

ON A NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

NGUYEN PHUONG CÁC

ABSTRACT. Consider a bounded domain $G \subset R^N$ ($N \geq 1$) with smooth boundary Γ . Let L be a uniformly elliptic linear differential operator. Let γ and β be two maximal monotone mappings in R . We prove that, when γ satisfies a certain growth condition, given $f \in L^2(G)$ there is $u \in H^2(G)$ such that

$$Lu + \gamma(u) \ni f \text{ a.e. on } G, \quad \text{and} \quad -\partial u / \partial \nu \in \beta(u|_{\Gamma}) \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma,$$

where $\partial u / \partial \nu$ is the conormal derivative associated with L .

1. Let $G \subset R^N$ ($N \geq 1$) be a bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ . Consider the uniformly elliptic linear operator

$$Lu = -D_j(a_{ij}(x)D_i u) + b_i(x)D_i u + c(x)u,$$

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^1(\bar{G}); \quad b_i, c \in L^\infty(G) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

$$a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq c|\xi|^2, \quad c > 0 \text{ constant}, \quad \forall x \in G, \xi \in R^N.$$

(All functions and scalars that we consider are real.)

Let $\gamma: R \rightarrow 2^R$ be a maximal monotone mapping. The domain $D(\gamma)$ of γ is the set of all numbers s such that $\gamma(s) \neq \emptyset$. For each $s \in D(\gamma)$, $\gamma(s)$ is a closed interval and thus contains a unique element, which we denote by $\gamma^0(s)$, having smallest absolute value. We assume that the mapping γ satisfies the condition

$$(1) \quad |\gamma^0(s)| \geq \phi(s)|s|, \quad \forall s \in D(\gamma) \text{ with } \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s) = \infty.$$

It can be verified that γ induces a maximal monotone mapping $\bar{\gamma}: L^2(G) \rightarrow 2^{L^2(G)}$ in a natural way:

$$\bar{\gamma}(u) = \{v \in L^2(G) | v(x) \in \gamma(u(x)) \text{ a.e.}\} \quad (u \in L^2(G)).$$

Received by the editors February 8, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35J20, 35J60, 35J65; Secondary 47H05, 47H10, 47H15.

Key words and phrases. Maximal monotone operators, Yosida approximation, nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem.

Similarly, let $\beta: R \rightarrow 2^R$ be another maximal monotone mapping and let $\bar{\beta}$ be the mapping in $L^2(\Gamma)$ induced by β .

Proposition. *Suppose that all the conditions on the operator L and the mappings γ and β described above are satisfied. Suppose also that there exists $s_0 \in D(\gamma) \cap D(\beta)$ with $0 \in \beta(s_0)$. Then for every given $f \in L^2(G)$, there exists $u \in H^2(G)$ such that*

$$(2) \quad Lu + \bar{\gamma}(u) \ni f \text{ in the sense of } L^2(G),$$

$$(3) \quad -\partial u / \partial \nu = a_{ij} D_i u \cdot \cos(n, x_j) \in \bar{\beta}(u|_{\Gamma}),$$

where n is the outward normal to Γ .

Before proving this proposition in §2, we would like to make a few comments. When (i) $\gamma \equiv 0$ and the bilinear form

$$a(u, v) = \int_G (a_{ij} D_i u D_j v + b_i D_i u \cdot v + cuv) dx$$

is coercive on $H^1(G)$, or (ii) $Lu = -\Delta u + u$, the proposition has been proved by H. Brézis in [3] and [2] respectively. It seems to us that the general case, where no coercivity is assumed, cannot be immediately reduced to these cases.

The corresponding Dirichlet problem

$$(4) \quad Lu + \bar{\gamma}(u) \ni f,$$

$$(5) \quad u|_{\Gamma} = 0,$$

has been studied by P. Hess [7], and condition (1) on γ is similar to his condition in [7]. Our method can also be applied to this Dirichlet problem, and the argument will be simpler than the Neumann-type problem considered here. We feel that the main difference between our method as applied to the Dirichlet problem and that of P. Hess lies in proving the existence of a solution for the approximate equation. He uses a theorem on the solvability of a functional equation involving a demicontinuous mapping of type (S^+) which is similar to one established by him earlier [6, Theorem 1], using a homotopy argument. Instead, we shall use the well-known Schauder fixed point theorem (see e.g. [4, p. 105]).

