

## THE MONAD SYSTEM OF THE FINEST COMPATIBLE UNIFORM STRUCTURE

C. WARD HENSON

ABSTRACT. The methods of nonstandard analysis are used to study the finest uniform structure compatible with the topology on a given completely regular, Hausdorff space.

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular, Hausdorff space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the finest uniform structure on  $X$  which is compatible with  $\tau$ . If  ${}^*X$  is the set corresponding to  $X$  in some enlargement, then  $\mathcal{F}$  corresponds to a partition  $\{\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p) : p \in {}^*X\}$  of  ${}^*X$  in a familiar way [5], [6]. This partition may be described by

$$q \in \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p) \iff {}^*d(p, q) \text{ is infinitesimal for every} \\ \text{continuous pseudometric } d \text{ on } (X, \tau).$$

The results in this paper concern the structure of the monad system  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ , especially as it is related to the set  $C(X)$  of continuous, real-valued functions on  $(X, \tau)$ . Also, it is proved that  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$  is identical to the  $\mu$ -monad system constructed in a quite different way by Wattenberg [8] and some consequences of this fact are discussed.

In general we adopt in this paper the framework for nonstandard analysis which is described in Luxemburg's important paper [5]. Throughout this paper  $\mathfrak{M}$  will denote a higher order set-theoretical structure and  ${}^*\mathfrak{M}$  will denote an enlargement of  $\mathfrak{M}$  which is  $\aleph_0$ -enlarging in the sense of [4]. Given a uniform space  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , we let  $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$  be the equivalence relation on  ${}^*X$  which corresponds to  $\mathcal{U}$ ; that is

$$p \underline{\mathcal{U}} q \iff (p, q) \in {}^*V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{U}.$$

For each  $p \in {}^*X$  the  $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$ -monad of  $p$ , which is denoted by  $\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{U}}}(p)$ , is the equivalence class of  $p$  under  $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$ . A discussion of how the monads  $\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{U}}}(p)$  form a basis for the nonstandard theory of uniform spaces may be found in [5] or [6].

---

Received by the editors July 30, 1973 and, in revised form, May 20, 1974.  
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02H25, 54E15.

A uniform structure  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  is generated on  ${}^*X$  by the collection  $\{{}^*V: V \in \mathcal{U}\}$  of subsets of  ${}^*X \times {}^*X$ , as described in [3]. For each  $p \in {}^*X$ , the monad  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p)$  is then equal to the intersection of all  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -neighborhoods of  $p$ . Therefore,  $p \underline{\mathcal{U}} q$  if and only if  $p$  and  $q$  have exactly the same filter of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -neighborhoods. Let  $X_0 = \{\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p): p \in {}^*X\}$  and let  $\mathcal{U}_0$  be the quotient uniformity induced on  $X_0$  by  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ . Denote the quotient map from  $({}^*X, \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$  onto  $(X_0, \mathcal{U}_0)$  by  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

An element  $p$  of  ${}^*X$  is called  $\mathcal{U}$ -prenearstandard [5] if for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists  $x \in X$  with  $(p, {}^*x) \in {}^*V$ . The set of  $\mathcal{U}$ -prenearstandard points is denoted by  $\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Note that  $\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is just the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -closure in  ${}^*X$  of the set  $\{{}^*x: x \in X\}$  of standard points in  ${}^*X$ . It can be shown that  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}})$  is  $\mathcal{U}_0$ -complete so that if  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is Hausdorff, then the subspace  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}})$  of  $(X_0, \mathcal{U}_0)$  is the completion of  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ .

Our purpose in assuming that  ${}^*\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -enlarging is to obtain a local description of the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -topology on  ${}^*X$  in terms of the  $\mathcal{U}$ -monads, as given in Theorem 1. Recall that  ${}^*\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -enlarging if and only if it has the following property described in [5]: if  $Y$  is a set in  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a filter on  $Y$  and  $A$  is an internal subset of  ${}^*Y$ , then  $A \cap \mu(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $A \cap {}^*W \neq \emptyset$  for every  $W \in \mathcal{G}$ . (Here  $\mu(\mathcal{G})$  is the filter monad of  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by  $\mu(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap \{{}^*W: W \in \mathcal{G}\}$ .) Recall also that for each set  $X$  there exists  ${}^*\mathfrak{M}$  which is an  $\aleph_0$ -enlarging extension of some  $\mathfrak{M}$  containing  $X$ . (For example, the limit of a sequence of successive enlargements, the first of which has  $X$  as an element.)

