

DIAGONALIZABLE NORMAL OPERATORS

J. P. WILLIAMS¹

ABSTRACT. If the image $\varphi(A)$ of a normal operator A on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a diagonal operator for some nonzero representation φ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (that annihilates the compact operators), then A must itself be a diagonal operator on \mathcal{H} (with countable spectrum). This yields an "algebraic" characterization of the closure of the range of a derivation induced by a diagonal operator.

1. Introduction. If A is a bounded normal operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} can one find a faithful C^* -representation φ of the full algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} such that the eigenvectors of $\varphi(A)$ span the representation space \mathcal{H}_φ , that is, such that $\varphi(A)$ is a diagonal operator on \mathcal{H}_φ ? The question was raised by B. E. Johnson in connection with some work on derivations [4]. Our purpose here is to supply the answer: only if A is already a diagonal operator on \mathcal{H} . We show also that $\varphi(A)$ is a diagonal operator for a nonzero representation φ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that annihilates the compact operators if and only if A is a diagonal operator with countable spectrum.

The nondiagonalizability result as just stated hardly seems surprising. But it is interesting to note that the proof seems to require a deep result only recently discovered. Moreover, Johnson's question is reasonable because an affirmative answer would provide a satisfying result about derivations, or better, because Berberian [1] has shown that one *can* find a faithful representation φ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that each point of the spectrum of $\varphi(A)$ is an eigenvalue.

2. Diagonalizability. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we shall denote by δ_A the inner derivation $X \rightarrow AX - XA$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and by \mathcal{K} the ideal of compact operators on \mathcal{H} .

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a normal operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a nonzero representation φ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_φ (not necessarily faithful) such that $\varphi(A)$ is a diagonal operator on \mathcal{H}_φ .*
- (2) *A is a diagonal operator on \mathcal{H} .*
- (3) *Each positive operator in the norm closure $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$ of the range of δ_A is compact.*
- (4) *Each projection in $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$ has finite rank.*

PROOF. The implications (2) \Rightarrow (1) and (3) \Rightarrow (4) are trivial. Suppose (1)

Received by the editors November 13, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46L05, 47B15, 47A50, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Representations of C^* -algebras, normal operators, range of a derivation.

¹ Research supported by NSF GP 28601.

holds so that $\varphi(A)e_i = \lambda_i e_i$ for some orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ of \mathcal{H}_φ , and let $Z = \lim \delta_A(X_n)$ be a positive operator in $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi(Z)e_i, e_i) &= \lim[(\varphi(A)\varphi(X_n)e_i, e_i) - (\varphi(X_n)\varphi(A)e_i, e_i)] \\ &= \lim[\lambda_i(\varphi(X_n)e_i, e_i) - \lambda_i(\varphi(X_n)e_i, e_i)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sqrt{\varphi(Z)}e_i = 0$ for each i so that $\varphi(Z) = 0$. Thus $Z \in \ker(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{K}$ because \mathcal{H} is separable. Thus (1) \Rightarrow (3).

We complete the proof by showing (4) \Rightarrow (2), or what is the same, that if A is not a diagonal operator then $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$ contains an infinite rank projection. By replacing A with its restriction to the orthocomplement of the span of its eigenvectors, there is no loss of generality in assuming that A itself has no eigenvectors.

Let B be the direct sum of countably many copies of A acting in the usual way on the space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots$. Then by a theorem of I. D. Berg [2] there is a unitary transformation U mapping \mathcal{H} onto $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and a compact operator K on \mathcal{H} such that $U^{-1}BU = A + K$.

Now A and B have no eigenvalues and consequently $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$ and $\mathcal{R}(\delta_B)^-$ respectively contain all the compact operators on \mathcal{H} and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ respectively [6]. Hence $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^- = U^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\delta_B)^-U$. Also, for the same reason, if P_0 is any nonzero projection of finite rank, we can choose a sequence $X_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|\delta_A(X_n) - P_0\| \leq n^{-1}$. Let \tilde{X}_n be the direct sum of countably many copies of X_n and let \tilde{P} be the direct sum of as many copies of P_0 . Then $\|\delta_B(\tilde{X}_n) - \tilde{P}\| \leq n^{-1}$ so that $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{R}(\delta_B)^-$, and consequently, $P = U^{-1}\tilde{P}U$ is a projection of infinite rank in $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$.

