

## A COEFFICIENT ESTIMATE FOR MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

DAVID J. HALLENBECK AND ALBERT E. LIVINGSTON

**ABSTRACT.** By making use of extreme point theory we obtain bounds on the coefficients of a class of functions, multivalent in the unit disk, closely related to the bounds conjectured by Goodman.

**I. Introduction.** Let  $S(p, q)$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  integers,  $1 \leq q \leq p$ , be the class of functions  $f(z)$  analytic in  $\Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$  with power series expansion  $f(z) = \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $z \in \Delta$ , and for which there exists a  $\rho = \rho(f)$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) d\theta = 2p\pi \quad \text{for } z = re^{i\theta}, \rho < r < 1.$$

Functions in  $S(p, q)$  are  $p$ -valent and are referred to as multivalent starlike functions [2]. We let  $S_1(p, q)$  be the subclass of  $S(p, q)$  of functions which are analytic on  $|z| = 1$  and satisfy (1.1) and (1.2) on  $|z| = 1$ .

We define the class  $K(p, q)$  [5] to be the class of functions  $f(z) = z^q + \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $z \in \Delta$ , for which there exists  $g(z)$  in some  $S(p, t)$ , an  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$ , and a  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ , such that

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } \rho < |z| < 1.$$

Functions in  $K(p, q)$  are called multivalent close-to-convex functions. We let  $K_1(p, q)$  be the subclass of functions  $f(z)$  in  $K(p, q)$  which are analytic on  $|z| = 1$  and for which there exists  $g(z)$  in some  $S_1(p, t)$  and an  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$ , such that (1.3) holds on  $|z| = 1$ . It is known [5] that if  $f(z)$  is in  $K(p, q)$ ,  $zf'(z)$  has exactly  $p$  zeros in  $\Delta$ . We thus divide  $K(p, q)$  into subclasses according to the location of the nonzero zeros of  $zf'(z)$ . Let  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - q$ , be arbitrary complex numbers satisfying  $0 < |\alpha_i| < 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - q$ , and define  $K(p, q, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  to be the class of functions  $f(z)$  such that  $f(z)$  is in  $K(p, q)$  and  $zf'(z) = 0$  for  $z = \alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - q$ . Furthermore we let  $K_1(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  be the subclass of functions in

---

Received by the editors February 14, 1975.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 30A32, 30A34.

*Key words and phrases.* Extreme point, closed convex hull, multivalent function, multivalent starlike function, multivalent close-to-convex function.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

$K(p, q, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  which are also in  $K_1(p, q)$ .

A class related to  $K(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  is the class  $\widehat{K}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  defined by the following:  $f(z)$  is in  $\widehat{K}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  if  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ ,  $f(z) = z^q + \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  for  $z$  in  $\Delta$  and  $zf'(z)$  has the representation

$$(1.4) \quad zf'(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(z - \alpha_i)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i z)}{z^{p-q} \prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(-\alpha_i)} p(z)h(z)$$

where  $h(z)$  is in  $S(p, p)$  and  $p(z)$  satisfies  $p(0) = q$  and there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\delta} p(z) > 0$  for  $z$  in  $\Delta$ .

It has been conjectured by Goodman [1] that for a function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , which is analytic and  $p$ -valent in  $\Delta$ , the coefficients satisfy the inequalities

$$|a_n| \leq \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{2k(n+p)!}{(p+k)!(p-k)!(n-p-1)!(n^2-k^2)} |a_k|$$

for  $n \geq p+1$ . These inequalities are known to be true for  $K(p, p)$  and  $K(p, p-1)$  [6] but not for  $K(p, q)$  if  $q < p-1$ . The situation is similar for the classes  $S(p, q)$  except that the inequalities are known to be true for functions in  $S(p, q)$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq p$ , whose power series have real coefficients [3]. In §III of this paper we show that by making use of linear methods, inequalities closely related to those conjectured by Goodman [1] can be proven for the class  $K(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ .

In [4], by making use of extreme point theory, we obtained results concerning  $K(p, p)$ . In particular, we obtained the sharp bounds on the coefficients of any function majorized by a function in  $K(p, p)$ . We also found the sharp bounds on the coefficients of any function majorized by a function in  $S(p, p)$ . We remark that this same result can be proven for the class  $K(p, q)$  but again as in [4] we do not give the simple proof.

## II. Classes of functions related to $K(p, q)$ .

THEOREM 1.  $K(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q}) \subset \widehat{K}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ .

