

ABSOLUTELY CLOSED MAPS

LOUIS FRIEDLER

ABSTRACT. An example is given of a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ which is closed, has point inverses H -closed, but which can be extended to a continuous function $F: Z \rightarrow Y$ for some Z which has X as a proper dense subset. A partial characterization of nonextendable functions is given in terms similar to Bourbaki's theorem that perfect maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are those for which $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is a closed map for all spaces Z .

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *absolutely closed* if there does not exist an extension of f to $F: X^* \rightarrow Y$ where X^* is any space with X as a proper dense subset. These maps seem interesting in terms of extensions of functions and in their relation to H -closed spaces. Absolutely closed maps were first introduced by Blaszczyk and Mioduszewski [1] and characterizations have been given by Viglino [6] and Dickman [3].

All spaces are assumed Hausdorff and all maps continuous. A *countable ultrafilter* is one with a countable base. A subset A of X is called (*countably*) *far from the remainder* (c.f.f.r. or f.f.r. respectively) iff for each free open (countable) ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on X , there is some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ for which $\bar{U} \cap A = \emptyset$. A closed set is *regular closed* iff it is the closure of its interior. A map is *regular closed* iff the image of every regular closed set is closed.

Theorem 1 (Dickman [3]). *A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely closed iff*

- (1) $f^{-1}(y)$ is f.f.r. for all $y \in Y$ and
- (2) f is regular closed.

Viglino [6] has asked whether every closed map with point inverses H -closed is absolutely closed. In view of Theorem 1, Dickman [3] pointed out that this question may be rephrased: if point inverses of a closed map are H -closed, are they also f.f.r.? The following example gives a negative answer to this question. The space used is essentially the one described in [1, p. 48].

Example. Let $X = ([-1, 1] \times N^+) \cup \{p\}$, where N^+ is the set of positive integers and p is an additional point whose neighborhoods are of the form

Received by the editors May 9, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54C10, 54D25.

Key words and phrases. H -closed, absolutely closed map, regular closed map.

$V_n = \{(x, i) | x > 0, i \geq n\} \cup \{p\}$. Consider the subset A of X consisting of $([0, 1] \times N^+) \cup \{p\}$. X is clearly Hausdorff and A is H -closed but A is not f.f.r. Construct an ultrafilter \mathcal{V} on X as follows: let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$ where the sets in \mathcal{U}_1 are $U_n = \{(x, i) | x < 0, i \geq n\}$ and the sets in \mathcal{U}_2 are of the form $U_{t_1 t_2 \dots} = \{(x, n) | t_n < x < 0, -1 \leq t_n < 0\}$. Then \mathcal{U} is contained in some free ultrafilter \mathcal{V} . We claim that $\bar{V} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. If there were some $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ for which $\bar{V}_0 \cap A = \emptyset$, $\bar{V}_0 \cap \{(0, n) | n \in N^+\} = \emptyset$. It follows that for each n there exist t_n and s_n with $-1 \leq t_n < 0, 0 < s_n \leq 1$ and V_0 disjoint from the interval (t_n, s_n) . But then $V_0 \cap U_{t_1 t_2 \dots} = \emptyset$, which is impossible. Thus $\bar{V} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and A is not f.f.r.

Identify A to a point y_0 and let f be the natural map and Y the quotient space. Then Y is Hausdorff, f is closed, $f^{-1}(y)$ is H -closed for all $y \in Y$ but $f^{-1}(y_0) = A$ is not f.f.r. so that f is not absolutely closed.

Remarks. It is well known [2] that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is perfect iff $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is a closed map for all spaces Z , where i_Z is the identity map on Z . In the special case that Y is a point we obtain as a corollary Scarborough's result [4] that a space X is compact iff the projection $\pi_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Z$ is closed for all spaces Z . Our interest here is to examine to what extent similar results hold for absolutely closed functions. That is, what theorem gives as a corollary Scarborough's result [4] that a space X is H -closed iff the projection $\pi_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Z$ is regular closed for all spaces Z ? We conjecture that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely closed iff $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed for all spaces Z . The necessity is proved in Theorem 3 but for the sufficiency we only have the partial result of Theorem 2. Notice that Theorem 2 implies that for the function of the Example, there is a space Z with $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ not regular closed.