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space in  $\mathfrak{M}$ .*

(i) *If  $A \subseteq {}^*X$  is internal, then the interior of  $A$  in the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -topology is  $\{p: \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p) \subseteq A\}$ .*

(ii) *For  $p \in {}^*X$ , a basis for the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -neighborhood filter at  $p$  consists of those internal subsets of  ${}^*X$  which contain  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) If  $p$  is in the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -interior of  $A$ , then  ${}^*V(p) \subseteq A$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  and therefore  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p) \subseteq A$ . If  $p$  is not in the interior of  $A$ , then  ${}^*V(p)$  intersects  ${}^*X \sim A$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  ${}^*\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -enlarging it follows that the filter monad of  $\mathcal{U}$ , which is equal to the equivalence relation  $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$ , intersects  $({}^*X \sim A) \times \{p\}$ . Therefore  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p) \not\subseteq A$  if  $p$  is not in the interior of  $A$ .

(ii) This is an immediate consequence of (i) and the fact that the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -neighborhood filter at  $p$  has a basis  $\{{}^*V(p): V \in \mathcal{U}\}$  of internal sets.

The observations in Theorem 1 are useful in the following type of setting:  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be two uniform structures on  $X$  and suppose that  $S$  is a subset of  ${}^*X$  such that  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p) \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(p)$  for every  $p \in S$ . Then there is a natural function  $\phi$  from  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(S)$  onto  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$  which takes  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(p)$  to  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(p)$  for each  $p \in S$ . Theorem 1 implies that the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -topology is finer than the  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ -topology when restricted to  $S$ . It follows that  $\phi$  is continuous (relative to the  $\mathcal{U}_0$ -topology on  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(S)$  and the  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -topology on  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$ ). In particular, if  $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p) = \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(p)$  for every  $p \in S$ , then  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism.

Now let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular, Hausdorff space in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the finest compatible uniform structure on  $(X, \tau)$ . In the next result, the difficult part of which is a consequence of Shirota's theorem [2, Theorem 15.21], the relation between  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $C(X)$  is explored in terms of non-standard analysis.

**Theorem 2.** For each  $p \in X^*$

$$(1) \quad \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p) \subseteq \{q: {}^*f(p) =_1 {}^*f(q) \text{ for all } f \in C(X)\}.$$

If  $p$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -prenearstandard, then equality holds in (1). Moreover, if  $(X, \tau)$  has no closed, discrete subspace of measurable cardinality, then

$$(2) \quad \text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{p: {}^*f(p) \text{ is finite for all } f \in C(X)\}.$$

**Proof.** For each  $f \in C(X)$  the function  $|f(x) - f(y)|$  is a continuous pseudometric on  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore  $p \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} q$  implies  ${}^*f(p) =_1 {}^*f(q)$  for any  $p, q \in X$  and  $f \in C(X)$ . This shows that (1) holds in general.

To prove that equality holds in (1) for prenearstandard points, let  $p \in \text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . If  $q \notin \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ , then for some standard  $\delta > 0$  and some continuous pseudometric  $d$  on  $(X, \tau)$ ,  ${}^*d(p, q) > \delta$ . Since  $p \in \text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}}$  there exists  $x \in X$  which satisfies  ${}^*d(p, x) < \delta/3$ . The function  $f(y) = d(y, x)$  is in  $C(X)$ , but  ${}^*f(p)$  cannot be infinitely close to  ${}^*f(q)$  (since otherwise  ${}^*d(q, x) < \delta/2$  and hence  ${}^*d(p, q) < \delta$ ). Thus  $q$  is also not in the set on the right side of (1).

Now let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the uniform structure on  $X$  generated by the pseudometrics  $|f(x) - f(y)|$  for  $f \in C(X)$ . Evidently  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and therefore  $\text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Moreover,  $\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is equal to the right side of (2) by [5, Theorem 3.15.5]. By the remarks above, a homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}})$  into  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}})$  may be defined by setting  $\phi(\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(p))$  equal to  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(p)$  for  $p \in \text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Now  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is the completion of  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}})$  is the completion of  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ . In case the stated assumption holds, then Shirota's theorem [2,

Theorem 15.21] implies that both of these completions are real compact. Also they both contain  $X$  densely and  $\phi$  is the identity map on  $X$ . Since every function in  $C(X)$  extends to a continuous function on  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}})$  it follows that  $\phi$  maps  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{F}})$  onto  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{pns}_{\mathcal{U}})$ . The first part of the theorem then implies (2).

Next we show that the uniform structure  $\mathcal{F}$  is closely related to the monad systems introduced by Wattenberg in [7] and [8]. The most interesting of these systems, which we will call the  $\mu$ -monad system, is constructed as follows [8, Definition 2.9]: given a completely regular, Hausdorff space  $(X, \tau)$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , let  $\lambda$  be a cardinal number which is greater than  $2^{\aleph_0}$  and greater than the cardinality of  $X$ . Let  $S$  be a set of cardinality  $\lambda$  and let  $\mathbb{R}^\lambda$  be the set of real-valued functions  $\alpha$  on  $S$  whose support  $\{s: \alpha(s) \neq 0\}$  is finite. Equip  $\mathbb{R}^\lambda$  with the metric  $d_\lambda$  defined by

$$d_\lambda(\alpha, \beta) = \sup\{|\alpha(s) - \beta(s)|: s \in S\}.$$

The  $\mu$ -monad for  $(X, \tau)$  consists of the family  $\{\mu(p): p \in {}^*X\}$  of subsets of  ${}^*X$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(p) &= \{q: \text{for each continuous function} \\ & f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\lambda, d_\lambda), {}^*d_\lambda({}^*f/p, {}^*f/q) = 1 \ 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The significance of the  $\mu$ -monad system lies in these facts, proved in [8]:

- (i)  $\{\mu(p): p \in {}^*X\}$  is a partition of  ${}^*X$  which agrees with the  $\tau$ -monad partition on  $\tau$ -nearstandard points;
- (ii) if  $f: (X_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \tau_2)$  is a continuous function between completely regular, Hausdorff spaces in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , then for each  $p \in {}^*X_1$ ,  ${}^*f(\mu(p)) \subseteq \mu({}^*f(p))$ . Moreover, the  $\mu$ -monad system is the same as the metric monad system on metric spaces and is the same as the covering monad system on normal spaces [8, Theorem 2.10], both of which have topologically natural definitions.

Our next result is that the  $\mu$ -monad on  ${}^*X$  is identical to the monad  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$  given by the finest compatible uniform structure  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $(X, \tau)$ . This theorem not only makes a connection between Wattenberg's work and the theory of uniform spaces, but is also leads (via his work) to useful descriptions of the  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ -monads in certain special situations.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is a completely regular, Hausdorff space in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the finest compatible uniform structure on  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\mu(p) = \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$  for all  $p \in {}^*X$ .*

**Proof.** Let the  $\mu$ -monad for  $(X, \tau)$  be constructed as described above. The definition of  $\mu(p)$  for  $p \in {}^*X$  makes it evident that there is a filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X \times X$  such that the filter monad of  $\mathcal{U}$  equals  $\{(p, q) : q \in \mu(p)\}$ . Since this set is an equivalence relation on  ${}^*X$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a uniform structure on  $X$  [5, Theorem 3.9.1]. In addition,  $\mu(p) = \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p)$  for all  $p \in {}^*X$ . By (i) above, for each  $x \in X$

$$\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(x) = \{p : p \text{ is } \tau\text{-nearstandard to } x\} = \mu_{\tau}(x).$$

This implies that the uniform structure  $\mathcal{U}$  is compatible with  $\tau$  and hence  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