PROOF. Suppose first that  $f(z)$  is in  $K_1(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ ; then [5] there exists  $h(z)$  in  $S_1(p, p)$  and a  $\beta$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}[e^{i\beta} zf'(z)/h(z)] > 0$  on  $|z| = 1$ . As in [5] we easily see that

$$g(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(z - \alpha_i)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i z)}{z^{p-q} \prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(-\alpha_i)} h(z) \quad \text{is in } S_1(p, q).$$

Let  $\delta = \beta - \arg \prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(-\alpha_i)$ ; then  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\delta} [zf'(z)/g(z)] > 0$  for  $|z| = 1$ . But since  $zf'(z)/g(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| \leq 1$  we have  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\delta} zf'(z)/g(z)) > 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ . Letting  $p(z) = zf'(z)/g(z)$  we obtain (1.1) for  $zf'(z)$ .

Next, if  $f(z)$  is analytic only for  $|z| < 1$ , there exists a  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ , such that  $g_r(z) = r^{-q} f(rz)$  is in  $K_1(p, q)$  for  $\rho < r < 1$ . Since  $g'_r(z) = 0$  for  $z = \alpha_i/r$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-q$ , we have

$$(1.5) \quad zg'_r(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(z - \alpha_i/r)(1 - \bar{\alpha}_i z/r)}{z^{p-q} \prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(-\alpha_i/r)} p_r(z)h_r(z)$$

where  $h_r(z)$  is in  $S_1(p, q)$ ,  $p_r(0) = q$  and there exists  $\delta_r$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\delta_r} p_r(z)) > 0$ . Using the fact that the families of functions  $e^{i\delta_r} p_r(z)$  and  $h_r(z)$  belong to normal and compact families, we easily obtain (1.1) from (1.5) upon passing to the limit.

We define the class  $\hat{C}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  to be the class of functions  $f(z)$ , analytic in  $\Delta$ , such that  $zf'(z)$  has the representation

$$(1.6) \quad zf'(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(z - \alpha_i)(1 - \overline{\alpha_i}z)}{z^{p-q} \prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(-\alpha_i)} p(z) z^p \prod_{s=1}^{2p} (1 - e^{-i\theta_s} z)^{-1}$$

where  $\alpha_i$ ,  $0 < |\alpha_i| < 1$ , are fixed for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - q$ ;  $p(0) = q$  and there exists  $\delta$  so that  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\delta} p(z)) > 0$  for  $z$  in  $\Delta$  and  $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_{2p} < 2\pi$ .

The class  $\hat{C}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  is defined to be the class of functions  $f(z)$  such that  $zf'(z)$  has the representation (1.6) except that no requirement that the  $\theta_i$  all be different is made. The following lemma is then obvious.

LEMMA 1.  $\hat{C}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  is dense in  $\hat{\hat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ .

We let  $D(p, q)$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$ , analytic in  $\Delta$ , with  $f(z) = z^q + \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  for  $z$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $zf'(z)$  is analytic on  $|z| = 1$  with the exception of  $2p$  simple poles on  $|z| = 1$  and such that there exists a  $\beta = \beta(f)$  so that  $\operatorname{Im} e^{i\beta} (e^{-i\beta/q} z f'(e^{-i\beta/q} z))$  changes sign exactly  $2p$  times on  $|z| = 1$  [i.e., at the poles of  $zf'(z)$ ].

THEOREM 2. Every function in  $\hat{C}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  is the uniform limit in compacta of functions in  $D(p, q)$ .

PROOF. Let  $f(z)$  be in  $\hat{C}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  and suppose that in the representation (1.6) the function  $p(z)$  is analytic on  $|z| = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\delta} p(z) > 0$  for some  $\delta$  on  $|z| = 1$ . We can write

$$(1.7) \quad e^{i\delta} p(z) = \frac{z^{p-q} e^{i\delta} \prod_{i=1}^{p-q} (-\alpha_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q} (z - \alpha_i)(1 - \overline{\alpha_i}z)} \frac{\prod_{s=1}^{2p} (1 - e^{-i\theta_s} z)}{z^p} zf'(z).$$

