

## A COMMON FIXED-POINT THEOREM FOR COMPACT CONVEX SEMIGROUPS OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

RONALD E. BRUCK, JR.<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $C$  be a bounded closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space and let  $S$  be a semigroup of nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  which is convex and compact in the topology of weak pointwise convergence. If  $S$  has the property that  $\overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{R}(s_1) \cap \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{R}(s_2) \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ , then  $S$  has a common fixed point and  $F(S)$  is a nonexpansive retract of  $C$ .

Throughout this paper,  $C$  denotes a bounded closed convex subset of a (real or complex) Banach space  $X$ . A family  $S$  of mappings  $s: C \rightarrow C$  is a *semigroup* if it is closed under composition;  $S$  is *convex* if it is convex in the vector space  $X^C$  (with the usual pointwise operations). By a common fixed point of  $S$  we mean a point  $x$  such that  $s(x) = x$  for all  $s$  in  $S$ ; the set of common fixed points is denoted by  $F(S)$ . We give  $X^C$  the product topology after giving  $X$  its weak topology, so that compactness of  $S$  refers to its compactness in the topology of *weak* pointwise convergence. We say that  $S$  satisfies (FP), (F), (D+), (D), or (I), according to whether the following hold for every pair  $s_1, s_2$  in  $S$ :

- (FP):  $S$  has a common fixed point;
- (F):  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  have a common fixed point;
- (D+):  $\mathcal{R}(s_1) \cap \mathcal{R}(s_2) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (D):  $\text{dis}(\mathcal{R}(s_1), \mathcal{R}(s_2)) = 0$ ;
- (I):  $\overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{R}(s_1) \cap \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{R}(s_2) \neq \emptyset$ ,

where  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  denotes the range of  $s$  and  $\overline{\text{co}}$  denotes convex closure. Evidently  $(\text{FP}) \Rightarrow (\text{F}) \Rightarrow (\text{D+}) \Rightarrow (\text{D})$  and, if  $C$  is weakly compact,  $(\text{D}) \Rightarrow (\text{I})$ . Evidently, too, the nature of conditions (D+), (D), and (I) is different from the nature of (FP) and (F): the former are nonseparation assumptions on the *ranges* of mappings in  $S$ , and do not directly refer to fixed points. Nevertheless, our main result is that  $(\text{I}) \Rightarrow (\text{FP})$  if  $X$  is strictly convex and the mappings in  $S$  are nonexpansive. Indeed,  $F(S)$  is then a nonexpansive retract of  $C$ —the range of a nonexpansive retraction. (For properties of nonexpansive retracts, see [1], [2], [3].)

---

Received by the editors September 23, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Common fixed point, nonexpansive retract, semigroup.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant GP-38516.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is strictly convex and  $S$  is a compact, convex semi-group of nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  which satisfies (I), then  $F(S)$  is a nonempty nonexpansive retract of  $C$ .*

**Proof.** Define a partial order  $\leq$  on  $S$  by setting  $f < g$  to mean  $\|fx - fy\| \leq \|gx - gy\|$  for all  $x, y$  in  $C$ , with inequality holding for at least one pair  $x, y$ , and  $f \leq g$  to mean  $f < g$  or  $f = g$ . This order was introduced in [2], [3]. As in the proof of [3, Lemma 2], there exists a minimal element  $r$  in  $(S, \leq)$ , and each  $s$  in  $S$  acts as an isometry on  $\mathcal{R}(r)$ :

$$(1) \quad \|sr(x) - sr(y)\| = \|r(x) - r(y)\|.$$

[The proof of Lemma 2 in [3] is inaccurate in that the initial segments  $\text{Is}(g) = \{f \in S: f \leq g\}$  are not compact, as claimed. However, if  $g_1 < g_2$  then  $\text{cl Is}(g_1)$  is compact and is contained in  $\text{Is}(g_2)$ , and this is all that is needed to prove the existence of a minimal  $r$ .]

If  $r$  is minimal in  $(S, \leq)$  and  $s \in S$ , then  $\frac{1}{2}sr + \frac{1}{2}r \in S$  and

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(\frac{1}{2}sr + \frac{1}{2}r)(x) - (\frac{1}{2}sr + \frac{1}{2}r)(y)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|sr(x) - sr(y)\| + \frac{1}{2}\|r(x) - r(y)\| \leq \|r(x) - r(y)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Equality must hold throughout (2) since  $r$  is minimal, hence, by (1) and the strict convexity of  $X$ ,  $sr(x) - sr(y) = r(x) - r(y)$ . Rephrased, if  $r$  is minimal in  $S$ , then each  $s$  in  $S$  acts as a translation on  $\mathcal{R}(r)$ .

In particular,  $r$  acts as a translation on  $\mathcal{R}(r)$ . But  $\mathcal{R}(r)$  is bounded and  $r$ -invariant, so this means  $r$  acts as the identity on  $\mathcal{R}(r)$ . Thus  $r$  is a (non-expansive) retraction of  $C$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(r)$ .

