

## REDUCTIVE ALGEBRAS OF COMPACT OPERATORS<sup>1</sup>

ROBERT A. BEKES

**ABSTRACT.** A closed subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of the bounded operators on a Hilbert space is called reductive if every closed invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$  is reducing for  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We show that every reductive subalgebra of the compact operators is selfadjoint.

**Introduction.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $B(H)$  denote the algebra of bounded operators on  $H$ ,  $K(H)$  the algebra of compact operators on  $H$  and  $F(H)$  the algebra of bounded operators on  $H$  with finite dimensional range. A closed subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $B(H)$  is called reductive if every closed invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$  is reducing for  $\mathfrak{A}$  [i.e. if  $M$  is a closed invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$  then  $M$  is also invariant for  $\mathfrak{A}^* = \{T^*: T \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ ].

It is not known whether every weakly closed reductive algebra is self-adjoint. Using Lomonosov's theorem [5], H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal have shown that every reductive subalgebra of  $K(H)$  is selfadjoint [7]. This generalizes the following earlier result due to the author [4]: Every semisimple reductive subalgebra of the compact operators is selfadjoint.

In this paper we will show that every reductive subalgebra of  $K(H)$  is semisimple and then prove Radjavi and Rosenthal's result using our techniques.

We introduce some notation. Let  $\mathfrak{A} \subset B(H)$  and  $M \subset H$ . Then  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A})$  denotes the norm closure of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $B(H)$  and  $\text{cl}(M)$  denotes the closure of  $M$  in  $H$ .  $\mathfrak{A}M$  denotes the span of  $\{Tx: T \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } x \in M\}$ . If  $M$  is a closed invariant subspace for  $T \in B(H)$  then  $T|M$  denotes the operator  $T$  restricted to  $M$ . If  $M$  is a closed invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A} \subset B(H)$  then  $\mathfrak{A}|M = \{T \in B(M): T = S|M \text{ for some } S \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ .

**The main result.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A subalgebra of  $B(X)$ , the bounded operators on  $X$ , is called transitive or irreducible if it has no proper

---

Received by the editors February 11, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47C05; Secondary 47B05.

Key words and phrases. Algebra of operators, compact operators, invariant subspace, reducing subspaces, selfadjoint algebra.

<sup>1</sup>This research constitutes part of the author's Ph.D. thesis written at the University of Oregon under the direction of Professor B. A. Barnes.

Copyright © 1975. American Mathematical Society

closed invariant subspaces. The following version of Lomonosov's theorem will be used. See [5, Lemma 1].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a transitive subalgebra of  $B(X)$  and  $T$  a nonzero compact operator on  $X$ . Then there exist  $R \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , such that  $RTx = x$ .*

We will also need the next result, which is contained in the proof of [6, Theorem 3].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a reductive subalgebra of  $B(H)$  and  $T$  a nonzero compact operator in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then there exists a closed reducing subspace  $M$  for  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $T \neq 0$  on  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  acts transitively on  $M$ .*

From these two lemmas we get

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a reductive subalgebra of  $K(H)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semi-simple.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $T$  is in the radical of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $T \neq 0$  then by Lemma 2 there exists a closed reducing subspace  $M$  for  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $T \neq 0$  on  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  acts transitively on  $M$ . By Lemma 1 there exist  $R \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , such that  $RTx = x$ . This implies that 1 is in the spectrum of  $RT$  when computed in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . But  $RT$  is in the radical of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $T = 0$  and therefore  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple.