Definition. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *countably absolutely closed* if there does not exist an extension of f to $F: X^* \rightarrow Y$ where X^* is any space with X as a proper dense subset such that all points of $X^* - X$ have a countable neighborhood base.

The proofs of the following lemmas follow the techniques of Theorem 1 above and Theorem 1.2 of [6] and are omitted. Lemma 1 also holds for arbitrary countable filter-bases.

Lemma 1. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is countably absolutely closed iff no free countable ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on X has $f(\mathcal{U})$ convergent.

Lemma 2. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and

(1) f is regular closed and

(2) $f^{-1}(y)$ is c.f.f.r. for all $y \in Y$,
 then f is countably absolutely closed. If f is countably absolutely closed
 and Y is first countable then (1) and (2) hold.

Theorem 2. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$. If $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed
 for all spaces Z , then f is countably absolutely closed.

Proof. Since $f \times i_Z$ is regular closed for all spaces Z , f is regular
 closed. Hence if f is not countably absolutely closed then by Lemma 2 there
 is some $y_0 \in Y$ for which $f^{-1}(y_0)$ is not c.f.f.r. So, let \mathcal{U} be a free maximal
 countable open filter with $f^{-1}(y_0) \cap \bar{U} \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. If $\{U_i\}$ is a base
 for \mathcal{U} we may assume that $U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$ for all n . Let p be an additional point
 with basic neighborhoods $U_i \cup \{p\}$. Then if $Z = X \cup \{p\}$, $Z - X$ is first count-
 able and Z is Hausdorff, so consider $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$. Since $\{p\} =$
 $\bigcap \text{Cl}_Z U_n$ we may assume $U_{n-1} - \text{Cl}_Z U_n \neq \emptyset$ for all n . Let

$$V_1 = U_1 \times (Z - \text{Cl}_Z U_1), \quad V_2 = U_2 \times (U_1 - \text{Cl}_Z U_2), \dots,$$

$$V_n = U_n \times (U_{n-1} - \text{Cl}_Z U_n)$$

and let $W = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i}$. Since $f \times i_Z$ is regular closed, $(f \times i_Z)(W)$ is closed.

We claim that if $z \neq p$, $(y_0, z) \in (f \times i_Z)(W)$. Since $z \neq p$, there is a first
 k for which $z \notin \bar{U}_k$, hence $z \in \overline{U_{k-1} - \bar{U}_k} \subseteq \overline{U_{k-1} - \bar{U}_k}$. Now, $f^{-1}(y_0) \cap$
 $\bar{U}_j \neq \emptyset$ for all j , and f is regular closed so that $y_0 \in f(\bar{U}_j) = \overline{f(U_j)}$ for all j .
 Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (y_0, z) &\in \overline{f(U_k) \times U_{k-1} - \bar{U}_k} = \overline{f(U_k) \times (U_{k-1} - \bar{U}_k)} \\ &= \overline{(f \times i_Z)(V_k)} \subseteq \overline{(f \times i_Z)\left(\bigcup V_i\right)} = (f \times i_Z)(W), \end{aligned}$$

since $f \times i_Z$ is regular closed. Thus, $\{y_0\} \times X \subseteq (f \times i_Z)(W)$ so that $\{y_0\} \times$
 $Z \subseteq (f \times i_Z)(W)$. It follows that there is some $x_0 \in f^{-1}(y_0)$ with $(x_0, p) \in W$.

