

## PRODUCTS OF SEQUENTIAL SPACES

YOSHIO TANAKA

Dedicated to Professor Kiiti Morita  
on the occasion of his 60th birthday

**ABSTRACT.** S. P. Franklin introduced the notion of a sequential space and characterized such spaces as being precisely the quotient images of metric spaces.

In this paper we investigate a necessary and sufficient condition for the product of a first countable space with a sequential space to be sequential, and we consider the property "sequential space" in  $X^\omega$ .

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper, by a space we shall mean a regular,  $T_1$ -space.

The symbol  $N$  will refer to the set of natural numbers.

Let us recall that a space  $X$  is *sequential* [2], if a subset  $F$  of  $X$  is closed whenever  $F \cap C$  is closed in  $C$  for every convergent sequence  $C$  together with its limit point.

Metric spaces, or more generally Fréchet (= Fréchet-Urysohn) spaces are sequential. Sequential spaces are  $k$ -spaces.

As is well known [2], the product of a sequential space with a separable metric space need not be sequential.

As for the product of a sequential space with a first countable space, our main theorem, which will be established in §3, reads as follows:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Fréchet space, or a sequential space each of whose points is a  $G_\delta$ -set (or equivalently, a  $k$ -space each of whose points is a  $G_\delta$ -set). Let  $Y$  be first countable. Then  $X \times Y$  is sequential if and only if  $X$  is strongly Fréchet, or  $Y$  is locally countably compact.*

In the necessity, the property "each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set" is essential.

According to Siwiec [12], a space  $X$  is called *strongly Fréchet* (= *countably bisquential* in the sense of E. Michael [6]) if, whenever  $\{F_n; n \in N\}$  (or simply  $\{F_n\}$ ) is a decreasing sequence accumulating at  $x$  in  $X$ , there exist  $x_n \in F_n$  such that the sequence  $\{x_n; n \in N\}$  (or simply  $\{x_n\}$ ) converges to  $x$ .

Metric spaces are strongly Fréchet. Strongly Fréchet spaces are Fréchet.

As a special class of sequential spaces, we shall consider symmetrizable spaces.

According to A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ [1], a space  $X$  is *symmetrizable*, if there is a real valued, nonnegative function  $d$  defined on  $X \times X$  satisfying the

---

Received by the editors November 3, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54B10.

Key words and phrases. Sequential spaces, Fréchet spaces, strongly Fréchet spaces, symmetrizable spaces, semimetrizable spaces.

following: (1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff ( $=$  if and only if)  $x = y$ , (2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ , and (3)  $A \subset X$  is closed iff  $d(x, A) > 0$  for any  $x \in X - A$ .

If we replace (3) by (3)':  $x \in \bar{A}$  iff  $d(x, A) = 0$ , then such a space  $X$  is called *semimetrizable* [4].

Metric spaces, or more generally semimetrizable spaces, are symmetrizable.

It has been shown [14] that the product of a countable, symmetrizable space with a separable metric space need not be symmetrizable.

In the following theorem, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the product of a symmetrizable space with a semimetrizable space to be symmetrizable.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let a symmetrizable space  $X$  be paracompact, or more generally meta-Lindelöf (i.e. every open covering has a point-countable open refinement), or have each point a  $G_\delta$ -set. Let  $Y$  be semimetrizable. Then  $X \times Y$  is symmetrizable if and only if  $X$  is semimetrizable, or  $Y$  is locally compact.*

As for the property "sequential space" in the product  $X^\omega$  of countably many copies of  $X$ , in §4, we will have

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $X$  have one of the three properties listed below:*

- (i)  $\aleph_0$ -space in the sense of E. Michael [5],
- (ii) closed image of a metric space,
- (iii) CW-complex in the sense of Whitehead.

*If  $X^\omega$  is sequential, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

It follows from [14] that there is a sequential space (in fact, a symmetrizable space)  $X$  such that  $X^2$  is not sequential.

In this respect, it will be shown that the higher power  $X^\omega$  can also behave unpredictably.

