## ON FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS RELATED TO MIELNIK'S PROBABILITY SPACES

C. F. BLAKEMORE AND C. V. STANOJEVIC

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the method used by C. V. Stanojevic to obtain a characterization of inner product spaces in terms of a Mielnik probability space of dimension 2 does not admit a generalization to dimension n > 2.

Let  $f: [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be continuous and strictly increasing with f(0) = 0 and f(2) = 1. The class of all such functions f will be denoted by f. Likewise, let  $g: [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 2]$  be continuous but strictly decreasing with g(0) = 2 and g(2) = 0. Similarly, the class of all such functions g will be denoted by G. In [1] it is proved that the functional equation

$$f + f \circ g = 1$$

where  $(f \circ g)(t) = f[g(t)]$  has a solution  $f \in F$  if and only if  $g \in G$  is an involution, i.e.,  $g \circ g = e$  where e is the identity function on [0, 2]. Using this result it is also shown that a normed real linear space N is an inner product space if and only if for some  $f \in F$ , (S, f(|x+y|)) is a Mielnik probability space [2] of dimension 2. The functional equation (\*) served as a tool to obtain a new characterization of inner product spaces. In this note we consider the possibility of extending this characterization of inner product spaces to the case where f is a probability function generated by an appropriate function f and (S, p) is of dimension > 2.

Let  $g^{(m)}$  denote m iterations of a function  $g: I \to I$  where I is some interval. Also, suppose  $g^{(n)} = e$  where e is the identity function on I and n is some positive integer. We shall show that the generalized functional equation

(\*\*) 
$$f + f \circ g + f \circ g^{(2)} + \dots + f \circ g^{(n-1)} = 1$$

(where f and g are functions belonging to a suitable generalization of the classes F and G defined earlier) collapses. In other words, the method from [1] cannot be extended in a straightforward manner to the case when (S, p) is of dimension >2. The following theorem (for a similar result for homeomorphisms see [3]) is the key to our result:

Received by the editors July 16, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 39A15, 26A18; Secondary 46C10.

Key words and phrases. Functional equations, Mielnik probability spaces.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

**Theorem.** Let  $h: I \to I$  be a function where I is an interval. If h is continuous and if for some  $m \ge 2$ ,  $h^{(m)} = e$ , then h is an involution, i.e.,  $h \circ h = e$ .

**Proof.** Let h(x) = h(y). Then, since  $h^{(m)} = e$ , we have  $h^{(m)}(x) = h^{(m)}(y)$  implies x = y and thus h is one-to-one. Hence, since h is continuous, h is strictly monotone. First we consider the case where h is strictly increasing. Then from h(x) > x it follows that  $x = h^{(m)}(x) > h^{(m-1)}(x) > \dots > h(x) > x$  which is a contradiction. The contradiction also follows from the assumption h(x) < x. Hence h(x) = x for all x in x and x in x and x in x is strictly decreasing. If x is strictly increasing. But h(x) > h(x) and h(x) > h(x) is strictly increasing. But h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) is equal to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x) > h(x) in the first case to h(x

In particular, our theorem shows that the function  $g: I \to I$  appearing in our generalized functional equation (\*\*) must be an involution. Thus (\*\*) becomes  $n(f + f \circ g)/2 = 1$  for n even and  $(n+1)f/2 + ((n-1)/2)f \circ g = 1$  for n odd. Now if we want to extend the result from [1] to the n-dimensional case we have to have (\*\*) since it is equivalent to Axiom (C) of Mielnik [2]. This shows that there is not a trivial extension to dimension n using the procedure from [1].

## REFERENCES

- 1. C. V. Stanojevic, Mielnik's probability spaces and characterization of inner product spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 183 (1973), 441-448.
- 2. B. Mielnik, Geometry of quantum states, Comm. Math. Phys. 9 (1968), 55-80. MR 37 #7156.
- 3. N. McShane, On the periodicity of homeomorphisms of the real line, Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 562-563. MR 24 #A199.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA  $70\,122$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI AT ROLLA, ROLLA, MISSOURI 65401