## CONSTRUCTION OF THE INTEGRAL CLOSURE OF A FINITE INTEGRAL DOMAIN. II

## A. SEIDENBERG<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In a previous paper the problem of constructing the integral closure of a finite integral domain  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = k[x]$  was considered. A reduction to the case  $dt \, k(x)/k = 1$ , k(x)/k separable, and n = 2 was made. A subsidiary problem was: if k[x] is not integrally closed, to find a y in k(x) integral over k[x] but not in it. This was done for n = 2, but should have been done for arbitrary n. The extra details are here given. For the convenience of the reader, the full argument is sketched.

In [2] we proposed to construct the integral closure of a finite integral domain  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = k[x]$  in its quotient field  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Three subsidiary problems were formulated, of which the first two were:

- 1. to give a method for deciding whether k[x] is integrally closed;
- 2. in the case k[x] is not integrally closed, to give a method for finding an element in k(x) integral over k[x] but not in it.

We dealt first with the case that k(x)/k is separable, and a reduction to the case degree of transcendency of k(x)/k = 1 was made. It is then easy to reduce the original problem to the case n = 2, but on p. 7 it was stated, though incorrectly, that the subsidiary problem 2 was thus reduced. The slip was (in effect) noted in [1]. This is a note of correction. Basically we assume a familiarity with [2], but, for the convenience of the reader, try to rely on [2] as little as possible.

For another treatment (not quite complete) of the problems here considered see [5].

1. Preliminaries. Reference [3] considers some basic construction problems in a polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \cdots, X_n] = k[X]$ . If A is an ideal in k[X], given via a finite basis, and  $b \in k[X]$  is given, one can decide whether  $b \in A$  (§5), find the dimension of A (§6), and construct  $A \cap k[X_1, \cdots, X_{n-1}]$  (§23, Note 4; see also [2, p. 17]). Hence if dim A = 0, by contracting A to  $k[X_i]$ , one can find a polynomial whose roots are precisely the ith coordinates of the points annihilating A. If dim A = r and  $A = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_s$  is a normal decomposition of A into primary ideals, one can construct the intersection of the r-dimensional  $Q_i$  (§17). Given ideals A and B, one can construct

Received by the editors November 13, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02E99, 13F20, 13B20.

Key words and phrases. Constructive mathematics, finite integral domain, integral closure.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by an NSF grant.

 $A \cap B$ , A : B ( $\S$ 2, 3) and an integer  $\rho$  such that  $A : B^{\rho} = A : B^{\rho+1}$  ( $\S$ 20). These constructions hold for any explicitly given field k.

The ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = k[x]$  (whose integral closure  $k[x]^*$  is sought) is given as k[X] mod the ideal P of relations satisfied by x/k. Contracting A = P to  $k[X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_s}]$ , one can test the algebraic independence of  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}$  over k, and in this way find a transcendency basis of k(x)/k amongst the  $x_i$ ; say this is  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . If y = f(x)/g(x) with  $f, g \in k[X]$ , then  $\bigcup_{\rho}(P, Yg(X) - f(X))$ :  $g(X)^{\rho}$  is, as one checks, the defining ideal of (x, y)/k, and contracting this to  $k[X_1, \dots, X_r, Y]$  one finds the defining equation of y over  $k(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . If y is integral over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ , then (since this ring is integrally closed) the equation will be an equation of integral dependence. Hence, though we omit some details, for  $y \in k(x)$ , we can test the integral dependence of y/k[x] and, if y is integral, construct an equation showing this.

In [2] (cf. also [3]) we introduced a condition (P) for explicitly given fields k that in effect allows us to check for p-independence in k (i.e., if  $a_1, \dots, a_s \in k$ , whether  $[k^p(a_1, \dots, a_s): k^p] = p^s$ ). Our problems are to be solved for k satisfying (P), a condition void for explicitly given k of characteristic 0. (For the role of (P) see [2] and reference 6 in [3].)