That is, *there is a space  $X$  such that  $X^n$  is sequential (in fact, symmetrizable) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , but  $X^\omega$  is not even sequential.*

**2. Preliminaries.** As a weaker condition than " $X$  is strongly Fréchet", we shall often make use of the following condition (C) on  $X$ .

(C) Let  $\{F_n\}$  be a decreasing sequence accumulating at  $x \in X$ . Then there exist  $x_n \in F_n$  such that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some point  $x' \in X$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** (A) *Let  $X$  be a Fréchet space, or a space each of whose points is a  $G_\delta$ -set. If  $X$  satisfies condition (C), then  $X$  is strongly Fréchet.*

(B) *Let  $X$  be a symmetrizable space satisfying condition (C). If  $X$  is also meta-Lindelöf, or each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Then  $X$  is semimetrizable.*

**PROOF.** (A) In case  $X$  is Fréchet, in view of the proof of [11, Theorem 5.1],  $X$  is strongly Fréchet.

In case each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set, it may be proved directly that  $X$  is strongly Fréchet.

(B) We shall prove that  $X$  is Fréchet. Because of part (A), we need only consider the case where  $X$  is meta-Lindelöf. Let  $D$  be a countable subset of  $X$ . Then the meta-Lindelöf space  $\bar{D}$  is separable, hence is Lindelöf. Since  $\bar{D}$  is symmetrizable, by [10, Theorem 2]  $\bar{D}$  is hereditarily Lindelöf, and hence each point of  $\bar{D}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\bar{D}$ . Since  $\bar{D}$  satisfies condition (C), by part (A),  $\bar{D}$  is strongly Fréchet. Then  $D$  is Fréchet. Thus each countable subset of  $X$  is

Fréchet. Hence  $X$  is Fréchet by [6, Proposition 8.7]. Thus  $X$  is first countable, for Fréchet, symmetrizable spaces are first countable [1]. Since first countable, symmetrizable spaces are semimetrizable,  $X$  is semimetrizable.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be sequential. If  $X$  does not satisfy condition (C), then there is a countable, metric space  $Y_0$  such that  $X \times Y_0$  is not sequential.*

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  does not satisfy condition (C), there is a point  $x_0$  of  $X$ , and a decreasing sequence  $\{A_n\}$  accumulating at  $x_0$  satisfying

(K) If  $x_n \in A_n$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has no limits. Since  $X$  is sequential and  $x_0 \in \overline{A_n}$  for  $n \in N$ , by [6, Lemma 8.3 and Proposition 8.5], there is a sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of countable subsets of  $X$  such that  $C_n \subset A_n$  and  $x_0 \in \overline{C_n}$ .

Let  $Y_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{C_n \times \{n\}\} \cup \{x_0\}$ , and topologize  $Y_0$  as follows:

Let each point of  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \times \{n\}$  be open, and  $\{V_n(x_0)\}$  be a countable local base at  $x_0$ , where  $V_n(x_0) = \bigcup_{i \geq n} \{C_i \times \{i\}\} \cup \{x_0\}$ . Then  $Y_0$  is a metric space, which is not locally compact. Let  $A = \{(x, (x, n)) \in X \times Y_0; n \in N, x \in C_n\}$ . Then  $(x_0, x_0) \in \overline{A} - A$ . Thus  $A$  is not closed in  $X \times Y_0$ .

Suppose that  $X \times Y_0$  is sequential. Then a subset  $F$  of  $X \times Y_0$  is closed whenever  $F \cap (C \times K)$  is closed in  $C \times K$  for every convergent sequence  $C$  in  $X$  and every convergent sequence  $K$  in  $Y_0$ . Let  $C, K$  be convergent sequences in  $X, Y_0$  respectively, and let  $B = A \cap (C \times K)$ . To see that  $B$  is a closed subset of  $C \times K$ , let  $z \in C \times K - B$ . We need only consider the case  $z = (x, x_0)$ . The condition (K) implies that there is  $A_{i_0}$  which contains no elements of  $C$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $X \times V_{i_0}(x_0)$  of  $z$  which is disjoint from the set  $B$ . Thus  $B$  is closed in  $C \times K$ . Hence  $A$  is closed in  $X \times Y_0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $X \times Y_0$  is not sequential.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be first countable. If  $X$  is not locally countably compact, then the space  $Y_0$  in Lemma 2.2 is a closed subset of  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** By the hypotheses for  $X$ , there is a point  $x_0$  of  $X$ , and a countable local base  $\{U_n\}$  at  $x_0$  such that each  $\overline{U_n}$  is not countably compact.