3. Essential diagonalizability. A diagonal operator A on a separable space \mathcal{H} has only countably many eigenvalues of course, but the spectrum itself can be any prescribed compact subset of the plane. However, if A is also diagonalizable by a representation of the Calkin algebra, there is a severe restriction on the spectrum. The first assertion of the next theorem was pointed out to me by C. Foiaş.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a normal operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .*

(1) *If $\varphi(A)$ is a diagonal operator for some nonzero representation φ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\varphi(\mathcal{K}) = 0$, then the spectrum of A is countable.*

(2) *Conversely, if A has countable spectrum, then $\varphi(A)$ is a diagonal operator for any nonzero representation φ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

PROOF. (1) Suppose that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A is not countable. Then there is a continuous measure μ with support contained in $\sigma(A)$ [5, p. 176]. (For example, take $\mu = \nu \circ f^{-1}$ where ν is Haar measure on the compact abelian group $G = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and f is a homeomorphism from G into $\sigma(A)$.) Let B_0 be the operator defined by multiplication by the independent variable in $L^2(\mu)$. Then A and $B = B_0 \oplus A$ have the same essential spectrum, so that by Berg's theorem [2] there is a unitary operator U from $L^2(\mu) \oplus \mathcal{H}$ onto \mathcal{H} and a compact operator K with $UBU^{-1} = A + K$. But then $\varphi(UBU^{-1}) = \varphi(A + K) = \varphi(A)$ is diagonal so that (Theorem 1) UBU^{-1} , and therefore B itself, is diagonal. This is a contradiction since B_0 has no eigenvalues.

(2) Suppose that A is a diagonal operator on \mathcal{H} with countable spectrum and let φ be a nonzero representation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_φ . Let $\mathfrak{N} = \varphi(1)\mathcal{H}_\varphi$. If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ then $\varphi(X) = \varphi_0(X) \oplus 0$ on $\mathcal{H}_\varphi = \mathfrak{N} \oplus \mathfrak{N}^\perp$ so that φ_0 is a representation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on \mathfrak{N} and $\varphi_0(1)$ is the identity operator on \mathfrak{N} . In particular, the operator $\varphi_0(A)$ has spectrum contained in $\sigma(A)$ and is therefore countable. It suffices to show, therefore, that a normal operator B on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{N} having countable spectrum is diagonal. This fact is well known: if \mathfrak{N}_0 is the span of the eigenvectors of B then $\mathfrak{N}_1 = \mathfrak{N} \ominus \mathfrak{N}_0$ reduces to 0; otherwise $\sigma(B|_{\mathfrak{N}_1})$, being countable, must have an isolated point and this is necessarily an eigenvalue of B .

REMARK 1. L. G. Brown has observed that Theorem 2 is valid as stated with the weaker hypothesis that the operator A is essentially normal, i.e., that $\pi(A) = A + \mathcal{K}$ is a normal element of the quotient $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}$: if $\sigma(A)$ is uncountable choose a normal operator B_0 with no eigenvalues such that $\sigma(B_0) \subset \sigma(A)$ and let $B = A \oplus B_0 \oplus B_0 \oplus \cdots$. Then $\mathcal{R}(\delta_B)^-$ contains a projection of infinite rank so that $\varphi(B)$ is not a diagonal operator for any nonzero representation φ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Hence if $\varphi(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ then $\varphi(A)$ is also not a diagonal operator because $\pi(A)$ and $\pi(B)$ are unitarily equivalent [3].

2. After this paper was completed the author discovered a preprint of John G. Aiken, *An application of direct integral theory to a question of Calkin*, [Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1974), A493]. Aiken constructs a diagonal operator A such that $T_u(A)$ is not a diagonal operator for any of the "generalized limit" representations T_u of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}$ introduced by J. W. Calkin, thereby answering a question explicitly(!) raised in the latter's famous paper [Ann. of Math. (2) **42** (1941), 839-873. MR 3, 208].

REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Approximate proper vectors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 111—114. MR **24** #A3516.
2. I. D. Berg, *An extension of the Weyl-von Neumann theorem to normal operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **160** (1971), 365—371. MR **44** #840.
3. L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Proc. Conf. on Operator Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 345, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
4. B. E. Johnson and J. P. Williams, *The range of a normal derivation*, Pacific J. Math. **56** (1975).
5. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR **35** #1420.
6. J. P. Williams, *On the range of a derivation*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 273—279. MR **46** #7923.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47401