Let u be an indeterminate and K = k(u). If  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  are k(u)[x]module generators for the integral closure  $k(u)[x]^*$  of k(u)[x], we may,
multiplying the  $y_i$  by a denominator  $d(u) \in k[u]$ , suppose the  $y_i$  integral
over k[x,u], hence in k(x)[u]. Writing the  $y_i$  as polynomials in u, the coefficients are in  $k[x]^*$  (since  $k[x]^*[u]$  is integrally closed) and yield a k[x]module basis of  $k[x]^*$ . Thus in solving our main problem we may freely adjoin indeterminates to k; in particular, we may assume k infinite. By [2, p. 9] a similar technique is available for K/k finite and K linearly disjoint
from k(x)/k, a result we use only for dt k(x)/k = 1 and  $K = k(a^{1/p})$  with  $a \in k$ .

2. The construction. Let V be the variety having  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  as generic point over k; k[x] is integrally closed if and only if V is normal. Let  $r = \dim V$ ; we may as well suppose  $r \ge 1$ . Using the mixed-Jacobian of Zariski (cf. [4, p. 360]) and (P), we can write down an ideal A in k[X] for the singularities of V/k and find its dimension. If V has a singularity of codimension 1, it is certainly not normal (cf. [4]). Assume V has no singularity of codimension 1. By [2, p. 10] or the reference to F. K. Schmidt in [4, p. 376], one can construct an element  $c \ne 0$  in the conductor of k[x]; for k(x)/k separable, see [4, p. 365]. If (c) = (1), which we can decide, then V is normal, and if  $(c) \ne (1)$ , then by [2, p. 5] or [4, p. 363f], V is normal if and only if (c) is unmixed. Let  $(c) = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_s \cap \cdots \cap Q_t$  be a normal decomposition of (c) into primary

ideals with  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  the primaries belonging to the minimal primes of (c). We can construct  $Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_s$  and compare it with (c); assume (c) is mixed. Let  $d \in Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_s$  not in (c). Taking note that the local rings of k[x] with respect to its minimal primes are the same as the local rings of  $k[x]^*$  with respect to its minimal primes, we see that d/c is integral over k[x] but not in it. The same reasoning shows that  $Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_s/c$  is the full integral closure of k[x]. Thus problem 1 is solved and so is the main problem if V has no singularity of codimension 1.

Assuming r > 1, we cut V by a generic hyperplane H. Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ be indeterminates and place  $z = u_1 x_1 + \cdots + u_n x_n$ . Then by [4, p. 367], the ring of this section is k(u, z)[x]. Now let  $u_{i1}, \dots, u_{in}, i = 1, \dots, r-1$ , be indeterminates and place  $z_i = u_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + u_{in}x_n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ . Then k(u, z)[x] is 1-dimensional. Assuming the main problem solved for r = 1, let  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  be a k(u, z)[x]-module basis of  $k(u, z)[x]^*$ ; we may suppose  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  are integral over k(u)[z, x] = k(u)[x]. Further, by an argument given above, we may suppose  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  to be in k(x); they are, then, integral over k[x]. It is not to be expected that the variety V' having (x, y)as generic point over k is normal; however, it is free of singularities of codimension 1. In fact, suppose p is an (r-1)-dimensional prime in k[x, y]such that its local ring  $k[x, y]_n$  is not regular. Then also the local ring  $k(u)[x, y]_{p}$  is not regular; but (since the  $z_{i}$  are algebraically independent over  $k(u) \mod p$  this is the same as the local ring of  $k(u, z)[x, y]_p$  in k(u, z)[x, y], a contradiction. Hence, by the preceding paragraph, we can construct  $k[x, y]^* = k[x]^*$ . Thus the main problem is reduced to r = 1. (Cf. [2, p. 6f].)