By induction, we can obtain a sequence  $\{C_{n_k}\}$  of countably infinite, discrete subsets of  $X$  such that  $C_{n_k} \subset \overline{U_{n_k}}$ ,  $C_{n_j} \cap C_{n_k} = \emptyset$  if  $j \neq k$ , and  $C_{n_k} \ni x_0$ , where  $1 = n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ .

Let  $Z = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{n_k} \cup \{x_0\}$ . Then  $Z$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and is homeomorphic to the space  $Y_0$ .

K. Morita [9, Theorem 9.2] has shown that if  $X \times Y$  is a Fréchet space (or equivalently, a hereditarily sequential space [3]), then  $X$  is strongly Fréchet, or  $Y$  is discrete.

As for sequential spaces, from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be sequential, and  $Y$  first countable. If  $X \times Y$  is sequential, then  $X$  satisfies condition (C), or  $Y$  is locally countably compact.*

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and some examples.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** The necessity follows from Lemma 2.1(A) and Proposition 2.4.

The sufficiency follows from [6, Proposition 4.D.4] and [13, Corollary 2.4].

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** The sufficiency follows from [14, Corollary 4.4]. So we shall prove the necessity.

For this purpose, let  $X \times Y$  be symmetrizable. Then  $X \times Y$  is sequential, for symmetrizable spaces are sequential [1].

Suppose  $Y$  is not locally compact. Then  $Y$  is not locally countably compact, for countably compact, semimetrizable spaces are compact [10, Corollary 2]. Thus  $X$  satisfies condition (C) by Proposition 2.4. That  $X$  is semimetrizable follows from Lemma 2.1(B).

Now, by the following Remark 3.1, we see that in the necessity of the condition of Theorem 1.1, the assumptions “each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set” and “ $Y$  is first countable” are essential.

The symbols  $R$ ,  $Q$ , and  $Z$  will denote, respectively, the reals, the rationals, and the integers, all with their usual topologies.

REMARK. 3.1. (A) Let  $X$  be a compact, sequential space which is not Fréchet. In fact, such a space exists by [3, Example 7.1]. Then  $X \times Q$  is sequential by [13, Corollary 2.4]. But  $X$  is not strongly Fréchet, nor is  $Q$  locally countably compact.

(B). Let  $X$  be the quotient space  $R/Z$  with  $Z$  identified to a point. Then  $X$  is a countable CW-complex. Let  $Y$  be the countable, symmetrizable space in [14, Example 3.2]. Then a subset  $F$  of  $Y$  is closed whenever  $F \cap C_i$  is closed for every convergent sequence  $C_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) in  $Y$ , where  $C_0 = \{0\} \cup \{1/n; n \in N\}$ ,  $C_i = \{1/i + 1/n; n \in N\}$ . Thus, in view of the proof of [7, Lemma 2.1],  $X \times Y$  is sequential. But the Fréchet space  $X$  is not strongly Fréchet, nor is  $Y$  locally countably compact.

#### 4. The property “sequential space” in $X^\omega$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let  $X^\omega$  be sequential. Then  $X$  satisfies condition (C).*

PROOF. In case  $X$  is countably compact, it is easy to check that a sequential space  $X$  satisfies condition (C).

In case  $X$  is not countably compact, the space  $N$  may be regarded as a closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $X \times N^\omega$  is a closed subset of  $X^\omega$ ,  $X \times N^\omega$  is sequential. Hence  $X$  satisfies condition (C) by Proposition 2.4.

By Lemma 2.1(A) and Proposition 4.1, we have

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $X$  be Fréchet, or each point of  $X$  be a  $G_\delta$ -set. If  $X^\omega$  is sequential, then  $X$  is strongly Fréchet.*

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $X$  have one of the properties (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 1.3. If  $X$  is strongly Fréchet, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

PROOF. From [6, Theorem 9.11 and Corollary 9.10], we need only prove case (iii).

