

ON H -CLOSED SPACES

JAMES E. JOSEPH

ABSTRACT. A characterization of H -closed spaces in terms of projections is given along with relating properties.

Introduction. The primary purpose of this paper is to give a characterization of H -closed spaces which is an analogue to the following theorem for compact spaces: A space X is compact if and only if the projection from $X \times Y$ onto Y is a closed function for every space Y [9, p. 21].

Following the notation of [6], we utilize the notion of θ -closed subsets of a topological space from [11, p. 103] and our characterization is stated as follows:

THEOREM. *A Hausdorff space X is H -closed if and only if for every space Y , the projection from $X \times Y$ onto Y takes θ -closed subsets onto θ -closed subsets.*

Throughout, $\text{cl}(K)$ will denote the closure of a set K .

Preliminary definitions and theorems.

DEFINITION 1. A net in a topological space is said to θ -converge (θ -accumulate) [6, Definition 3] to a point x in the space if the net is eventually (frequently) in $\text{cl}(V)$ for each V open about x .

DEFINITION 2. A point x in a topological space X is in the θ -closure [11, p. 103] of a set $K \subset X$ ($\theta\text{-cl}(K)$) if $\text{cl}(V) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for any V open about x .

DEFINITION 3. A subset K of a topological space is θ -closed [11, p. 103] if it contains its θ -closure (i.e., $\theta\text{-cl}(K) \subset K$).

The following theorems give some parallels of properties of closure and closed sets in a topological space for θ -closure and θ -closed sets in the space and some relationships between these notions. The proofs of these theorems are straightforward and are omitted [11, Lemmas 1, 2, 3].

THEOREM 1. *A point x in a topological space is in the θ -closure of a subset K if and only if there is a net x_α in K which θ -converges to x ($x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\theta} x$).*

THEOREM 2. *In any topological space*

- (a) *the empty set and the whole space are θ -closed,*
- (b) *arbitrary intersections and finite unions of θ -closed sets are θ -closed,*
- (c) *$\text{cl}(K) \subset \theta\text{-cl}(K)$ for each subset K ,*
- (d) *a θ -closed subset is closed.*

Received by the editors June 30, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D20.

Key words and phrases. H -closed spaces, θ -closed subsets, projections.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

EXAMPLE 1. Each nonempty countable subset of the set of reals endowed with the cocountable topology is closed but not θ -closed.

Main results. There are several characterizations of H -closed spaces in the literature [2, p. 145], [1, p. 97]. For a definition, we use the following:

DEFINITION 4. A Hausdorff space X is H -closed if every open cover \mathfrak{A} of X contains a finite subcollection \mathfrak{B} such that $\{\text{cl}(V): V \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ covers X .

We also make use of the following theorem immediately gotten from [11, Theorem 2]:

THEOREM 3. A Hausdorff space is H -closed if and only if each net in the space has a θ -convergent subnet.

DEFINITION 5. A function $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is *weakly continuous* [6, Theorem 6] if for each net x_α in X such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$, the net $g(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\theta} g(x)$.

DEFINITION 6. A function $g: X \rightarrow Y$ has a *strongly-closed graph* [6, p. 473] if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(g)$, there are open sets U and V about x and y , respectively, such that $(U \times \text{cl}(V)) \cap G(g) = \emptyset$.

It is known that a function $g: X \rightarrow Y$ has a closed graph if and only if whenever a net $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ in X and $g(x_\alpha) \rightarrow y$ in Y , it follows that $g(x) = y$ [13, p. 115]. We have the following similar result for functions with strongly-closed graphs.

THEOREM 4. A function $g: X \rightarrow Y$ has a strongly-closed graph if and only if whenever a net $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ in X and $g(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\theta} y$ in Y , it follows that $g(x) = y$.

PROOF. Let g have a strongly-closed graph and let x_α be a net in X satisfying $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ and $g(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\theta} y$. Then $(V \times \text{cl}(W)) \cap G(g) \neq \emptyset$ for V, W open about x and y respectively. So, $(x, y) \in G(g)$ and $g(x) = y$. For the converse, let $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(g)$. Then $y \neq g(x)$, and there is no net x_α in X satisfying $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ and $g(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\theta} y$. If $(V_\sigma \times \text{cl}(W_\xi)) \cap G(g) \neq \emptyset$ for each pair V_σ, W_ξ of sets open about x and y respectively, choose $(x_{\sigma, \xi}, g(x_{\sigma, \xi})) \in (V_\sigma \times \text{cl}(W_\xi)) \cap G(g)$. The ordering of $\{V_\sigma \times \text{cl}(W_\xi): V_\sigma, W_\xi \text{ open about } x \text{ and } y \text{ respectively}\}$ by inclusion renders $(x_{\sigma, \xi}, g(x_{\sigma, \xi}))$ a net with $x_{\sigma, \xi} \rightarrow x$ and $g(x_{\sigma, \xi}) \xrightarrow{\theta} y$, a contradiction. Therefore, there are sets V, W open about x, y , respectively, and satisfying $(V \times \text{cl}(W)) \cap G(g) = \emptyset$; and $G(g)$ is strongly-closed. This completes the proof.