Let, then,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a generic point for a curve V/k. We (first) assume k(x)/k separable. By our condition (P), which allows us to check for p-independence, we can decide whether a given element a of k has a pth root in k (p = characteristic); and if it does not, then adjoining  $a^{1/p}$  to k we get an extension of k linearly disjoint from k(x)/k. As mentioned, if we can solve our (main) problem over  $k(a^{1/p})$  we can work back to get a solution over k. Hence we can freely adjoin pth roots to our base field. We are given the ideal P of relations of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)/k$ , via a basis, and we adjoin the pth roots of the coefficients of the basis elements to k and may thus suppose they are in k. The result is that the singularities of V (over the new k) become absolute (in effect, given by the Jacobian rather than the mixed-Jacobian of the basis). V may lose its singularities and thus become normal in the process, but this makes no difference.

Assume for a moment that k is algebraically closed. Let P be a point on V, say the origin. If no branch of V centered at P has its tangent in  $X_1 = 0$  (equivalently: if  $X_1 = 0$  is not tangent to V at P), then  $v(x_1) \le V$ 

 $v(x_i)$  in every branch centered at P,  $i=2,\cdots,n$ , and hence  $x_i/x_1$  is integral over the local ring at P. Even if V is tangent to  $X_1=0$  at P, but assuming V is not  $in\ X_1=0$ , one can compute a  $\rho$  such that  $x_i^\rho/x_1$  is integral over the local ring at P; in fact obviously  $\rho=$  order of V will do.

Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a generic point of V/k, k(x)/k separable, and, as above, with the singularities of V absolute. We subject V to a generic homogeneous nonsingular linear transformation. Here we adjoin  $n^2$  indeterminates  $u_{ij}$  to k, but, as explained, we can later remove them, so we write k for k(u); and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  for the "transformed" variables. As mentioned, we can compute a polynomial  $g_i^*(X) \in k[X]$  whose roots are precisely the ith coordinates of the singularities of V. Then, as in [2, p. 20], after extending k by some  $p^e$ th roots, we get a polynomial  $g_i(X) \in k[X]$  having these coordinates as roots with multiplicity 1. Let  $\overline{V}$  be the variety having  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  as generic point over  $\overline{k}$ , the algebraic closure of k. Because of the nonspecial position of V, by an argument given above,  $g_i(x_i)/g_1(x_1)$ will be integral over the local ring of  $\overline{V}/\overline{k}$  of each point of  $\overline{V}$  that is singular for V/k; it will also be in the local ring of any point P for which  $g_1(P)$  $\neq$  0. There remain the simple points (of V) on  $g_1(X_1) = 0$ . Because of the generic direction of  $X_i = 0$ , no two points of  $V \cap (g_1(X_1) = 0)$  have the same ith coordinates (i > 1). We can compute a polynomial  $P_i^*(X)$  having these ith coordinates as roots, and, as before, with multiplicity 1. Then  $P_i$  $P_i^*/g_i$  will be a polynomial over k having as roots the ith coordinates of the simple points (of V) on  $g_1(X_1) = 0$ ; and G.C.D.  $(g_i, P_i) = 1$ . As above, we can compute a  $\rho$  such that  $z_i = g_i(x_i)(P_i(x_i))^{\rho}/g_1(x_1)$  is integral over every local ring of  $\overline{V}/\overline{k}$ , hence integral over  $\overline{k}[x]$ , and over k[x]. If V has singularities, the  $z_i$  will not all be in k[x], for if P is one such singularity and  $z_i \in Q(P/V)$ , the local ring, then from  $g_i(x_i)(P_1(x_i))^{\rho}/g_1(x_1) \in Q(P/V)$ we get a polynomial in  $\overline{k}[X_1 - X_1(P), \dots, X_n - X_n(P)]$  vanishing over V and having for linear terms a linear term in  $X_i - X_i(P)$ ; if this happens for all i, then P is simple on V by the Jacobian criterion. Hence at least one  $z_i$  is not in k[x]; it is easy to decide which  $z_i$  are in k[x], after converting this question into one on polynomials. This solves problem 2 (for dim V=1, k(x)/k separable, and for an augmented k).