Now  $\mathcal{F}$  may be described as the coarsest uniform structure on  $X$  for which every continuous function from  $(X, \tau)$  into a metric space is actually uniformly continuous. Let  $(Y, d)$  be a metric space and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, d)$  a continuous function. To prove that  $f$  is uniformly continuous relative to  $\mathcal{U}$  it suffices to show

$$(3) \quad {}^*f(\mu(p)) \subseteq \{q : {}^*d(q, {}^*f(p)) =_1 0\}$$

for each  $p \in {}^*X$ . Property (ii) of the  $\mu$ -monad system implies that  ${}^*f(\mu(p)) \subseteq \mu({}^*f(p))$ . But the  $\mu$ -monad for  $(Y, d)$  is the same as the metric monad [8, Theorem 2.10] which is finer than the  $d$ -monad. That is

$$\mu({}^*f(p)) \subseteq \{q : {}^*d(q, {}^*f(p)) =_1 0\}$$

which yields (3). That is, each continuous function from  $(X, \tau)$  into a metric space is uniformly continuous relative to  $\mathcal{U}$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . This proves  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}$  so that  $\mu(p) = \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(p) = \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$  for every  $p \in {}^*X$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the finest uniform structure compatible with the  $d$ -topology on  $X$ , then for  $p \in {}^*X$*

$$\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p) = \{q : {}^*d(p, q) < {}^*f(p) \text{ for every positive function } f \text{ in } C(X)\}.$$

**Proof.** Theorem 3 and [8, Theorem 2.10].

The description of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -monads given in Corollary 1 enables us to give a simple proof of a result for subspaces of the Euclidean spaces which is contained in the paper [1] of Corson and Isbell.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the finest compatible uniform structure on  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is the uniform structure on  $X$  generated by the pseudometrics  $|f(x) - f(y)|$  for  $f \in C(X)$ . That is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the unique compatible uniform structure on*

$(X, \tau)$  which makes every function in  $C(X)$  uniformly continuous.

**Proof.** We assume  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\tau$  be the subspace topology so  $\tau$  is defined by the metric

$$d(x, y) = \max\{|x_i - y_i|: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . By Corollary 1 it suffices to show that if  ${}^*f(p) = {}_1{}^*f(q)$  for every  $f \in C(X)$ , then  ${}^*d(p, q) < {}^*g(p)$  for every positive  $g \in C(X)$ . To prove this, let  $p, q$  satisfy the first condition and let  $g \in C(X)$  be positive. We will show  ${}^*d(p, q) < {}^*g(p)$ , and it may be assumed that  $g$  is bounded on  $X$ . Then

$$(4) \quad 1 = {}_1{}^*g(q)/{}^*g(p)$$

since  $1/g(x)$  is in  $C(X)$  and  ${}^*g(q)$  is finite. Let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  and  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ . Since  $x_i/g(x)$  is in  $C(X)$ , it follows from (4) that

$$p_i/{}^*g(p) = {}_1q_i/{}^*g(p).$$

This shows that  $|p_i - q_i| < {}^*g(p)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and therefore  ${}^*d(p, q) < {}^*g(p)$ , completing the proof.

Now let  $(X, \tau)$  be an arbitrary completely regular, Hausdorff space in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the finest compatible uniform structure on  $(X, \tau)$ . Two elements  $p, q$  of  ${}^*X$  are in the same  $\mathcal{F}$ -galaxy [3] if for each  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a finite sequence  $p_0, \dots, p_k$  in  ${}^*X$  such that  $p_0 = p, p_k = q$  and  $(p_i, p_{i+1}) \in {}^*V$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$ . In particular this implies that  ${}^*d(p, q)$  is finite for every continuous pseudometric  $d$  on  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore, the set  $A = \{p: {}^*f(p) \text{ is finite for all } f \in C(X)\}$  is a union of  $\mathcal{F}$ -galaxies, as is  ${}^*X \sim A$ .

If there are no measurable cardinals, then Theorem 2 shows that  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$  is the completion of  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Therefore the galaxy structure for points in  $A$  reflects in an immediate way the structure of  $(X, \tau)$ . The next result shows that the galaxy structure for points outside  $A$  is trivial. This has the immediate consequence that any connected subset of  $X_0 = \pi_{\mathcal{F}}({}^*X)$  with at least two elements must be contained in  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ . That is,  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$  is open and closed in  $X_0$  and  $X_0 \sim \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$  is totally disconnected (in the  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -topology on  $X_0$ ).

