

ON MATRIX APPROXIMATION¹

SHMUEL FRIEDLAND

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give an algebraic characterization of the best approximants to a given matrix A from a real line spanned by a matrix B . The distance $\|A - \alpha B\|$ is taken to be the spectral norm of $A - \alpha B$.

1. **Introduction.** Let A and B be $m \times n$ -complex-valued nonzero matrices. Assume that $A \neq \alpha B$ for any real α . We are looking for

$$(1) \quad \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \|A - \alpha B\| = d.$$

Here $\|A\|$ is the spectral norm of A , i.e., the square root of the greatest eigenvalue of A^*A . The special case where A is a real $n \times n$ -matrix and B is the identity matrix I is interesting in the theory of partial differential equations [1]. It is well known that the set of all real β , such that

$$(2) \quad \|A - \beta B\| = d,$$

is a compact convex set. That is, this set is of the form

$$(3) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha_2 \quad (\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2).$$

In what follows, we characterize α_1 and α_2 as solutions of certain polynomial equations.

2. **The main result.** Let

$$(4) \quad S(\lambda, \alpha) = \lambda^n + s_1(\alpha)\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + s_n(\alpha)$$

be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $(A^* - \alpha B^*)(A - \alpha B)$. Thus we consider $S(\lambda, \alpha)$ as a polynomial in λ over the polynomial ring $P[\alpha]$. Let $D_1(\lambda, \alpha)$ be the greatest common divisor of $S(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\partial S(\lambda, \alpha)/\partial \lambda$ over the field $F[\alpha]$ of all rational functions in α . However, as the leading coefficient of $S(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\partial S(\lambda, \alpha)/\partial \lambda$ do not depend on α , it is easy to show that $D_1(\lambda, \alpha)$ can be chosen as a polynomial over $P[\alpha]$, whose leading

Received by the editors May 29, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 15A60, 15A18; Secondary 65F35.

Key words and phrases. Matrix approximation, spectral norm, polynomial equations, the greatest common divisor, discriminant.

¹This paper partially supported by NSF Grant 28876 and Navy Contract N00014-67-A-0112-0015.

coefficient does not depend on α . By $R(\lambda, \alpha)$, we denote the reduced characteristic polynomial

$$(5) \quad R(\lambda, \alpha) = S(\lambda, \alpha)/D_1(\lambda, \alpha).$$

Again, $R(\lambda, \alpha)$ is a polynomial over $P[\alpha]$. Thus if $S(\lambda_0, \alpha_0) = 0$, then $R(\lambda_0, \alpha_0) = 0$. By the definition of $R(\lambda, \alpha)$, the discriminant $\rho(\alpha)$ of $R(\lambda, \alpha)$, i.e., the resultant of the polynomials $R(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\partial R(\lambda, \alpha)/\partial \lambda$, is a nonzero element in $P[\alpha]$. Denote by $D_2(\lambda)$ the greatest common divisor of $R(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $R(\lambda, \alpha_0)$ over $F[\alpha]$. It means that the roots of $D_2(\lambda)$ are all the roots of $R(\lambda, \alpha)$ which do not depend on α . By $Q(\lambda, \alpha)$, we denote the polynomial

$$(6) \quad Q(\lambda, \alpha) = R(\lambda, \alpha)/D_2(\lambda)$$

over $P[\alpha]$.

Theorem. *Let A and B be $m \times n$ -complex-valued nonzero matrices. Assume that $A \neq \alpha B$ for any real α . Then the real numbers α_1 and α_2 , which are defined by the conditions (2) and (3), satisfy either the equation*

$$(7) \quad \rho(\alpha) = 0$$

or the system

$$(8) \quad Q(\lambda, \alpha) = \partial Q(\lambda, \alpha)/\partial \alpha = 0.$$

The equation (7) and the system (8) have only a finite number of solutions.

3. Proof. Assume first that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. So $\|A - \beta B\| = d$ for $\alpha_1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha_2$. This implies that $S(d^2, \alpha) = R(d^2, \alpha) = 0$ identically. We claim that, for $\alpha = \alpha_j$, $j = 1, 2$, d^2 is at least a double root of $R(\lambda, \alpha)$. Suppose that d^2 is a simple root for $\alpha = \alpha_j$. From (2), we deduce that all other roots of $R(\lambda, \alpha_j)$ are strictly less than d^2 . Thus if $|\alpha - \alpha_j| < \epsilon$, d^2 is the greatest root of $R(\lambda, \alpha)$ for an appropriate positive ϵ . So $\|A - \alpha B\| = d$ for that α . This contradicts the definition of α_1 and α_2 . Thus in the case that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, α_j has to satisfy (7) for $j = 1, 2$. Since $\rho(\alpha)$ is a nontrivial polynomial, there exist only finite numbers of solutions. (It may happen that $\rho(\alpha) \equiv \text{Const}$, which means that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.) Assume now that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha^*$. If d^2 is at least a double root of $R(\lambda, \alpha^*)$, then α^* has to satisfy (7). Suppose now that d^2 is a simple root of $R(\lambda, \alpha^*)$. Thus for $|\alpha - \alpha^*| < \epsilon$, there exists an analytic function $\lambda(\alpha)$ which is defined by the equation

$$(9) \quad R(\lambda, \alpha) = 0$$

and $\lambda(\alpha^*) = d^2$. This is because $\partial R(\lambda, \alpha)/\partial \lambda \neq 0$ on this neighborhood of (d^2, α^*) . We restrict ourselves to this neighborhood. Obviously, $\lambda(\alpha)$ is not

a constant function. Otherwise, $\|A - \alpha B\| = d$, which contradicts the assumption that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha^*$. So $\lambda(\alpha)$ is the greatest root of $Q(\lambda, \alpha) = 0$. Since $\lambda(\alpha) > d^2$ for $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$, we conclude that $d\lambda(\alpha^*)/d\alpha = 0$. This proves that α^* satisfies the system (8).

We show that (8) has only a finite number of solutions. First, note that all roots of $Q(\lambda, \alpha)$ are distinct except for a finite number of α . This follows from the fact that $\rho(\alpha)$ is a nonzero polynomial. Thus if the system (8) has an infinite number of solutions, it would imply that the polynomials $Q(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\partial Q(\lambda, \alpha)/\partial \alpha$ have a nontrivial common factor $D_3(\lambda, \alpha)$. But this means that $Q(\lambda, \alpha)$ has constant roots which do not depend on α . This contradicts the definition of Q .

4. Open problem. Let A and B_1, \dots, B_k be $m \times n$ -complex-valued matrices. Assume that B_1, \dots, B_k are linearly independent on \mathbf{R} . Denote by \mathcal{U} the real span of B_1, \dots, B_k . An obvious extension of the problem discussed above is: Characterize the extreme points of the convex set of the best approximants \mathcal{B} . That is, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$, and, for any $B \in \mathcal{U}$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$(10) \quad \|A - B\| \geq \|A - C\| = d.$$

It seems that, from the case $k = 1$, the extreme points of \mathcal{B} can be characterized by a set of certain polynomial equations.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank P. R. Halmos for suggesting the problem, and E. Deutsch for supplying the reference.

REFERENCE

1. I. A. Rus, *Un principe du maximum pour les solutions d'un système fortement elliptique*, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III 4 (24) (1969), 75–78. MR 39 #1792.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305

Current address: Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel