## A COUNTEREXAMPLE CONCERNING INSEPARABLE FIELD EXTENSIONS

## JAMES KEVIN DEVENEY

ABSTRACT. Let  $K \supseteq M \supseteq k$  be a chain of fields of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ where K is separable over M and M is purely inseparable over k. Recently it has been shown that if K has a separating transcendency basis over M or if M is of bounded exponent over k, then  $K = M \otimes_k S$  where S is separable over k. This note presents an example to show that, in general, no such S need exist.

Throughout, we consider a chain of fields  $K \supseteq M \supseteq k$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  where K is separable over M and M is purely inseparable over k. Recent papers [1] and [2], have examined the question of when K can be expressed as  $M \otimes_k S$  where S is a separable extension of k. It has been shown that if M is of bounded exponent over k [1, Theorem 5], or if K has a separating transcendency basis over M [2, Lemma 4], then  $K = M \otimes_k S$  for some S. The purpose of this note is to provide an example to show that, in general, no such S exists. Necessarily, M will be of unbounded exponent over k and K will not have a separating transcendency basis over M.

EXAMPLE 1. Let P be a perfect field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  and let  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \cdots\}$  be an algebraically independent set over P. Set

 $K = P(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots),$   $M = P(x_1 x_2^p, x_2 x_3^p, \dots, x_n x_{n+1}^p, \dots),$  $k = P(x_1^p x_2^p, x_2^p x_3^{p^2}, \dots, x_n^p x_{n+1}^{p^n p^{n+1}}, \dots).$ 

Since  $(x_n x_{n+1}^p)^{p^n} \in k$  for all n, M is purely inseparable over k.  $\{x_1 x_2^p, x_2 x_3^p, \ldots, x_n x_{n+1}^p, \cdots\}$  is a p-basis for M and remains p-independent in K, so K is separable over M. Moreover, elementary calculations show  $\{x_1 x_2^p, \ldots, x_n x_{n+1}^p, \cdots\}$  is actually a p-basis for K, and thus K is relatively perfect over M, i.e.  $K = M(K^p)$ . We now assume there exists a field S separable over k such that  $K = M \otimes_k S$ .

LEMMA 2. S is relatively perfect over k.

**PROOF.** Recall that  $K = M(K^p)$ . Since we are assuming K = M(S),  $K^p = M^p(S^p)$ , and so  $K = M(M^p)(S^p) = M(S^p)$ . Thus  $K = M \otimes_k k(S^p)$  and we must have  $S = k(S^p)$ .

Now since S is relatively perfect over k,  $S = k(S^{p^n}) \subseteq k(K^{p^n})$  for all n. Thus  $S \subseteq \bigcap k(K^{p^n})$ .

LEMMA 3.  $\cap k(K^{p^n}) \subseteq P(x_1^p, x_2^{p^2}, \ldots, x_n^{p^n}, \cdots) = \overline{k}.$ 

**PROOF.** Since  $\overline{k} \supseteq k$ ,  $\cap k(K^{p^n}) \subseteq \cap \overline{k}(K^{p^n})$ . Since

Received by the editors November 18, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 12F15.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

$$K = \overline{k}(x_1) \otimes \overline{k} \ \overline{k}(x_2) \otimes \overline{k} \cdots \otimes \overline{k} \ \overline{k}(x) \otimes \overline{k} \cdots,$$

 $\cap \overline{k}(K^{p^n}) = \overline{k}$ , and the lemma is established.

We now have  $S \subseteq \bigcap k(K^{p'}) \subseteq \overline{k}$ . To show no such S exists it suffices to show  $M(\overline{k}) \neq K$ .

$$M(\bar{k}) = P(x_1 x_2^p, \dots, x_n x_{n+1}^p, \cdots) (x_1^p, x_2^{p^2}, \dots, x_n^{p^n}, \cdots)$$
  
=  $P(x_1 x_2^p, \dots, x_n x_{n+1}^p, \cdots) (x_1^p) = M(x_1^p).$ 

 $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is algebraic over  $P(x_1, x_1x_2^p, \ldots, x_{n-1}x_n^p)$ , and hence both fields have the same transcendence degree *n* over *P*, which means that  $x_1$ ,  $x_1x_2^p, \ldots, x_{n-1}x_n^p$  are algebraically independent over *P*. Since this is true for all *n*, the set  $\{x_1, x_1x_2^p, x_2x_3^p, \cdots\}$  is algebraically independent over *P*, and hence  $x_1$  is transcendental over  $M = P(x_1x_2^p, x_2x_3^p, \cdots)$ . By Luroth's theorem  $M(x_1^p) \subseteq M(x_1) \subseteq K$ . Thus no such *S* can exist.

## References

1. N. Heerema and H. F. Kreimer, *Modularity vs. separability for field extensions*, Canad. J. Math. (to appear).

2. N. Heerema and D. Tucker, Modular field extensions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1975), 301-306.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY, RICH-MOND, VIRGINIA 23284