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#### Abstract

Calculation of the numerical range of a weighted shift is reduced to the solution of a polynomial equation when the weights form a periodic sequence, or approach a periodic sequence from below.


Introduction. A weighted shift on $l^{2}$ or $l_{+}^{2}$ is a linear operator $S$ defined by $S e_{n}=s_{n} e_{n+1}$ where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis, and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ a sequence of complex numbers. The numerical range of an operator $S$ is the set of complex numbers $(S x, x)$ where $\|x\|=1$; this is denoted $W(S)$. For definiteness we assume here a one-sided shift, indexed by positive integers; the proofs and results are the same for a two-sided shift.

Then we are given

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =x_{1} e_{1}+x_{2} e_{2}+\cdots, \quad x_{i} \text { complex, } \quad \sum\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}<\infty ; \\
S x & =x_{1} s_{1} e_{2}+x_{2} s_{2} e_{3}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

We begin with some simple facts about weighted shifts [1].
(1) $S$ is a bounded operator if and only if $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence, and then $\|S\|=\sup \left|s_{n}\right|$.
(2) $S$ is unitarily equivalent to a shift with weights $t_{i}$ whenever $\left|t_{i}\right|=\left|s_{i}\right|$ for all $i$. In particular, $S$ is unitarily equivalent to $c S$ whenever $|c|=1$.
(3) Therefore $W(S)$ has circular symmetry about $0: c W=W$ whenever $|c|=1$.
(4) Since $W$ is convex, it follows that $W(S)$ is a disk centered at 0 ; its radius $w(S)$ is the numerical radius of $S$.

It is an easy exercise to find $W(S)$ in some special cases. For example:
(5) If $\left|s_{n}\right| \leqslant K$ for all $n$, and $\left|s_{n}\right| \rightarrow K$, then $W(S)=K$.

By (2) it suffices to consider shifts with real nonnegative weights, $s_{n} \geqslant 0$, and we shall do so.

Theorem 1. If $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ is a periodic sequence, of period $r$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(S)=\max \left\{s_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+s_{2} x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots+s_{r} x_{r} x_{1}:\right. \\
& \left.\qquad x_{i} \text { real, } \quad x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{r}^{2}=1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and finding this is equivalent to solving a polynomial equation of degree $r$.
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Proof. First consider a sequence $x$ consisting of the finite sequence of complex numbers $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$ repeated $k$ times, with 0 's thereafter. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S x & =\left\{0,\left[s_{1} x_{1}, s_{2} x_{2}, \ldots, s_{r} x_{r}\right],(\text { repeated } k \text { times }), 0,0, \ldots\right\}, \\
(S x, x) & =k\left(s_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+s_{2} x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots+s_{r} x_{r} x_{1}\right)-s_{r} x_{r} x_{1}, \\
(x, x) & =k\left(\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{r}\right|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and for large $k$ we see that $(S x, x) /(x, x)$ can be made arbitrarily close to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+s_{2} x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots+s_{r} x_{r} x_{1}}{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{r}\right|^{2}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $w(S)$ is at least equal to

$$
\max \left\{\left|s_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+s_{r} x_{r} x_{1}\right|: x_{i} \text { complex, }\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{r}\right|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

By multiplying $x_{k}$ by $e^{i \theta_{k}}$ we may make these components real and nonnegative: this gives the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Maximize } s_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+s_{2} x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots+s_{r} x_{r} x_{1} \\
& \text { subject to } x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{r}^{2}=1, s_{k}, x_{k} \text { real }
\end{aligned}
$$

The use of Lagrange multipliers gives the system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{r} x_{r}+s_{1} x_{2} & =\lambda x_{1} \\
s_{1} x_{1}+s_{2} x_{3} & =\lambda x_{2} \\
s_{r-1} x_{r-1}+s_{r} \dot{x}_{1} & =\lambda x_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Elimination of the $x_{i}$ gives a polynomial equation in $\lambda$ of degree $r ; x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}$ are found by substitution (in terms of $x_{1}$ ), and $x_{1}$ is then found by the relation $x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{r}^{2}=1$.

We now establish that $w(S)$ is no greater than this maximum value of $s_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+s_{r} x_{r} x_{1}$.

Lemma. If $a_{k}, b_{k}$ are nonnegative constants with $b_{k} \neq 0$, then

$$
\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots}{b_{1}+b_{2}+\cdots} \leqslant \sup _{k} \frac{a_{k}}{b_{k}}
$$

whenever the left side is defined.
Proof. We first show this for finite sums. If $a / c \geqslant b / d$, then

$$
\frac{a+b}{c+d} \leqslant \frac{a+a d / c}{c+d}=\frac{a}{c}
$$

and the result for finite sums follows by induction. Then

$$
\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{n}}{b_{1}+b_{2}+\cdots+b_{n}} \leqslant \max _{k \leqslant n} \frac{a_{k}}{b_{k}} \leqslant \sup _{k \geqslant 1} \frac{a_{k}}{b_{k}}
$$

and hence the lim sup of the left side satisfies the same inequality; this proves the lemma.

