## TEST MODULES

## T. CHEATHAM AND R. CUMBIE

ABSTRACT. The results of this paper arose from an investigation of the class of  $\Sigma$ -modules, i.e. those modules M for which  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,-)$  commutes with direct sums. A module T is called a test module if  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,-)$  commutes with direct sums of copies of T only when M is a  $\Sigma$ -module. Test modules are characterized and their relation to cogenerators is investigated.

Throughout N will denote the set of natural numbers, R will denote an associative ring with identity, and module will mean unitary left R-module. For modules L and M and indexing set I,  $L^{(I)}$  will denote the direct sum of |I| copies of L and, for convenience,  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, L)$  will be written  $\operatorname{Hom}(M, L)$ .

The modules M for which Hom(M, -) commutes with direct sums have been called  $\Sigma$ -modules by Rentschler [5]. A systematic study of  $\Sigma$ -modules is given in his thesis [4].  $\Sigma$ -modules have been considered by at least three other authors [1, p. 54], [2], and [3].

It follows from the definition that M is a  $\Sigma$ -module if and only if, for each family of modules  $\{L_i \mid i \in I\}$  and for each R-homomorphism  $f \colon M \to \bigoplus \{L_i \mid i \in I\}$ ,  $\pi_i f = 0$  for all but a finite number of  $i \in I$ . We will consistently use  $\pi_i \colon \bigoplus \{L_i \mid i \in I\} \to L_i$  to denote the obvious projection map. It is possible to place certain restrictions on the families  $\{L_i \mid i \in I\}$  which must be considered. It is only necessary to consider families, each of whose members is an injective module; the indexing set I may be taken to be countable. The following theorem gives a further reduction which is useful.

Theorem 1. A module M is a  $\Sigma$ -module if and only if, for each module L, Hom(M, -) commutes with direct sums of the module L.

**Proof.** The "only if" part is trivial. For the "if" part, begin with a family  $\{L_i | i \in I\}$  of modules; set  $L = \bigoplus \{L_i | i \in I\}$ ; and let  $\mu_i \colon L^{(I)} \to L$  denote the projection map. Now let  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(M, L)$  and define  $\overline{f} \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Received by the editors February 20, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A64; Secondary 16A00. Key words and phrases. Test module, 2-module, direct sums of modules, cogenerator, simple module, essential extension, injective hull.

 $L^{(I)}$  via  $(\pi_i \mu_j \overline{f})(m) = y \in L_i$ , where y = 0 if  $i \neq j$  and  $y = (\pi_i f)(m)$  if i = j.  $\overline{f}$  is a homomorphism and the assumption yields a finite subset J of I such that if  $j \in I - J$ ,  $(\mu_j \overline{f})(M) = 0 \in L$ . If  $(\pi_i f)(M) \neq 0$ , then  $(\pi_i \mu_i \overline{f})(M) \neq 0$  so  $(\mu_i \overline{f})(M) \neq 0$  and it follows that  $i \in J$ . This shows that M is a  $\Sigma$ -module.

Remark. It can be shown that one need consider only countable direct sums of the various modules L.

This theorem suggests the question: Is there one module T so that if  $\operatorname{Hom}(M,-)$  commutes with direct sums of T then M is a  $\Sigma$ -module? Such a module T would serve as a "test module" for  $\Sigma$ -modules. In fact we adopt this as our definition of a *test module*. We will show next that test modules (always) exist and are quite familiar modules.

**Theorem 2.** A module T is a test module if and only if, for each module  $X \neq 0$ ,  $Hom(X, T) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose T is a test module and  $\operatorname{Hom}(X, T) = 0$  for a module X. Then  $\operatorname{Hom}(X^{(N)}, T) = 0$  so  $X^{(N)}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -module. This is impossible if  $X \neq 0$ . Thus X = 0.

Conversely, suppose T is a module satisfying: For each module  $X \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Hom}(X,T) \neq 0$ . Further assume that X is a module such that  $\operatorname{Hom}(X,-)$  commutes with direct sums of T. We must show that X is a  $\Sigma$ -module. Consider any module L and  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(X,L^{(N)})$ . Assume, by way of contradiction, that the set  $K = \{n \mid n \in N \text{ and } (p_n f)(X) \neq 0\}$  is an infinite set, where  $p_n$ :  $L^{(N)} \to L$  is the nth projection. For each  $k \in K$ , select  $0 \neq h_k \in \operatorname{Hom}(p_k f(X), M)$ . If  $n \in N$  and  $n \notin K$  let  $h_n = 0$ :  $p_n f(X) \to M$ . If  $k \in K$  there exists  $k \in X$  such that  $k \in K$  that  $k \in K$  there exists  $k \in K$  such that  $k \in K$  that  $k \in K$  that  $k \in K$  that  $k \in K$  such that  $k \in K$  that  $k \in$ 

 $hf(x_k) = h(f(x_k)) = h((p_n f(x_k))) = \bigoplus h_n(p_n f(x_k)) = (h_n(p_n f(x_k))).$ 

From above the kth component is nonzero. Thus the kth projection of b/ is nonzero. With the help of Theorem 1, this completes the proof.