After a nonspecial homogeneous linear transformation on  $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$  over k, we may suppose k[x] is integral over  $k[x_1]$ . Let  $w_1, \cdots, w_m$  be a linear basis of  $k(x)/k(x_1)$ . Place  $\operatorname{Tr} w_i w_j = \sum w_i^{(k)} w_j^{(k)}$ , where the superscript indicates conjugation  $k(x_1)$ . Then  $d(w) = \det(\operatorname{Tr} w_i w_j)$  is the discriminant of the basis  $w_1, \cdots, w_m$  and is  $\neq 0$ . If  $w_i' = \sum a_{ij} w_j$ , with  $a_{ij} \in k(x_1)$ , is another basis of  $k(x)/k(x_1)$ , then  $d(w') = (\det A^2)d(w)$ ; here  $A = \|a_{ij}\|$ . Now let  $w_1, \cdots, w_m$  be integral over  $k[x_1]$ , whence  $d(w) \in k[x_1]$ , and let  $w_1'$  be integral over  $k[x_1, w_1, \cdots, w_m]$  but not in it. Write  $w_1' = k(x_1)$ 

 $(a_1w_1 + \cdots + a_mw_m)/c$ , with  $a_i$ ,  $c \in k[x_1]$ . We may assume  $a_i = 0$  or  $\deg a_i < \deg c, i = 1, \dots, m$ ; and at least one  $a_i \neq 0$ , say  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Place  $w_2' = w_2, \dots, w_m' = w_m$ . Then  $d(w') = (a_1^2/c^2)d(w)$ , whence deg d(w') < wdeg d(w). Starting with  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  in k[x], the process can be applied at most d(w) times, a bound that does not change even upon successive adjunctions to k of indeterminates and pth roots. Hence we soon get to the integral closure of k[x] and the main problem is solved, over an augmented k. As mentioned earlier, we can work back to the original k. Now the main problem (and with it problem 2) is solved for any explicitly given k satisfying (P) and k(x)/k separable.

The above construction does not use our condition (F), the condition that one should be able to factor a polynomial effectively over k. Cf. [2, pp. 8, 16].

Finally, there is the problem (for r = 1) of reducing to the separable case; this is done on pp. 9-10 of [2] and involves successive adjunctions of pth roots to the base field: any such extension is either inner, i.e., for a pth root  $a^{1/p}$ ,  $a^{1/p} \in k(x)$ , or outer, i.e.,  $a^{1/p} \notin k(x)$ ; and assuming condition (P) for k, we can decide which by [2, p. 12] or [3,  $\S40$ ]. An outer extension yields a field  $k(a^{1/p})$  linearly disjoint from k(x)/k; and we have said above how to meet this. If the extension is inner, the ring to be constructed does not change, but we have to compute the ideal of relations for  $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  over the (new) base field  $k(a^{1/p})$ ; if  $a^{1/p} = f(x)/g(x)$  with f,  $g \in k[X]$ , then this is  $(P, a^{1/p}g(X) - f(X))$ :  $g(X)^{p-1}$ , as one easily checks.

The third subsidiary problem was to count the number of steps. The above considerations involve no new difficulty in this regard.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. H. Kurke, Review of "Construction of the integral closure of a finite integral domain", Math. Rev. 45 (1973), 624.
- 2. A. Seidenberg, Construction of the integral closure of a finite integral domain, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano 40 (1970), 101-120.
- 3. ————, Constructions in algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 197 (1974), 273-313.
  4. ————, The hyperplane sections of normal varieties, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 357-386. MR 12, 279.
- 5. G. Stolzenberg, Constructive normalization of an algebraic variety, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 595-599. MR 37 #201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALI-FORNIA 94720