## TORUS-LIKE PRODUCTS OF $\lambda$ CONNECTED CONTINUA

## CHARLES L. HAGOPIAN

ABSTRACT. Recently the author [5] proved that  $\lambda$  connected continua X and Y are arc-like if and only if the topological product  $X \times Y$  is disk-like. Here we present an analogous theorem that generalizes the result of Fort [2] and Ganea [3] that disks are not torus-like. We prove that  $\lambda$  connected continua X and Y are circle-like if and only if  $X \times Y$  is torus-like.

We call a nondegenerate compact connected metric space a *continuum*. A *map* is a continuous single-valued function.

A continuum X is circle-like if for each positive number  $\epsilon$ , there is an  $\epsilon$ -map (i.e., a map such that each point-preimage has diameter  $<\epsilon$ ) of X onto a circle. Torus-like continua are defined in the same manner. Here a torus is the cartesian product of two circles.

A continuum is *decomposable* if it is the union of two proper subcontinua. A continuum is *hereditarily decomposable* if all of its subcontinua are decomposable. If each two points of a continuum X can be joined by a hereditarily decomposable subcontinuum of X, then X is said to be  $\lambda$  connected.

A continuum Y is called a *triod* if it contains a subcontinuum Z such that Y-Z is the union of three nonempty disjoint open sets. When a continuum does not contain a triod, it is said to be *atriodic*.

A continuum is unicoherent provided that if it is the union of two subcontinua E and F, then  $E \cap F$  is connected.

For any two metric spaces  $(X, \psi)$  and  $(Y, \phi)$ , we shall always assume that the distance between two points  $p_1 = (x_1, y_1)$  and  $p_2 = (x_2, y_2)$  of the topological product  $X \times Y$  is defined by

$$\rho(p_1, p_2) = ((\psi(x_1, x_2))^2 + (\phi(y_1, y_2))^2)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that X and Y are  $\lambda$  connected continua and that  $X \times Y$  is torus-like. Then X is atriodic, every proper subcontinuum of X is unicoherent, and X is not unicoherent.

**Proof.** Let  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  be distance functions for X and Y respectively.

Received by the editors August 9, 1974 and, in revised form, September 20, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54B10, 54C10, 54F20, 54F25, 54F65; Secondary 54B25, 54C05, 54F55, 54F60, 57A05.

Key words and phrases. Circle-like continua, torus-like product, lambda connected continua, hereditarily decomposable continua, triod, unicoherence,  $\epsilon$ -mappings onto a torus.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

Define  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  to be disjoint subcontinua of Y. Note that if  $\epsilon = \phi(Y_1, Y_2)$  and f is an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $X \times Y$  onto a torus, then either  $f[X \times Y_1]$  or  $f[X \times Y_2]$  can be embedded in a 2-sphere [8, Lemma 1]. It follows from paragraphs 2 through 4 in the proof of Theorem 1 in [5] that X is atriodic. By the argument presented in paragraphs 5 through 13 in the same proof, every proper subcontinuum of X is unicoherent. Note that Y is atriodic and every proper subcontinuum of Y is unicoherent.

Now suppose that X is unicoherent. By Theorem 2 of [5], X is hereditarily decomposable. Hence there is a monotone map g of X onto the unit interval [0, 1] [1, Theorem 8]. Define  $\epsilon_1$  to be the minimum of

$$\{\psi(g^{-1}[[0, n/9]], g^{-1}[[(n+1)/9, 1]]) \mid n = 1, 2, ..., 7\}.$$

Assume that Y is unicoherent. Then Y is hereditarily decomposable and there exists a monotone map h of Y onto [0, 1].

Define  $\epsilon$  to be a positive number less than  $\epsilon_1$ ,  $\phi(h^{-1}(0), h^{-1}[[1/3, 1]])$ ,  $\phi(h^{-1}(1), h^{-1}[[0, 2/3]])$ , and  $\phi(h^{-1}[[0, 1/3]], h^{-1}[[2/3, 1]])$ . Let f be an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $X \times Y$  onto a torus T.

At least one of the disjoint continua  $f[g^{-1}[[0, 4/9]] \times Y]$  and  $f[g^{-1}[[5/9, 1]] \times Y]$  is lying in a planar connected open subset of T. We assume without loss of generality that a planar connected open set S in T contains  $f[g^{-1}[[0, 4/9]] \times Y]$ .

The continuum  $K = f[g^{-1}[[2/9, 1/3]] \times Y]$  separates  $L = f[g^{-1}(0) \times Y]$  from  $M = f[g^{-1}(4/9) \times Y]$  in T. Hence K separates L from M in S. Note that the intersection of

$$f[g^{-1}[[2/9, 1/3]] \times b^{-1}[[0, 2/3]]]$$
 and  $f[g^{-1}[[2/9, 1/3]] \times b^{-1}[[1/3, 1]]]$ 

is the continuum  $f[g^{-1}[[2/9, 1/3]] \times h^{-1}[[1/3, 2/3]]]$ . It follows from Janiszewski's theorem [7, Theorem 20, p. 173] that either

$$E = f[g^{-1}[[2/9, 1/3]] \times h^{-1}[[0, 2/3]]]$$

or  $f[g^{-1}[[2/9, 1/3]] \times h^{-1}[[1/3, 1]]]$  separates L from M in S.