In the next lemma we determine the structure of the minimal closed two-sided ideals of a reductive subalgebra of  $K(H)$ . These ideals turn out to be the basic building blocks for reductive algebras of compact operators. An element  $E$  of a complex normed algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a minimal idempotent of  $\mathfrak{A}$  if  $E^2 = E$  and  $E\mathfrak{A}E = \{\lambda E : \lambda \text{ is complex}\}$ . If  $x, y \in H$  then the operator  $x \otimes y$  is defined by  $x \otimes y(z) = (z, y)x$  for all  $z \in H$ . Then  $\|x \otimes y\| = \|x\| \|y\|$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a reductive subalgebra of  $K(H)$  and  $E \neq 0$  a minimal idempotent of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A})$  is selfadjoint and isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}|_{\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}EH)}$ .*

**Proof.** By induction choose  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in H$  such that  $Ex_i = x_i$ ,  $\|x_i\| = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\mathfrak{A}x_i \perp \mathfrak{A}x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . If  $E$  is zero on  $(\mathfrak{A}x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{A}x_k)^\perp$ , then the induction stops. If  $E$  is not zero on  $(\mathfrak{A}x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{A}x_k)^\perp$ , then choose  $z \in (\mathfrak{A}x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{A}x_k)^\perp$  such that  $Ez \neq 0$ . Set  $x_{k+1} = Ez\|Ez\|^{-1}$ . Then  $Ex_{k+1} = x_{k+1}$  and  $\|x_{k+1}\| = 1$ . Also, since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is reductive,  $\mathfrak{A}x_{k+1} \perp \mathfrak{A}x_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . This process must stop in a

finite number of steps since  $E$  has finite dimensional range. Suppose it stops in  $n$  steps. By [2, Lemma 1] for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  there exists  $y_i \in \text{cl}(\mathfrak{U}x_i)$  such that  $(x_i, y_i) = 1$  and  $E|_{\text{cl}(\mathfrak{U}x_i)} = x_i \otimes y_i$ . Now since the  $\mathfrak{U}x_i$  are orthogonal and  $E = 0$  on  $(\mathfrak{U}x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{U}x_n)^\perp$  we have

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i.$$

Set  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ . Then again by [2, Lemma 1] there exists  $w \in \text{cl}(\mathfrak{U}x)$  such that  $E|_{\text{cl}(\mathfrak{U}x)} = x \otimes w$ .

We claim that  $\mathfrak{U}x$  is closed in  $H$ . To see this suppose that  $\{T_i x\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{U}x$ . Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_i E - T_j E)(S_1 x_1 + \dots + S_n x_n)\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (S_k x_k, y_k)(T_i x_k - T_j x_k) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|S_k x_k\| \|y_k\| \|T_i x_k - T_j x_k\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|S_1 x_1 + \dots + S_n x_n\| \|y_1 + \dots + y_n\| \|T_i x - T_j x\| \\ &= n \|S_1 x_1 + \dots + S_n x_n\| \|y_1 + \dots + y_n\| \|T_i x - T_j x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E = 0$  on  $(\mathfrak{U}x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{U}x_n)^\perp$ ,  $\{T_i E\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{U}E$ , which is closed in  $\mathfrak{U}$ . So there exists  $S \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\|T_i E - SE\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore  $\|T_i x - Sx\| \rightarrow 0$  and so  $\mathfrak{U}x$  is closed in  $H$ .

Now  $E^*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes x_i(x) = y_1 + \dots + y_n$ . But since  $\mathfrak{U}$  is reductive,  $E^*|_{\mathfrak{U}x} = w \otimes x$ . So we also have  $E^*(x) = w \otimes x(x) = \|x\|^2 w$ . If we set  $y = y_1 + \dots + y_n$ , then  $y = \|x\|^2 w = nw \in \mathfrak{U}x$ . By Lemma 3,  $\mathfrak{U}$  is semisimple and so semiprime. Therefore by the proof of [2, Lemma 2]  $\mathfrak{U}$  acts algebraically irreducibly on  $\mathfrak{U}x$ . So there exists  $R \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $Ry = x$ . Then  $Ry_i = x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now let  $T \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ER^*T^*Tx &= x \otimes w(R^*T^*Tx) = (R^*T^*Tx, w)x \\ &= n^{-1}(Tx, Tx)x = \sum_{i=1}^n n^{-1} \|Tx\|^2 x_i. \end{aligned}$$