Corollary. A cogenerator (for the category of left R-modules) is a test module.

This shows, in answer to the question above, that test modules (always) exist but it raises another question. When is a test module a cogenerator? Before giving the answer we require the following fact.

Lemma. For a module M there is a submodule H of M and a simple

module S such that M/H can be embedded in I(S), the injective hull of S.

**Proof.** Choose  $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$  with L/K simple. If  $L/K \subseteq M/K$  is not essential, choose  $H/K \subseteq M/K$  such that  $H/K \cap L/K = 0$  and H/K is maximal with respect to this property. Then (L+H)/H is simple and essential in M/H.

The next theorem may be of independent interest.

Theorem 3. For a ring R the following are equivalent:

- (a) every test module is a cogenerator;
- (b) for each simple module S, and each submodule  $L \subseteq I(S)$ , I(S)/L contains an isomorphic copy of I(S).

**Proof.** Assume (b) holds. Let C be a test module and consider a simple module  $S \neq 0$ . By Theorem 2 we choose  $0 \neq f \in \text{Hom}(I(S), C)$ . By hypothesis  $I(S) \hookrightarrow I(S) / \text{Ker } f \hookrightarrow C$  so C is a cogenerator.

Now assume (b) fails. Then for some simple module S, we have  $N \subseteq I(S)$  such that I(S)/N does not contain a copy of I(S). Let

$$C = (I(S)/N) \oplus (\bigoplus \{I(U) \mid U \text{ is simple and } U \not\cong S\}) \oplus (\bigoplus \{M \mid M \subsetneq I(S)\}).$$

C does not contain a copy of I(S) so is not a cogenerator. However, we will show that C is a test module by using Theorem 2.

Let  $X \neq 0$  be a module. By the Lemma we choose a simple module U such that  $X/Y \subseteq I(U)$  for some submodule  $Y \subseteq X$ . If  $U \cong S$  then, trivially,  $\operatorname{Hom}(X, C) \neq 0$ . We consider the two cases (1)  $X/Y \cong I(S)$ , (2)  $X/Y \subseteq I(S)$ , but  $X/Y \ncong I(S)$ . In the first case, use I(S)/N to get the nonzero element of  $\operatorname{Hom}(X, C)$ ; and, in the second case, use one of the M's,  $M \subsetneq I(S)$ . This completes the proof.

The authors would like to thank Professor E. Enochs for the clever construction in the proof of Theorem 3. We note that Tiwary [6] and Vamos [7] have shown that, over an integral domain R,  $I(S) \cong I(S)/K$  for all simple modules S and all submodules  $K \subseteq I(S)$ , if and only if,  $R_p$  is a PID for all prime ideals P of R. Thus, for example, over a Dedekind domain a test module is a cogenerator.

The condition (b) of Theorem 3 appears to be interesting. Among the things it implies are: The socle of I(S)/K,  $K \subseteq I(S)$ , consists of copies of S and is essential in I(S)/K.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, Algebraic K-theory, Benjamin, New York, 1968. MR 40 #2736.

- 2. T. Cheatham, Direct sums of torsion-free covers, Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973), 1002-1005. MR 48 #2186.
- 3. T. Head, Preservation of coproducts by  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, -)$ , Rocky Mountain J. Math. 2 (1972), 235-237. MR 45 #3458.
- 4. R. Rentschler, Die Vertauschbarkeit des Hom-functor mit directen Summen, Docktoral These, University München, Munich, 1967.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, Sur les modules M tels que Hom (M, -) commute avec les sommes directes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B 268 (1969), A930-A933. MR 39 #2806.
- 6. A. K. Tiwary, On the quotients of indecomposable injective modules, Canad. Math. Bull. 9 (1966), 187-190. MR 34 #4293.
- 7. P. Vámos, A note on the quotients of indecomposable injective modules, Canad. Math. Bull. 12 (1969), 661-665. MR 41 #190.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAMFORD UNIVERSITY, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35209