We may use the characterizations above to give a proof of the following theorem which is different and shorter than that given in [6]. If (x_α, D) is a net in a space X , we will denote $\{x_\alpha: \alpha > \mu\}$ by T_μ for each $\mu \in D$. Using this notation it is clear that x_α θ -converges (θ -accumulates) to a point $x \in X$ if for each open V about x , there is a $\mu \in D$ satisfying (each $\mu \in D$ satisfies) $T_\mu \subset \text{cl}(V)$ ($T_\mu \cap \text{cl}(V) \neq \emptyset$). Let \mathfrak{S} denote a class of topological spaces containing the class of Hausdorff completely normal and fully normal spaces.

THEOREM 5. A Hausdorff space Y is H -closed if and only if for every space in class \mathfrak{S} , each $g: X \rightarrow Y$ with a strongly-closed graph is weakly continuous.

PROOF. Let Y be H -closed, let X be any space and let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ have a strongly-closed graph. Let $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ in X . Then $g(x_\alpha)$ is a net in Y , so there is a

subnet y_β of x_α and $y \in Y$ with $g(y_\beta) \xrightarrow{\theta} y$. By Theorem 4, $g(x) = y$. Let V be a regular open set about $g(x)$. If $g(x_\alpha)$ is not eventually in $\text{cl}(V)$, there is a subnet z_μ of x_α such that $g(z_\mu)$ θ -converges to some $z \in Y - V$ since $Y - V$ is a regular closed set and thus H -closed. This then forces $g(x) \in Y - V$, a contradiction. So $g(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\theta} g(x)$. For the converse, let $x_0 \in Y$ and let (x_α, D) be a net in $Y - \{x_0\}$ with no θ -accumulation point in $Y - \{x_0\}$. Let $X = \{x_\alpha: \alpha \in D\} \cup \{x_0\}$ with the topology generated by $\{\{x_\alpha\}: \alpha \in D\}$ and $\{T_\mu \cup \{x_0\}: \mu \in D\}$ as the basic open sets. X is a Hausdorff door space [7, p. 76] and is easily shown to be in class \mathcal{S} . Let $i: X \rightarrow Y$ be the identity function and let $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(i)$. If $x \neq x_0$, then $\{x\}$ is open in X ; choose V open in Y about y with $x \notin \text{cl}(V)$. Then, clearly, $(\{x\} \times \text{cl}(V)) \cap G(i) = \emptyset$. If $x = x_0$, then $y \neq x_0$; so there is a $\mu \in D$ and a V open in Y about y satisfying $x_0 \notin \text{cl}(V)$ and $T_\mu \cap \text{cl}(V) = \emptyset$. So $X - \text{cl}(V)$ is open in X about x and $[(X - \text{cl}(V)) \times \text{cl}(V)] \cap G(i) = \emptyset$. Thus, i has a strongly-closed graph and is weakly continuous. Consequently, if V is open about x_0 , there is a $\mu \in D$ satisfying $T_\mu \subset \text{cl}(V)$ [8, p. 44], so $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\theta} x_0$. This completes the proof.

In [6, p. 474], an example is given to show that the strongly-closed graph condition in Theorem 5 cannot be relaxed to a closed graph condition. This example was extracted from [12] and is not described explicitly in [6] presumably because of its somewhat complicated description. We now exhibit a space with a simpler description which meets the purposes of the example in [6].

EXAMPLE 2. Let N be the set of positive integers and let $X = \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$ with the topology generated by the usual subspace topology of the reals on $[1, \infty)$ and $\{\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{k=m}^\infty (k, k+1): m \in N\}$ as basic open sets.

(a) The space X is Hausdorff.

(b) The space X is not compact since N is an infinite subset of X without accumulation points.

(c) The space X is H -closed.

(d) The function g , from $\{1 + 1/n: n \in N\} \cup \{1\}$ with the subspace topology, defined by $g(1) = 1$ and $g(1 + 1/n) = n$ for each $n \in N$ has a closed graph which is not strongly-closed. Also, g is not weakly continuous at 1.

In [3], [4], [5], and [10], theorems of the following form are proved; X has property λ if and only if the projection $\pi_Y: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed for each space Y in a certain class. The next four theorems and main results give an analogue of this form for H -closed spaces.