But we also have

$$ER^*T^*Tx = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i(R^*T^*Tx) = \sum_{i=1}^n (R^*T^*Tx, y_i)x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i\|^2 x_i.$$

Therefore

$$(1) \quad n^{-1/2} \|Tx\| = \|Tx_i\| \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathfrak{A}$$

and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . From this set of equalities it follows that each of the spaces  $\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}$  is bicontinuously isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{U}_x$ . In particular each  $\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}$  is closed in  $H$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{U}_{x_j}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . So for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$  we have

$$(2) \quad \|T|\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}\| = \|T|\mathfrak{U}_{x_j}\|.$$

Now since  $\mathfrak{U}$  is reductive,  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{U}^*y_i)$  is an invariant subspace for  $\mathfrak{A}$ . And since  $\mathfrak{A}$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}$  and  $E^*y_i = y_i \neq 0$ , we have  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{U}^*y_i) = \mathfrak{U}_{x_i}$ . Therefore

$$F(\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}) \subset \text{cl span}\{Sx_i \otimes T^*y_i | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i}; S, T \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

But  $Sx_i \otimes T^*y_i | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i} = SET | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i}$ . Therefore

$$\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A} | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i}) = \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A} | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i}) = K(\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}).$$

Now the  $\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}$  are orthogonal and reduce  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A} = \{0\}$  on  $(\mathfrak{U}_{x_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{U}_{x_n})^\perp$ , so for  $T \in \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A})$  and each  $i$  we have

$$\|T\| = \|T|\mathfrak{A}EH\| = \max\{\|T|\mathfrak{U}_{x_j}\|; j = 1, \dots, n\} = \|T|\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}\|.$$

Therefore  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A} | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i})$  is closed in  $K(\mathfrak{U}_{x_i})$ , and so

$$(3) \quad \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A}) | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i} = \mathfrak{A} | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i} = K(\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}).$$

Now let  $T \in \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A})$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is reductive, by (3) we have  $T^* | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i} = (T | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i})^* \in \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A} | \mathfrak{U}_{x_i})$ . Also  $T^* | (\mathfrak{A}EH)^\perp = (T | (\mathfrak{A}EH)^\perp)^* = 0$ . So (1) and (2) imply that  $T^* \in \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A})$ . Hence  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E\mathfrak{A})$  is selfadjoint and isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A} | \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}EH)$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a reductive subalgebra of  $K(H)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is self-adjoint.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a maximal set of minimal idempotents of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that if  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $E_1 \neq E_2$  then  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E_1\mathfrak{A}) \neq \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E_2\mathfrak{A})$ . We complete the proof in three steps.

I. We first prove that if  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$  with  $E_1 \neq E_2$  then  $\mathfrak{A}E_1H \perp \mathfrak{A}E_2H$ . Let  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then

$$E_1TE_2 \in (\mathfrak{A}E_1\mathfrak{A})(\mathfrak{A}E_2\mathfrak{A}) \subset \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E_1\mathfrak{A}) \cap \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E_2\mathfrak{A}) = \{0\}$$

since the  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E_i\mathfrak{A})$  are distinct minimal closed ideals [1, p. 335]. Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is reductive,

$$E_1^* | \mathfrak{A}E_2H = (E_1 | \mathfrak{A}E_2H)^* = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{A}^*(\mathfrak{A}E_2H) \subset \text{cl}(\mathfrak{A}E_2H).$$

Now let  $R, S \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $u, v \in H$ . Then  $(RE_1u, SE_2v) = (u, E_1^*R^*SE_2v) = 0$ , which completes step I.