2. The proof makes use of the concept of the Yosida approximation.

Let $U: H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone operator in a Hilbert space H . Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, $(I + \epsilon U)^{-1}$ is a nonexpansive mapping defined on all of H . The mapping

$$(6) \quad U_{\epsilon} = [I - (I + \epsilon U)^{-1}] / \epsilon$$

is called the Yosida approximation of U (at ϵ). U_ϵ is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant $2/\epsilon$ and monotone. For more details on Yosida approximations we refer the reader to M. Crandall and A. Pazy [5], or T. Kato [8]. In the case of the maximal monotone mappings γ and β introduced in §1, it can be verified that the Yosida approximation of $\bar{\gamma}$ for example is generated by γ_ϵ .

Proof of the proposition. We observe that by shifting and changing variable, we can assume without loss of generality that $0 \in \gamma(0)$ and $0 \in \beta(0)$. Then $\gamma_\epsilon(0) = \beta_\epsilon(0) = 0$. The proof consists of proving that the approximate problem

$$(7) \quad Lu + \bar{\gamma}_\epsilon(u) = f,$$

$$(8) \quad -\partial u / \partial \nu = \bar{\beta}_\epsilon(u|_\Gamma)$$

has a solution $u_\epsilon \in H^2(G)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. We then pass to the limit as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ using estimates for u_ϵ independent of ϵ .

I. *Proof that the approximate problem has a solution.* Let

$$L'u = -D_j(a_{ij}D_i u), \quad L'' = L - L',$$

$$a'(u, v) = \int_G a_{ij}D_i u D_j v \, dx \quad (u, v \in H^1(G)).$$

For u given in $H^1(G)$, the linear form

$$w \rightarrow a'(u, w) + \int_G [\gamma_\epsilon(u) + u]w \, dx + \int_\Gamma \beta_\epsilon(u|_\Gamma)w \, d\Gamma \quad (w \in H^1(G)),$$

is continuous on $H^1(G)$, so that there is an element $\mathcal{Q}u \in [H^1(G)]'$ with

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}u, w \rangle = a'(u, w) + \int_G [\gamma_\epsilon(u) + u]w \, dx + \int_\Gamma \beta_\epsilon(u|_\Gamma)w \, d\Gamma \quad (w \in H^1(G)).$$

It can be verified that the mapping $u \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}u$ is bounded, hemicontinuous, strictly monotone and coercive. Therefore (see [4, Theorem 1] or [9, Chapter 2, Theorem 2.1]) for every given $v \in H^1(G)$ there exists a unique $u \in H^1(G)$ such that for all $w \in H^1(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{Q}u, w \rangle &= a'(u, w) + \int_G [\gamma_\epsilon(u) + u]w \, dx + \int_\Gamma \beta_\epsilon(u|_\Gamma)w \, d\Gamma \\ &= \int_G (f - L''v + v)w \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We then deduce that the boundary value problem

$$(9) \quad L'u + \gamma_\epsilon(u) + u = f - L''v + v \text{ in the sense of } \mathcal{D}'(G),$$

$$(10) \quad -\partial u / \partial \nu = \beta_\epsilon(u|_\Gamma)$$

has a unique solution $u_v \in H^1(G)$. If we bring $\gamma_\epsilon(u)$ to the right-hand side in equation (9), then it follows from [3, Theorem I. 10], that $u_v \in H^2(G)$.

It now suffices to show that the mapping $T_\epsilon: v \rightarrow u_v$ in $H^1(G)$ has a fixed point.

(a) *The mapping T_ϵ is continuous.* Let $v_1, v_2 \in H^1(G)$ and $u_1 = T_\epsilon(v_1)$, $u_2 = T_\epsilon(v_2)$. The continuity of T_ϵ can be seen by taking the difference of equations (9) corresponding to v_1 and v_2 and then taking the inner product in $L^2(G)$ of this with $u_1 - u_2$.