THEOREM 6. *If X is an H -closed space then the projection from $X \times Y$ onto Y takes θ -closed subsets onto θ -closed subsets for any space Y .*

PROOF. Let X be H -closed, let Y be any space and let $K \subset X \times Y$ be θ -closed. Let $y \in \theta\text{-cl}(\pi_Y(K))$. There is a net $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K$ with $y_\alpha \xrightarrow{\theta} y$. There is a subnet x_{α_μ} of x_α and $x \in X$ with $x_{\alpha_\mu} \xrightarrow{\theta} x$. So $(x_{\alpha_\mu}, y_{\alpha_\mu}) \xrightarrow{\theta} (x, y)$ and $(x, y) \in \theta\text{-cl}(K) \subset K$. Thus $y \in \pi_Y(K)$.

THEOREM 7. *If X is a Hausdorff space and the projection from $X \times Y$ onto Y*

takes θ -closed subsets onto closed subsets for every space Y , then X is H -closed.

PROOF. Let (y_α, D) be a net in X with no θ -convergent subnet and let $y_0 \notin X$. Let $Y = \{y_\alpha: \alpha \in D\} \cup \{y_0\}$ with the topology generated by $\{\{y_\alpha\}: \alpha \in D\}$ and $\{T_\mu \cup \{y_0\}: \mu \in D\}$ as basic open sets. Let $K = \{(y_\alpha, y_\alpha): \alpha \in D\}$ and let $(a, b) \in (X \times Y) - K$. Then $a \neq y_0$ and $a \neq b$. Let V be open about a satisfying $\{b, y_0\} \subset Y - \text{cl}(V)$ and $T_\mu \subset Y - \text{cl}(V)$ for some $\mu \in D$. Then $Y - \text{cl}(V)$ is open and closed in Y and so $V \times (Y - \text{cl}(V))$ is open about (a, b) . Also,

$$\text{cl}[V \times (Y - \text{cl}(V))] \cap K = (\text{cl}(V) \times (Y - \text{cl}(V))) \cap K = \emptyset.$$

Thus, $(a, b) \notin \theta\text{-cl}(K)$ and K is θ -closed. $\pi_y(K)$ is therefore closed in Y and $y_0 \in \text{cl}(\pi_y(K))$. This is a contradiction establishing the result.

Combining Theorems 6 and 7, we get the promised result.

THEOREM 8. A Hausdorff space X is H -closed if and only if for every space Y , the projection from $X \times Y$ onto Y takes θ -closed subsets onto θ -closed subsets.

Noting that the space Y used in the proof of Theorem 7 is a Hausdorff door space and is in the class \mathfrak{S} whose description precedes Theorem 5, we may state the following theorem.

THEOREM 9. A Hausdorff space X is H -closed if and only if for every Hausdorff door space (space in class \mathfrak{S}) Y , the projection from $X \times Y$ onto Y takes θ -closed subsets onto θ -closed subsets.

REFERENCES

1. M. P. Berri, J. R. Porter and R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *A survey of minimal topological spaces*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, III (Proc. Conf., Kanpur, 1968), Academia, Prague, 1971, pp. 93–114. MR 43 #3985.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics. General topology*. Part I, Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966. MR 34 #5044a.
3. I. Fleischer and S. P. Franklin, *On compactness and projections. Contributions to extension theory of topological structures*, (Proc. Sympos., Berlin, 1967), Berlin, 1969, pp. 77–79.
4. S. Hanai, *Inverse images of closed mappings*. I, Proc. Japan Acad. 37 (1961), 298–301. MR 25 #2576.
5. ———, *Inverse images of closed mappings*. II, Proc. Japan Acad. 37 (1961), 302–304. MR 25 #2577.
6. L. L. Herrington and P. E. Long, *Characterizations of H -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1975), 469–475.
7. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1955. MR 16, 1136.
8. Norman Levine, *A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 44–46. MR 23 #A3548.
9. S. Mrówka, *Compactness and product spaces*, Colloq. Math. 7 (1959), 19–22. MR 22 #8479.
10. C. T. Scarborough, *Closed graphs and closed projections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 465–470. MR 40 #3514.
11. H. V. Veličko, *H -closed topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. 70 (112) (1966), 98–112; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 78 (1969), 103–118. MR 33 #6576.
12. G. Viglino, *C -compact spaces*, Duke Math. J. 36 (1969), 761–764. MR 40 #2000.
13. A. Wilansky, *Topology for analysis*, Ginn, Waltham, Mass., 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL CITY COLLEGE, WASHINGTON, D. C. 20001