It is easily seen that

$$\arg \left[ z^{p-q} e^{i\delta} \prod_{i=1}^{p-q} (-\alpha_i) / \prod_{i=1}^{p-q} (z - \alpha_i)(1 - \overline{\alpha_i}z) \right]$$

is constant on  $|z| = 1$  and that  $\arg \prod_{s=1}^{2p} (1 - e^{-i\theta_s} z) / z^p$  is constant for  $z$  on the arc between  $e^{i\theta_j}$  and  $e^{i\theta_{j+1}}$  and that the argument changes by  $\pi$  as  $z$  goes from the arc between  $e^{i\theta_j}$  to  $e^{i\theta_{j+1}}$  to the arc between  $e^{i\theta_{j+1}}$  to  $e^{i\theta_{j+2}}$ . It follows then that there exists a line through the origin such that  $zf'(z)$  lies on one side of the line for  $z$  on the arc between  $e^{i\theta_j}$  and  $e^{i\theta_{j+1}}$  and lies on the other side of the line for  $z$  on the arc from  $e^{i\theta_{j+1}}$  to  $e^{i\theta_{j+2}}$ . Thus there exists a  $\beta = \beta(f)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} e^{i\beta} (e^{-i\beta/q} z f'(e^{-i\beta/q} z))$  changes sign exactly  $2p$  times on  $|z| = 1$ .

If in the representation (1.6),  $p(z)$  is not analytic on  $|z| = 1$ , then for each  $r < 1$  we let  $g_r(z)$  be given by

$$(1.8) \quad zg'_r(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(z - \alpha_i)(1 - \overline{\alpha_i}z)}{z^{p-q} \prod_{i=1}^{p-q}(-\alpha_i)} p(rz) z^p \prod_{s=1}^{2p} (1 - e^{-i\theta_s} z)^{-1}.$$

By the first part of the proof,  $g_r(z)$  is in  $D(p, q)$ . By taking a sequence  $r_n$  converging to 1 we have  $g_{r_n}(z)$  converges uniformly to  $f(z)$  in compacta in  $\Delta$ .

### III. Coefficient problem.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f(z) = z^q + \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $z$  in  $\Delta$ , be in  $D(p, q)$ ; then for  $n \geq p + 1$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad |a_n| \leq \sum_{k=q}^p \frac{2k(n+p)!}{(p+k)!(p-k)!(n-p-1)!(n^2-k^2)} |a_k|$$

where  $a_q = 1$ .

**PROOF.** We may obviously assume that  $\beta = 0$ . With this assumption the proof follows the proof of Theorem 1 in [7] with  $zf'(z)$  identified with the function in the statement of the theorem. We notice first that Lemma 1 of [7] goes through with  $f(z)$  in the statement of that lemma identified with  $zf'(z)$  where  $f(z)$  is in  $D(p, q)$ . It is important to note that in the special case  $p = q = 1$ , the quantities  $\theta_i$  and  $\theta_j$  in the proof of the lemma are such that  $zf'(z)$  has its two simple poles on  $|z| = 1$  at  $e^{i\theta_i}$  and  $e^{i\theta_j}$  and thus the two simple poles of  $e^{i\mu}zf'(e^{i\mu}z)$  are at  $e^{i\nu}$  and  $e^{-i\nu}$ . Thus  $(z + z^{-1} - 2\cos \nu)e^{i\mu}zf'(e^{i\mu}z)$  is analytic on  $|z| = 1$ . The proof of (1.12) on p. 411 in [7] can now be carried out. Let  $g(z)$  be defined as on p. 411 where we identify  $\phi(z)$  with  $zf'(z)$ ; then, as noted above,  $g(z)$  is analytic on  $|z| = 1$ . This is sufficient to carry out the proof. The proof starting on p. 413 can then be followed exactly giving us inequalities on the coefficients of  $zf'(z)$  which in turn give us (2.1).

**REMARK 1.** There are two minor misprints on p. 415 of [7]. The summation in (4.19) should be  $\sum_{s=1}^{p-2}$  instead of  $\sum_{s=1}^{p-1}$  and in (4.21) the numerators in the square brackets should both be 1.

**REMARK 2.** Equality cannot be attained in (2.1). An examination of the proof of (1.12) on p. 411 in [7] yields the fact that if equality occurs, then necessarily  $\nu$  is a multiple of  $\pi$  [i.e. we need  $|(\sin k\nu)/\sin \nu| = k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ]. This would imply that  $zf'(z)$  has a multiple pole on  $|z| = 1$ , which cannot be the case for a function in  $D(p, q)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If*

$$f(z) = z^q + \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

*$z$  in  $\Delta$ , is in  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ , then the inequalities (2.1) are satisfied.*

**PROOF.** This follows immediately upon combining Lemma 1 and Theorems 2 and 3.

We let  $\mathcal{K}B$  denote the closed convex hull of any set  $B$  of functions analytic in  $\Delta$ . The closed convex hulls and extreme points of a variety of classes of multivalent functions were determined in [4].