Let K be the first integer with $x_0 \notin \bar{U}_K$ and consider the neighborhood
 $(X - \bar{U}_K) \times U_{K+1}$ of (x_0, p) . If $((X - \bar{U}_K) \times U_{K+1}) \cap (U_{N+1} \times (U_N - \bar{U}_{N+1}))$
 $\neq \emptyset$, then if $N \leq K$ then $U_K \subseteq U_N$ so that $U_{K+1} \cap (U_N - \bar{U}_{N+1}) = \emptyset$, while
 if $K < N$, $U_N \subseteq U_K$ so $U_{N+1} \cap (X - \bar{U}_K) = \emptyset$. In either case the contradiction
 implies that $((X - \bar{U}_K) \times U_{K+1}) \cap \bigcup V_i = \emptyset$ and hence $(x_0, p) \notin \overline{\bigcup V_i} = W$.
 This contradiction establishes the theorem.

In the proof of Theorem 2, if X is first countable so is Z . Also, since
 a first countable subset which is c.f.f.r. is easily first-countable-and-Haus-

dorff-closed (closed in every first countable Hausdorff space in which it is embedded [5]) we have from Theorem 2,

Corollary 2.1. *If $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed for all first countable spaces and X is first countable, then $f^{-1}(y)$ is first-countable-and-Hausdorff-closed for all $y \in Y$ and f is countably absolutely closed.*

Lemma 3 (Stephenson [5, Theorem 5.7]). *For each first countable Hausdorff space X , the space Z of all countable open ultrafilters on X is first-countable-and-Hausdorff-closed and contains X as a dense subset.*

Notice that in this extension we can take the neighborhoods of a point $p \in Z$ to be $\{p\} \cup U_i$, where U_i is a member of the base for p . Then, in the proof of Theorem 2 we could have taken Z to be this first countable Hausdorff extension of X , with $p \in Z - X$.

Corollary 2.2. *If X is first countable and $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed for all first-countable-and-Hausdorff-closed spaces Z , then f is countably absolutely closed.*

The next lemma is proved by using Viglino's ultrafilter characterization of absolutely closed maps [6, Theorem 1.2] (or Lemma 1 for the countable case) and imitating the proof of Lemma 2 of [2, p. 101]. Theorem 3 and its corollary then follow from the lemma and Theorem 1, and the lemma and Lemma 2 respectively.

Lemma 4. *If $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ are (countably) absolutely closed for all α then so is $f: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod Y_\alpha$, $(f(x))_\alpha = f_\alpha(x_\alpha)$.*

Theorem 3. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely closed then $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed for all spaces Z .*

Corollary 3.1. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is countably absolutely closed and Y is first countable, $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed for all first countable spaces Z .*

Corollary 3.2. *If X is first countable the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\pi_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Z$ is regular closed for all first countable spaces Z ;
- (2) $\pi_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Z$ is regular closed for all first-countable-Hausdorff-closed spaces Z ;
- (3) X is first-countable-and-Hausdorff-closed.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious, (2) \Rightarrow (3) follows from Corollary 2.2 by

taking Y to be a point, and (3) \Rightarrow (1), follows from Corollary 3.1 again by letting Y be a single point.

Added in proof. The following is proved by using Theorem 1 and applying the methods of the proof of Theorem 7 of [4].

Theorem. *If $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed for all spaces Z , then f is absolutely closed.*

Question. *If $f \times i_Z: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is regular closed for all H -closed spaces Z , is f necessarily absolutely closed?*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Blaszczyk and J. Mioduszewski, *On factorization of maps through τX* , Colloq. Math. 23 (1971), 45–52. MR 46 #4461.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. I: Les structures fondamentales de l'analyse. Livre III: Topologie générale*, Actualités Sci. Indust., nos. 1029, 1045, 1084, 1142, 1143, Hermann, Paris, 1947, 1948, 1949; English transl., Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966. MR 34 #5044b.
3. R. F. Dickman, Jr., *Regular closed maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973), 414–416. MR 47 #4203.
4. C. T. Scarborough, *Closed graphs and closed projections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 465–470. MR 40 #3514.
5. R. Stephenson, *Minimal first countable topologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (1969), 115–127. MR 38 #6537.
6. G. Viglino, *Extensions of functions and spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 179 (1973), 61–69.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65201