Let  $r_1, r_2$  be a minimal in  $(S, \leq)$ . We claim  $\mathcal{R}(r_1) = \mathcal{R}(r_2)$ . Indeed, we have already shown that  $r_1$  acts as a translation by some vector  $v$  on  $\mathcal{R}(r_2)$  and as the identity on  $\mathcal{R}(r_1)$ ; but  $\mathcal{R}(r_1)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(r_2)$  are closed and convex (they are the fixed-point sets of the nonexpansive mappings  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , and  $X$  is strictly convex; see [6]); so condition (I) implies  $\mathcal{R}(r_1) \cap \mathcal{R}(r_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $v = 0$ . That is,  $r_1$  acts as the identity on  $\mathcal{R}(r_2)$ , so that  $\mathcal{R}(r_2) \subset \mathcal{R}(r_1)$ . By symmetry,  $\mathcal{R}(r_1) = \mathcal{R}(r_2)$  as claimed.

Next, we claim that if  $r$  is minimal in  $(S, \leq)$  then  $\mathcal{R}(r) = F(S)$ . Obviously  $F(S) \subset \mathcal{R}(r)$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, let  $s \in S$ . By virtue of (1),  $sr$  is also minimal in  $(S, \leq)$ . But we have shown that minimal elements of  $S$  are retractions, all of which have the same range; therefore  $sr$  is a retraction of  $C$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(r)$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{R}(r)$  then  $r(x) = x$  and  $sr(x) = x$ ; so  $s(x) = x$ . Since this is true for all  $s$  in  $S$ , we have proven  $\mathcal{R}(r) \subset F(S)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{R}(r) = F(S)$ .

$F(S)$  is nonempty because, obviously,  $\mathcal{R}(r) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $r$  is a nonexpansive retraction of  $C$  onto  $F(S)$ . Q.E.D.

In practice, the most onerous assumption in Theorem 1 is that  $S$  is compact in the topology of weak pointwise convergence. It is usually fairly easy to generate convex semigroups which satisfy (I). For example, suppose  $T: C \rightarrow C$  is nonexpansive. The existence of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\lim_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$  is standard; put  $S = \{s: s \text{ is a nonexpansive self-mapping of } C \text{ and } \lim_n \|x_n - s(x_n)\| = 0\}$ . Obviously  $S$  is convex and satisfies (D); hence, if  $C$  is also weakly compact,  $S$  satisfies (I).  $S$  is a semigroup because

$$\|s_1 s_2(x) - x\| \leq \|s_1 s_2(x) - s_1(x)\| + \|s_1(x) - x\| \leq \|s_2(x) - x\| + \|s_1(x) - x\|$$

whenever  $s_1$  is nonexpansive. Evidently  $T \in S$ , so that a common fixed point of  $S$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . But we are unable to use Theorem 1 to prove the existence of a fixed point of  $T$  because apparently  $S$  may not be compact.

The situation is different when  $C$  is strongly compact.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is strictly convex,  $C$  is strongly compact, and  $S$  is merely a convex semigroup of nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  which satisfies (I), then  $S$  also satisfies (FP).*

**Proof.** Since  $C$  is compact and  $S$  is equicontinuous, the closure  $\bar{S}$  of  $S$  in  $C^C$  is also the closure of  $S$  in the topology of uniform convergence, and the weak pointwise convergence topology on  $\bar{S}$  is the same as the topology of uniform convergence [5, p. 232]. Obviously  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  have the same fixed points. Since mappings in  $\bar{S}$  can be uniformly approximated by mappings in  $S$ , it is easy to see that  $\bar{S}$  satisfies (I) if  $S$  does. By Theorem 1, therefore,  $\bar{S}$  satisfies (FP), hence so does  $S$ . Q.E.D.

**Example.** (I) does not imply (FP) if  $X$  is not strictly convex, even if  $C$  is compact. We give an example patterned after DeMarr [4]. Let  $X$  be  $R^2$  with the sup norm and let  $C$  be the square  $\{(x, y): |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1\}$ . For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  define  $f_t(x, y) = (|y| - t, y)$ , and put  $S = \{f_t: 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . Since  $f_t f_s = f_t$  and  $\lambda f_t + (1 - \lambda)f_s = f_{\lambda t + (1 - \lambda)s}$ ,  $S$  is a convex semigroup. Evidently  $S$  is compact and each  $f_t$  in  $S$  is nonexpansive. (I) is satisfied because the range of  $f_t$  is the broken line segment joining  $(1 - t, 1)$  to  $(-t, 0)$  to  $(1 - t, -1)$ , so that  $(0, 0) \in \bigcap \{\overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{R}(f_t): 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . Nevertheless, none of the conditions (FP), (F), (D+), or (D) is satisfied.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. E. Bruck, Jr., *A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **53** (1974), 59–71.
2. ———, *Nonexpansive retracts of Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 384–386. MR 41 #794.
3. ———, *Properties of fixed-points sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973), 251–262. MR 48 #2843.

4. R. DeMarr, *Common fixed points for commuting contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 1139–1141. MR 28 #2446.
5. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955. MR 16, 1136.
6. H. Schaefer, *Über die Methode sukzessiver Approximationen*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 59 (1957), 131–140. MR 18, 811.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90007