**THEOREM 4.**  $\mathcal{K}\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q}) = \mathcal{K}\widehat{K}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ .

**PROOF.** We need only prove

$$\mathcal{K}\widehat{\mathcal{C}}'(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q}) = \mathcal{K}\widehat{K}'(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$$

where  $B'$  denotes the class of derivatives of functions in any class  $B$ .

Let  $X$  be the unit circle and  $\mathfrak{P}$  the set of probability measures on  $X$ . If  $zf'(z)$  is given by (1.4) then by the Herglotz representation for functions of positive real part we have

$$(2.2) \quad p(z) = \int_X \frac{x + e^{-i\delta}z}{x - z} d\mu(x)$$

and from [4] we have

$$(2.3) \quad h(z) = \int_X \frac{z^p}{(1 - xz)^{2p}} dv(x)$$

where  $\mu$  and  $v$  are in  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

Combining (1.4), (2.2), and (2.3) it can be seen that

$$(2.4) \quad f'(z) = q \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q} (z - \alpha_i)(1 - \overline{\alpha_i}z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q} (-\alpha_i)} \frac{z^{q-1}(1 - xz)}{(1 - yz)^{2p+1}} d\mu(x, y)$$

where  $\Gamma = X \times X$  and  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\Gamma$ . Letting  $g'(z)$  be the kernel function in (2.4) we see that  $g'(z)$  has the representation (1.4) with  $h(z) = z^p/(1 - yz)^{2p}$  and  $p(z) = (1 - xz)/(1 - yz)$ . It follows that (2.4) with  $\mu$  varying over all probability measures on  $\Gamma$ , gives  $\mathcal{K}\widehat{\widehat{K}}'(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ .

The representation formula for  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$  is like (1.4) except that  $h(z)$  is replaced by a function of the form  $z^p \prod_{s=1}^{2p} (1 - e^{-i\theta_s}z)^{-1}$  which is in  $S(p, p)$ . It is thus seen that (2.4) also holds for  $f(z)$  in  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ . We note that if  $g'(z)$  denotes the kernel function in (2.4) then  $g(z)$  is in  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ . Thus (2.4) with  $\mu$  varying over the probability measures on  $\Gamma$  also gives  $\mathcal{K}\widehat{\widehat{C}}'(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ , thereby proving the theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $f(z) = z^q + \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is in  $\mathcal{K}\widehat{\widehat{K}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ , then for  $n \geq p + 1$ ,*

$$(2.5) \quad |a_n| \leq \sum_{k=q}^p \frac{2k(n+p)!}{(p+k)!(p-k)!(n-p-1)!(n^2-k^2)} b_k$$

where  $a_q = 1$  and  $b_k = \sup |a_k|$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , the sup being taken over all functions in  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ .

**PROOF.** We first note that the  $b_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , exist. This follows from the fact that if  $f(z)$  is in  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ , then the coefficients of  $f'(z)$  are majorized by the coefficients of

$$\frac{q \prod_{i=1}^{p-q} (z + |\alpha_i|)(1 + |\alpha_i|z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{p-q} |\alpha_i|} \frac{z^{q-1}(1 + z)}{(1 - z)^{2p+1}}.$$

[Note that the above function is not in general the derivative of a function in  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ .]

By Corollary 1, inequalities (2.1) and, hence, (2.5) are satisfied in  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ . It is then easily seen that (2.5) holds in

$$\mathcal{K}\widehat{\widehat{C}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{p-q}) = \mathcal{K}\widehat{\widehat{K}}(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q}).$$

We remark that the result above clearly holds for the class  $K(p, q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p-q})$ . It is also clear that the linear methods used in this paper will not give the exact Goodman conjecture [1] but only the closely related inequality proven in Theorem 5.

## REFERENCES

1. A. W. Goodman, *On some determinants related to  $p$ -valent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63**(1948), 175–192. MR9, 421.
2. ———, *On the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation and  $p$ -valent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68**(1950), 204–223. MR11, 508.
3. A. W. Goodman and M. S. Robertson, *A class of multivalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70**(1951), 127–136. MR12, 691.
4. D. J. Hallenbeck and A. E. Livingston, *Applications of extreme point theory to classes of multivalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
5. A. E. Livingston,  *$p$ -valent close-to-convex functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115**(1965), 161–179. MR33#7520.
6. ———, *The coefficients of multivalent close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21**(1969), 545–552. MR39 #4378.
7. M. S. Robertson, *A coefficient problem for functions regular in an annulus*, Canad. J. Math. **4**(1952), 407–423. MR14, 460.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE, NEWARK, DELAWARE 19711