Let  $\mathfrak{D} = \{e_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$  be the collection of cells in  $X$ . We shall prove the collection  $\overline{\mathfrak{D}} = \{\bar{e}_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$  is point-finite.

Suppose that there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that infinitely many elements of  $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}$  contain the point  $x_0$ . So we may assume each  $\bar{e}_{\alpha_n}$  ( $n \in N$ ) contains the point  $x_0$ . Let  $F_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty e_{\alpha_i} - \bigcup_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}$ . Then  $x_0 \in \bar{F}_n$  for  $n \in N$ . Since  $X$  is strongly Fréchet, there exist  $x_n \in F_n$  such that a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some point  $x' \in X$ . Let us put  $K = \{x_n; n \in N\} \cup \{x'\}$ . Then  $K$  meets infinitely many elements of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . While,  $K$  is a compact subset of a CW-complex

$X$ . Thus  $K$  meets only a finite number of elements of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence the collection  $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}$  is point-finite.

By a similar method, we can see that for each point  $x$  of  $X$ , assuming only these  $\bar{e}_{\alpha_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) contain the point  $x$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\bar{U} \subset \bar{e}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \bar{e}_{\alpha_l}$ . Since  $\bar{e}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \bar{e}_{\alpha_l}$  is metric,  $U$  is metric. Hence  $X$  is locally metrizable. Since a CW-complex is paracompact [9],  $X$  is metrizable.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. From the hypothesis for  $X$ , each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Thus, by Lemma 2.1(A), Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.3,  $X$  is a metric space.

From the following example, we see that the product  $X^\omega$  of a sequential (symmetrizable) space  $X$  need not be sequential (symmetrizable) even if, for all  $n \in N$ ,  $X^n$  is sequential (symmetrizable).

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let  $X$  be the symmetrizable space  $Y$  in Remark 3.1(B). Then, in view of the proof of [7, Lemma 2.1], for all  $n \in N$ ,  $X^n$  is sequential and hence is symmetrizable by [14, Theorem 4.2], while  $X$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space but is not metrizable. Then, by Theorem 1.3,  $X^\omega$  is not even sequential and hence is not symmetrizable.

# REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *Mappings and spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **21** (1966), no. 4 (130), 133—184 = Russian Math. Surveys **21** (1966), no. 4, 115—162. MR **37** #3534.
2. S. P. Franklin, *Spaces in which sequences suffice*, Fund. Math. **57** (1965), 107—115. MR **31** #5184.
3. ———, *Spaces in which sequences suffice. II*, Fund. Math. **61** (1967), 51—56. MR **36** #5882.
4. L. F. McAuley, *A relation between perfect separability, completeness, and normality in semi-metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **6** (1956), 315—326. MR **18**, 325.
5. E. A. Michael,  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, J. Math. Mech. **15** (1966), 983—1002. MR **34** #6723.
6. ———, *A quintuple quotient quest*, General Topology and Appl. **2** (1972), 91—138. MR **46** #8156.
7. J. Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **63** (1956), 272—284. MR **17**, 994.
8. K. Morita, *On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings*, Proc. Japan Acad. **29** (1953), 537—543. MR **15**, 977.
9. ———, *Some results on  $M$ -spaces*, Colloq. Math. Societatis János Bolyai **8**, Topics in Topology, Keszthely, Hungary, 1972, pp. 489—503.
10. S. Nedeĭ, *Symmetrizable spaces and final compactness*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **175** (1967), 532—534 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 890—892. MR **35** #7293.
11. R. C. Olson, *Bi-quotient maps, countably bi-sequential spaces, and related topics*, General Topology and Appl. **4** (1974), 1—128.
12. F. Siwiec, *Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings*, General Topology and Appl. **1** (1971), no. 2, 143—154. MR **44** #5933.
13. Y. Tanaka, *On quasi- $k$ -spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 1074—1079. MR **45** #5946.
14. ———, *On symmetric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **49** (1973), 106—111.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY, 4-1-1 NUKUIKITA-MACHI, KOGANEI-SHI, TOKYO, JAPAN