = G$. Therefore $M = (M \cap E)M_0$. Therefore $M \cap E$ has the \mathcal{F} -covering property in M . However $M \cong G/H$ is its own \mathcal{F} -projector. Therefore, $M \leq E$ and $E < G$ since G is not its own \mathcal{F} -projector. Hence $M = E$ contrary to assumption. Thus M is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G .

Lemma 2.3. *G is its own \mathcal{F} -projector if and only if G possesses no \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroups.*

Proof. If G has an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroup, then G is not its own \mathcal{F} -projector by Lemma 2.2. Let E be an \mathcal{F} -projector of G and suppose $E < G$. Then there is a chief factor H/K of G such that $EH = G$ but $EK < G$. Then by (2) of Proposition 1.4, $G/H = EH/H$ is its own \mathcal{F} -projector but $G/K > EK/K$ is not. Thus EK is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroup of G .

Definition 2.4. A sequence $G = M_0 > M_1 > \dots > M_n$ is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal chain if M_i is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroup of M_{i-1} for $i \geq 1$ and M_n has no \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroups.

Theorem 2.5. (i) *If $G = M_0 > \dots > M_n$ is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal chain, then M_n is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G .*

(ii) *If E is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G , then there is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal chain $G = M_0 > M_1 > \dots > M_n$ such that $E = M_n$.*

Proof. (i) We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 0$ then G has no \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroups so G is its own \mathcal{F} -projector and there is nothing to prove. If $n > 0$ let M_1 complement the \mathcal{F} -crucial chief factor H/K . Then an \mathcal{F} -projector of M_1 is an \mathcal{F} -projector of G by Lemma 1.5. However, M_n is an \mathcal{F} -projector of M_1 by induction so we are done.

(ii) Since \mathcal{F} is normal and M is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroup of M_{i-1} , if $g \in G$, then M_i^g is an \mathcal{F} -crucial maximal subgroup of M_{i-1}^g . Since any two \mathcal{F} -projectors are conjugate, (ii) now follows from (i).

3. Examples. In this section \mathcal{X} will denote a class of groups closed under the taking of epimorphic images. If G is a group then G has $\mathcal{X} \cap Q(G)$ -

projectors which we will refer to as \mathcal{X} -projectors. In general, the \mathcal{X} -projectors of G are not simply the \mathcal{Y} -projectors of G for some saturated formation \mathcal{Y} as the next theorem shows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{Y} be a saturated formation such that $\mathcal{X} \leq \mathcal{Y}$. For every group G , the \mathcal{X} -projectors of G coincide with the \mathcal{Y} -projectors of G if and only if $\mathcal{Y} = \phi R_0 \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that for every group G the \mathcal{X} -projectors of G coincide with the \mathcal{Y} -projectors of G . Since \mathcal{Y} is saturated, $\phi R_0 \mathcal{X} \leq \mathcal{Y}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{Y}$. G is its own \mathcal{X} -projector by assumption, hence G has no \mathcal{X} -crucial maximal subgroups. Therefore $G/\text{core}_G M$ is its own \mathcal{X} -projector for every maximal subgroup M of G . Since $M/\text{core}_G M$ does not have the \mathcal{X} -covering property in G , there exists $N/\text{core}_G M \triangleleft G/\text{core}_G M$ such that $G/N \in \mathcal{X}$ but $MN \neq G$. However, $G/\text{core}_G M$ is monolithic so $N = \text{core}_G M$. Thus $G/\text{core}_G M \in \mathcal{X}$ for every maximal subgroup M of G . Let $C = \bigcap \{\text{core}_G M \mid M \text{ is a maximal subgroup of } G\}$. Then $G/C \in R_0 \mathcal{X}$ and $C = \phi(G)$, so $G \in \phi R_0 \mathcal{X}$.

(ii) Suppose now that $\mathcal{Y} = \phi R_0 \mathcal{X}$ is a saturated formation. Let G be a group; we prove by induction on $|G|$ that the \mathcal{X} -projectors of G coincide with the \mathcal{Y} -projectors of G . If G is its own \mathcal{X} -projector, then G has no \mathcal{X} -crucial maximal subgroups, and the proof of (i) shows that $G \in \phi R_0 \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$, so G is its own \mathcal{Y} -projector. If G is not its own \mathcal{X} -projector then G possesses an \mathcal{X} -crucial maximal subgroup M which complements an \mathcal{X} -crucial chief factor H/K . Then G/H is its own \mathcal{X} -projector, so $G/H \in \mathcal{Y}$. Suppose $G/K \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then since \mathcal{Y} is a saturated formation, $G/\text{core}_G M \in \mathcal{Y}$. But $G/\text{core}_G M$ is ϕ -free and monolithic, so $G/\text{core}_G M \in \mathcal{X}$, a contradiction to M being \mathcal{X} -crucial. Thus $G/K \notin \mathcal{Y}$. Hence H/K and, therefore, M is \mathcal{Y} -crucial. Thus the \mathcal{X} - and \mathcal{Y} -projectors of G coincide with the \mathcal{X} - and \mathcal{Y} -projectors of M , respectively, but the latter coincide by induction so we are done.

The question of when $\phi R_0 \mathcal{X}$ is a saturated formation has been answered by Cossey and McDonald [1].

Theorem 3.2 (see [1, Lemma 2.3]). *Let \mathcal{X} be a class of groups. $\mathcal{Y} = \phi R_0 \mathcal{X}$ is a saturated formation if and only if \mathcal{X} contains every ϕ -free monolithic group in \mathcal{Y} .*

Example 3.3. If \mathcal{X} is the class of all cyclic groups of square free order, then $\phi R_0 \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}$ the class of all nilpotent groups. If $\mathcal{X} = \{1, C_p\}$ then $\phi R_0 \mathcal{X}$ is the class of all p -groups.

Example 3.4. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{1, C_2, S_3\}$; then $\mathcal{Y} = \phi R_0 \mathcal{X}$ is not a saturated formation. If \mathcal{Y} were a saturated formation, then $C_3 \in \mathcal{Y}$, but C_3 is ϕ -free and monolithic, so it would follow, by Theorem 3.2, that $C_3 \in \mathcal{X}$, which is not true.

REFERENCES

1. John Cossey and Sheila Oates McDonald, *On the definition of saturated formations of groups*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 4 (1971), 9–15. MR 43 #2084.
2. B. Fischer, *Classes of conjugate subgroups in finite solvable groups*, Lecture Notes, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1966.
3. W. Gäschtz, *Zur Theorie der endlichen auflösbaren Gruppen*, Math. Z. 58 (1953), 300–305.
4. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967. MR 37 #302.
5. Avinoam Mann, \mathfrak{S}_2 -normalizers of finite solvable groups, J. Algebra 14 (1970), 312–325. MR 40 #7354.
6. Mary Jane Prentice, \mathfrak{X} -normalizers and \mathfrak{X} -covering subgroups, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 66 (1969), 215–230. MR 41 #343.
7. H. Schunk, \mathfrak{S}_2 -untergruppen in endlichen auflösbaren Gruppen, Math. Z. 97 (1967), 326–330. MR 35 #254.
8. H. Wielandt, *Factors of groups*, (INDAM, Rome, 1967/68), Symposia Mathematica, vol. 1, pp. 187–194. MR 39 #5682.
9. ———, *Topics in the theory of composite groups*, Lecture Notes, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND, OHIO 44115