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular, Hausdorff space in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the finest compatible uniform structure on  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $p \in {}^*X$  and there exists  $f \in C(X)$  such that  ${}^*f(p)$  is infinite, then the  $\mathcal{F}$ -galaxy containing  $p$  is equal to the monad  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $p \in {}^*X$  and  $f \in C(X)$  and assume that  ${}^*f(p)$  is infinite. Let  $q$  be an element of the same  $\mathcal{F}$ -galaxy as  $p$ , so that  ${}^*d(p, q)$  is finite for every continuous pseudometric  $d$  on  $(X, \tau)$  [3, Theorem 4.2].

We may assume  $f \geq 1$ ; let  $g = 1/f \in C(X)$  so  $0 < g \leq 1$  and  ${}^*g(p) =_1 0$ . Given a continuous pseudometric  $d$ , define

$$d'(x, y) = d(x, y) + |g(x) - g(y)|$$

and

$$V_n = \{(x, y) : d'(x, y) < n^{-1} \cdot g(x)\}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $d'$  is a continuous pseudometric on  $(X, \tau)$ , the sets  $V_n$  are open neighborhoods of the diagonal in  $X \times X$ . We will show that  $V_{n+1} \subseteq (V_n)^{-1}$  and  $(V_{4n+1})^2 \subseteq V_n$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose  $d'(x, y) < (n+1)^{-1} \cdot g(x)$ . Then  $|g(x) - g(y)| < (n+1)^{-1} \cdot g(x)$  so that  $(n+1)^{-1} \cdot g(x) < n^{-1}g(y)$  and  $g(y) < (n+2)(n+1)^{-1} \cdot g(x)$ . It follows that  $d'(x, y) < n^{-1}g(y)$ , which proves  $V_{n+1} \subseteq (V_n)^{-1}$ . If also  $d'(y, z) < (n+1)^{-1} \cdot g(y)$ , then

$$d'(x, z) < ((n+1)^{-1} + n^{-1})g(y) < 4n^{-1}g(x).$$

This proves  $(V_{4n+1})^2 \subseteq V_n$ . It follows from these facts that  $\{V_n : n \geq 1\}$  generates a uniform structure on  $X$  which defines a topology coarser than  $\tau$ . Therefore  $\{V_n : n \geq 1\}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Now since  $V_1$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  and since  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{F}$ -galaxy, there is a finite sequence  $p_0, \dots, p_k$  in  ${}^*X$  such that  $p_0 = p, p_k = q$  and for each  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$

$${}^*d(p_i, p_{i+1}) + |{}^*g(p_i) - {}^*g(p_{i+1})| < {}^*g(p_i).$$

An inductive argument shows that  ${}^*g(p_i) =_1 0$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ . Using the triangle inequality for  ${}^*d$  yields

$${}^*d(p, q) < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {}^*g(p_i) =_1 0.$$

That is,  ${}^*d(p, q) =_1 0$  for every continuous pseudometric  $d$  on  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore  $q \in \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$  and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. H. H. Corson and J. R. Isbell, *Euclidean covers of topological spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 11 (1960), 34-42. MR 23 # A2194.  
 2. Leonard Gillman and Meyer Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, University Ser. in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR 22 # 6994.

3. C. Ward Henson, *The nonstandard hulls of a uniform space*, Pacific J. Math. 43 (1972), 115–137. MR 47 # 2559.
4. C. Ward Henson and L. C. Moore, Jr., *The nonstandard theory of topological vector spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 172 (1972), 405–435. MR 46 # 7836.
5. W. A. J. Luxemburg, *A general theory of monads*, Applications of Model Theory to Algebra, Analysis and Probability, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969, pp. 18–86. MR 39 # 6244.
6. M. Machover and J. Hirschfeld, *Lectures on nonstandard analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 94, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969. MR 40 # 2531.
7. Frank Wattenberg, *Nonstandard topology and extensions of monad systems to infinite points*, J. Symbolic Logic 36 (1971), 463–476. MR 45 # 2642.
8. ———, *Monads of infinite points and finite product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176 (1973), 351–368. MR 47 # 1020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA 27706

*Current address:* Department of Mathematical Sciences, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003