(b) *There is an integer $K > 0$ and an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that T_ϵ ($0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$) maps the closed ball $B_K(0)$ of $H^1(G)$ into itself.* If not, for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there are ϵ_n with $0 < \epsilon_n < n^{-1}$, v_n with $\|v_n\|_1 \leq n$, u_n with $\|u_n\|_1 > n$ (where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the norm in $H^1(G)$) satisfying (9) and (10) with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$. Taking the inner product in $L^2(G)$ of (9) with $n^{-1}w_n = n^{-2}u_n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a'(w_n, w_n) + \int_{\Gamma} n^{-2} \beta_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) u_n d\Gamma + \int_G n^{-2} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) u_n dx + \|w_n\|_0^2 \\ = \|n^{-1}(f - L''v_n + v_n)\|_0 \cdot \|w_n\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_0$ is the norm in $L^2(G)$. From this we deduce

$$(11) \quad 1 < \|w_n\|_1 < C \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(12) \quad \int_G n^{-2} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) u_n dx < C \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Here and in the sequel C denotes various positive constants independent of γ, β, ϵ . Now taking the inner product in $L^2(G)$ of (9) with $n^{-2} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)$ we obtain (for justification see [1] or [10, Appendix I] for a special case), recalling $w_n = n^{-1}u_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \gamma'_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) a_{ij} D_i w_n D_j w_n dx + \int_{\Gamma} n^{-2} \beta_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) d\Gamma + \int_G n^{-2} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) u_n dx \\ + \|n^{-1} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)\|_0^2 \leq \|n^{-1}(f - L''v_n + v_n)\|_0 \cdot \|n^{-1} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

The third integral is nonnegative. Since $0 \in \gamma(0)$, $\gamma_{\epsilon_n}(\cdot)$ is monotone increasing so that $\gamma'_{\epsilon_n}(u_n(x)) \geq 0$ a.e. and the first integral is also nonnegative. Moreover, we observe that if $u_n(x) = 0$ then $\beta_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) = 0$, and if $u_n(x) \neq 0$ then

$$\beta_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) = \beta_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) u_n \cdot \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) u_n \cdot u_n^{-2} \geq 0,$$

so that the second integral is also nonnegative. We then deduce that

$$\|n^{-1} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)\|_0 < C \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We now write

$$(13) \quad L'w_n + w_n = n^{-1}(f - L''v_n + v_n - \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)),$$

$$(14) \quad -\partial w_n / \partial \nu = n^{-1} \beta_{\epsilon_n}(u_n|_{\Gamma}).$$

Since the right-hand side of (13) remains bounded in $L^2(G)$, it follows from [3, Theorem I.10], that $\|w_n\|_2 < C$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the norm in $H^2(G)$. Because the imbedding of $H^2(G)$ into $H^1(G)$ is compact, we can extract a subsequence, still denoted by $\{w_n\}$, of $\{w_n\}$ such that w_n converges strongly in $H^1(G)$ to w and w_n converges a.e. on G to w . Since $\|w\|_1 \geq 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $w(x) \neq 0$ on a subset of G of nonzero measure. We shall see that this contradicts condition (1) on γ and (12). In fact, putting $s_n(x) = (I + \epsilon_n \gamma)^{-1} u_n(x)$, we obtain with $t_n(x) \in \gamma(s_n(x))$

$$(15) \quad u_n(x) = s_n(x) + \epsilon_n t_n(x),$$

$$(16) \quad n^{-2} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n(x)) u_n(x) = n^{-2} u_n(x) t_n(x) = |w_n(x)| \cdot n^{-1} |t_n(x)|.$$

Consider $x \in G$ with $\lim_n |w_n(x)| > 0$, i.e. $\lim_n |u_n(x)| = \infty$. Then

$$\lim_n n^{-1} |t_n(x)| = \infty.$$

For otherwise there would be a subsequence such that

$$\sup_k n_k^{-1} |t_{n_k}(x)| < \infty.$$

From (15) it then follows that

$$(17) \quad \liminf_k n_k^{-1} |s_{n_k}(x)| \geq \lim_k n_k^{-1} |u_{n_k}(x)| > 0.$$

By condition (1) on γ ,

$$n_k^{-1} |t_{n_k}(x)| \geq n_k^{-1} |\gamma^0(s_{n_k}(x))| > \phi(s_{n_k}(x)) n_k^{-1} |s_{n_k}(x)|.$$

Since $\lim_k |s_{n_k}(x)| = \infty$, $\lim_k \phi(s_{n_k}(x)) = \infty$. This together with (17) shows that

$$\lim_k n_k^{-1} |t_{n_k}(x)| = \infty$$

and we thus arrive at a contradiction. From (16) we therefore see that

$$\lim_n n^{-2} \gamma_{\epsilon_n}(u_n(x)) u_n(x) = \infty$$

on a subset of G of nonzero measure. By Fatou's lemma, this contradicts (12).