II. Let  $M = \text{closed span } \{\mathfrak{X}EH: E \in \mathfrak{J}\}$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{X}|M = \text{cl} \left( \sum_{E \in \mathfrak{J}} \oplus \mathfrak{X}E\mathfrak{X} \right) M \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{X}|M = \{T|M: T(M) = \{0\}\}.$$

To see this let  $T \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $T$  is compact and the  $\mathfrak{X}EH$  are orthogonal and reducing for  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we must have  $\{E \in \mathfrak{J}: \|T|\mathfrak{X}EH\| \geq \epsilon\}$  a finite set, say  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ . By Lemma 4 choose  $T_i \in \text{cl}(\mathfrak{X}E_i\mathfrak{X})$  such that  $T|\text{cl}(\mathfrak{X}E_iH) = T_i|\text{cl}(\mathfrak{X}E_iH)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Set  $T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ . Then

$$T_0 \in \text{cl} \left( \sum_{E \in \mathfrak{J}} \oplus \mathfrak{X}E\mathfrak{X} \right)$$

and

$$\|(T - T_0)|M\| = \max \{ \|T|\mathfrak{X}EH\|: E \in \mathfrak{J} \setminus \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \} \leq \epsilon.$$

Therefore  $T|M \in \text{cl}(\sum_{E \in \mathfrak{J}} \oplus \mathfrak{X}E\mathfrak{X})|M$ . Since  $\text{cl}(\sum_{E \in \mathfrak{J}} \oplus \mathfrak{X}E\mathfrak{X})|M = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp = \{T|M^\perp: T(M) = \{0\}\}$ .

III. We show that  $M^\perp = \{0\}$  or  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp \neq \{0\}$ . Then by step II, we have that  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp$  is a reductive subalgebra of  $K(M^\perp)$ , and so by Lemma 2 it is semisimple. Therefore there exists  $T \in \mathfrak{X}|M^\perp$  such that the spectrum of  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp$  is not zero. We can produce a nonzero idempotent  $E'$  in  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp$  by taking the appropriate contour integral about a nonzero (isolated) point of the spectrum of  $T$ .  $E'$  must have finite dimensional range. But then by the proof of [3, Theorem 2]  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp$  contains a minimal idempotent. Also by claim 2 we may assume that this minimal idempotent is of the form  $E_0|M^\perp$ , where  $E_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $E_0(M) = \{0\}$ . Now since  $\mathfrak{X}$  is reductive,  $E_0TE_0 = 0$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{X}$  such that  $T(M^\perp) = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $E_0\mathfrak{X}E_0$  is isomorphic to  $E_0(\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp)E_0 = \{\lambda E_0: \lambda \text{ is complex}\}$ . So  $E_0$  is a minimal idempotent of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . But  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{X}E\mathfrak{X})|M = \{0\}$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{J}$ . So  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{X}E\mathfrak{X}) \neq \text{cl}(\mathfrak{X}E_0\mathfrak{X})$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{J}$ . This violates the maximality of the set  $\mathfrak{J}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{X}|M^\perp = \{0\}$ .

Therefore  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{cl}(\sum_{E \in \mathfrak{J}} \oplus \mathfrak{X}E\mathfrak{X})$ , and by Lemma 4 this implies that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is selfadjoint.

REFERENCES

1. B. A. Barnes, *Lectures on Banach algebras*, mimeographed notes, University of Oregon, Eugene, Ore., 1969-70.
2. ———, *Irreducible algebras of operators which contain a minimal idempotent*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 (1971), 337-342. MR 44 #7303.
3. ———, *Density theorems for algebras of operators and annihilator Banach algebras*, Michigan Math. J. 19 (1972), 149-155. MR 46 #6058.

4. R. A. Bekes, *Conditions under which an algebra containing a compact operator is selfadjoint*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1973), A-145. Abstract #701-46-24.
5. C. Pearcy and A. Shields, *A survey of some results on invariant subspaces of operators on a Banach space* (preprint).
6. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *A sufficient condition that an operator algebra be self-adjoint*, Canad. J. Math **23** (1971), 588–597.
7. ———, *On transitive and reductive operator algebras* (preprint).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, ARIZONA 85281

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755