We assume without loss of generality that E separates L from M in S. But  $f[g^{-1}[[0, 4/9]] \times b^{-1}(1)]$  is a continuum in S that meets both L and M and misses E, a contradiction. Hence Y is not unicoherent.

According to Lemma 2 of [6], Y is not separated by any of its subcontinua. By Theorem 5 of [4], there exists a monotone map k of Y onto a circle C.

Define  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$ ,  $Z_3$ , and  $Z_4$  to be arcs whose interiors are pairwise disjoint such that  $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 Z_i$  and  $Z_1 \cap Z_3 = \emptyset = Z_2 \cap Z_4$ . Let  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ ,  $V_3$ , and  $V_4$  be arcs in C such that  $V_1 \cap V_3 = \emptyset = V_2 \cap V_4$ , and for each integer i  $(1 \le i \le 4)$ , the interior of  $V_i$  contains  $Z_i$ .

Define  $\epsilon'$  to be a positive number less than  $\epsilon_1$ ,  $\phi(k^{-1}[V_1], k^{-1}[V_3])$ ,  $\phi(k^{-1}[V_2], k^{-1}[V_4])$ , and the minimum of  $\{\phi(k^{-1}[Z_i], k^{-1}[C-V_i])| i=1$ , 2, 3, and 4}. Let t be an  $\epsilon'$ -map of  $X \times Y$  onto the torus T.

For each integer i  $(1 \le i \le 4)$  define  $A_i = t[X \times k^{-1}[V_i]]$ . Note that  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_i$  and  $A_1 \cap A_3 = \emptyset = A_2 \cap A_4$ .

Using arcs in T that approximate each  $t[g^{-1}(0) \times k^{-1}[Z_i]]$ , we define for each i  $(1 \le i \le 4)$  an arc  $\alpha_i$  in  $A_i \cap t[g^{-1}[[0, 2/9]] \times Y]$  such that  $\alpha = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i$  is a simple closed curve. By Fort's lemma [2], there is a retraction r of T onto  $\alpha$ . The torus T is not separated by  $\alpha$ ; for otherwise, r restricted to the closure of the planar component of  $T - \alpha$  would be a retraction of a disk onto its boundary, which is impossible. Note that  $\alpha$  lies in  $t[g^{-1}[[0, 2/9]] \times Y]$ .

In a similar manner, we define simple closed curves  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  contained in  $B = t[g^{-1}[[1/3, 2/3]] \times Y]$  and  $t[g^{-1}[[7/9, 1]] \times Y]$ , respectively, such that neither  $\beta$  nor  $\gamma$  separates T.

Since  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are pairwise disjoint,  $T - (\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma)$  has three components. Let H be the component of  $T - (\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma)$  whose boundary is  $\alpha \cup \gamma$ . Note that H does not meet  $\beta$ .

Since B is a continuum in T that contains  $\beta$  and misses  $\alpha \cup \gamma$ , B does not intersect H. Thus H is contained in the union of disjoint continua

$$A = t[g^{-1}[[0, 1/3]] \times Y]$$
 and  $G = t[g^{-1}[[2/3, 1]] \times Y]$ .

Since  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  lie in A and G, respectively, it follows that H meets both A and G. But this implies that H is not connected, a contradiction. Hence X is not unicoherent.

Theorem 2. Suppose that X and Y are  $\lambda$  connected continua. Then X and Y are circle-like if and only if  $X \times Y$  is torus-like.

**Proof.** If  $X \times Y$  is torus-like, then X and Y are both atriodic nonunicoherent  $\lambda$  connected continua with the property that every proper subcontinuum is unicoherent (Theorem 1). It follows from Theorem 2 of [6] that X and Y are circle-like.

To see that the torus-like product condition is also necessary, note that if f is an  $\epsilon/2$ -map of X onto a circle C and g is an  $\epsilon/2$ -map of Y onto C, then the function h of  $X \times Y$  onto the torus  $C \times C$  defined by h((x, y)) = (f(x), g(y)) is an  $\epsilon$ -map.

Question. Must continua X and Y (not necessarily  $\lambda$  connected) be circle-like when  $X \times Y$  is torus-like?

The author gratefully acknowledges conversations about the topics of this paper with R. W. Fitzgerald and F. B. Jones.

## REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, Snake-like continua, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), 653-663. MR 13, 265.

- 2. M. K. Fort, Jr., ε-mappings of a disc onto a torus, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 7 (1959), 51-54. MR 21 #325.
- 3. T. Ganea, On  $\epsilon$ -maps onto manifolds, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 35-44. MR 21 #4427.
- 4. C. L. Hagopian, λ connectivity and mappings onto a chainable indecomposable continuum, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 132-136.
- 5. ——, Disk-like products of λ connected continua. I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 448-452.
- 6. ——, Disk-like products of λ connected continua. II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1975), 479-484.
- 7. R. L. Moore, Foundations of point set theory, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1962. MR 27 #709.
- 8. J. H. Roberts and N. E. Steenrod, Monotone transformations of two-dimensional manifolds, Ann. of Math. 39 (1938), 851-863.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95819