(c) The mapping T_{ϵ} ($0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$) of $B_K(0)$ into itself is relatively compact. In fact, by an argument similar to that in the last step, we see

that for all $v \in B_K(0)$, $\|T_\epsilon(v)\|_2 < C$. Since the imbedding of $H^2(G)$ into $H^1(G)$ is compact, we deduce that the closure of $T_\epsilon(B_K(0))$ is compact.

Thus by the Schauder fixed point theorem [4, p. 105], T_ϵ ($0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$) has a fixed point in $B_K(0)$.

II. *Passing to the limit as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.* Using the same argument as in Step I(b) above (take the inner product in $L^2(G)$ of (7) with u and then with $\bar{\gamma}_\epsilon(u)$), we see that there is a constant C independent of ϵ such that a solution u_ϵ of (7) and (8) satisfies

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_2 < C, \quad \|\bar{\gamma}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)\|_0 < C \quad (0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0).$$

Since the mapping $u \rightarrow u|_\Gamma$ of $H^1(G)$ onto $H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \subset L^2(\Gamma)$ is continuous, we can extract a subsequence $\{u_{\epsilon_n}\}$ with the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\epsilon_n} &\text{ converges weakly to } u \text{ in } H^2(G), \\ Lu_{\epsilon_n} &\text{ converges weakly to } Lu \text{ in } L^2(G), \\ u_{\epsilon_n} &\text{ converges strongly to } u \text{ in } H^1(G), \\ \bar{\gamma}_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n}) &\text{ converges weakly to } -Lu + f \text{ in } L^2(G), \\ \partial u_{\epsilon_n} / \partial \nu &\text{ converges weakly to } \partial u / \partial \nu \text{ in } L^2(\Gamma) \\ &\quad (\text{i.e. } \bar{\beta}_{\epsilon_n}(u_{\epsilon_n}|_\Gamma) \text{ converges weakly to } -\partial u / \partial \nu \text{ in } L^2(\Gamma)), \\ u_{\epsilon_n}|_\Gamma &\text{ converges strongly to } u|_\Gamma \text{ in } L^2(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

From a property of Yosida approximations [8, Lemma 4.5], it then follows that

$$u \in D(\bar{\gamma}), \quad -Lu + f \in \bar{\gamma}(u); \quad u|_\Gamma \in D(\bar{\beta}), \quad -\partial u / \partial \nu \in \bar{\beta}(u|_\Gamma)$$

and the proof is complete.

From the proposition we deduce the following

Corollary. *Suppose that the conditions in the proposition are satisfied. Then for any $k_1 \geq 0$, $k_2 > 0$, the boundary value problem*

$$Lu + \bar{\gamma}(u) \ni f, \quad -k_1 u - k_2 \partial u / \partial \nu \in \bar{\beta}(u|_\Gamma)$$

has a solution $u \in H^2(G)$.

Proof. The boundary condition can be written as

$$-\partial u / \partial \nu \in k_1 k_2^{-1} u + k_2^{-1} \beta(u|_\Gamma).$$

On the other hand, it can be verified that $k_1 k_2^{-1} I + k_2^{-1} \beta$ is a maximal

monotone mapping in R , using the well-known fact that a monotone mapping U in a Hilbert space H is maximal if and only if for all $\lambda > 0$ the range of $I + \lambda U$ is the whole of H (see e.g. [2]).

REFERENCES

1. H. Brézis, *Nouveaux théorèmes de régularité pour les problèmes unilatéraux*, Publication R. C. P. No 25, Strasbourg, 1971.
2. ———, *Monotonicity methods in Hilbert spaces and some applications to nonlinear partial differential equations*, Contribution to Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 101–156.
3. ———, *Problèmes unilatéraux*, J. Math. Pures. Appl. 51 (1972), 1–168.
4. F. E. Browder, *Problèmes non linéaires*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, no. 15, (Été, 1965), Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, 1966. MR 40 #3380.
5. M. Crandall and A. Pazy, *Semigroups of nonlinear contractions and dissipative sets*, J. Functional Analysis 3 (1969), 376–418. MR 39 #4705.
6. P. Hess, *On nonlinear mappings of monotone type homotopic to odd operators*, J. Functional Analysis 11 (1972), 138–167.
7. ———, *On a unilateral problem associated with elliptic operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973), 94–100.
8. T. Kato, *Accretive operators and nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 18, part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, pp. 138–161. MR 42 #6663.
9. J.-L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969. MR 41 #4326.
10. G. Stampacchia, *Équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, no. 16 (Été, 1965), Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, 1966. MR 40 #4603.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52240