Resuming the proof of Theorem 1: suppose $|x|=1$, and write the components of $x$ as

$$
x=\left\{a_{11} a_{12} \cdots a_{1 r} ; a_{21} a_{22} \cdots a_{2 r} ; \ldots\right\}
$$

$a_{i j}$ complex. Then

$$
\frac{|(S x, x)|}{(x, x)}=\frac{\left|s_{1} a_{11} a_{12}+\cdots+s_{r} a_{1 r} a_{21}+s_{1} a_{21} a_{22}+\cdots+s_{r} a_{2 r} a_{31}+\cdots\right|}{\left|a_{11}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|a_{1 r}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{21}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|a_{2 r}\right|^{2}+\cdots}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant \sup _{k} \frac{\left|s_{1} a_{k 1} a_{k 2}+\cdots+s_{r} a_{k r} a_{(k+1) 1}\right|}{\left|a_{k 1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|a_{k r}\right|^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant \sup _{k} \frac{\left|s_{1} a_{k 1} a_{k 2}+\cdots+s_{r} a_{k r} a_{(k+1) r}\right|}{\left|a_{(k+1) 1}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{k 2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|a_{k r}\right|^{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (1) follows from the lemma, and (2) follows by deleting $\left|a_{11}\right|^{2}$ from the denominator (thus increasing the value of the fraction), regrouping terms of the denominator, and applying the lemma.

Setting

$$
x_{1}=\max \left(\left|a_{k 1}\right|,\left|a_{(k+1)!}\right|\right), \quad x_{j}=\left|a_{k j}\right| \quad \text { for } j=2, \ldots, r,
$$

we see that

$$
\frac{|(S x, x)|}{(x, x)} \leqslant \max \left\{s_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+s_{r} x_{r} x_{1}: x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{r}^{2}=1, x_{i} \text { real }\right\}
$$

and therefore $w(S)$ is equal to this maximum value; this completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

Theorem 2. If $s_{k} \leqslant p_{k}$ and $s_{k}-p_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ is a periodic sequence with period $r$, then

$$
w(S)=\max \left\{p_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+p_{r} x_{r} x_{1}: x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{r}^{2}=1, x_{i} \text { real }\right\}
$$

Proof. Given $\varepsilon>0$, letting $T$ be the shift with weights $p_{k}$, let $x$ be a unit vector such that $(T x, x)>w(T)-\varepsilon$. Choose $n$ such that $\left|s_{k}-p_{k}\right|<\varepsilon$ for $k \geqslant n$. Let $y$ be the unit vector with $y_{k}=0, k=1, \ldots, n ; y_{k+n}=x_{k}, k$ $=1,2, \ldots$ Then $(T y, y)=(T x, x)>w(T)-\varepsilon$.

Now

$$
\|T y-S y\| \leqslant \sup _{k>n}\left|p_{k}-s_{k}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

so $|(T y, y)-(S y, y)|<\varepsilon$ and so $(S y, y)>w(T)-2 \varepsilon$.
Therefore $w(S) \geqslant w(T)$. Since $0 \leqslant s_{k} \leqslant p_{k}$, we easily have $w(S) \leqslant w(T)$, and so the two are equal. By Theorem 1,
$w(T)=\max \left\{p_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+p_{r} x_{r} x_{1}: x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{r}^{2}=1, x_{i}\right.$ real $\}=w(S) ;$
this proves Theorem 2.

Examples. (1) If $r=2$ the weights are $a, b, a, b, \ldots$; we are to maximize $(a+b) x_{1} x_{2}$, that is, maximize $x_{1} x_{2}$ subject to $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=1$. The solution is $x_{1}=x_{2}=1 / \sqrt{2},(a+b) x_{1} x_{2}=(a+b) / 2$, and so the numerical radius is the average of the two weights.
(2) If $r=3$ the weights are $a, b, c, a, b, c, \ldots$; we must maximize $a x_{1} x_{2}$ $+b x_{2} x_{3}+c x_{3} x_{1}$ with $x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{3}^{2}=1$; we have the system

$$
a x_{2}+c x_{3}=\lambda x_{1}, \quad a x_{1}+b x_{3}=\lambda x_{2}, \quad b x_{2}+c x_{1}=\lambda x_{3}
$$

which (if $x_{1} \neq 0$ ) leads to the cubic equation $\lambda^{3}-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \lambda-2 a b c$ $=0$.

Notes. (1) The numerical range is always a disk about 0 , of positive radius except in the trivial case where all the weights are zero.
(2) If any weight is zero then the disk is closed; for (assuming $s_{r}=0$ for example) then $(S x, x) /(x, x)$ is actually equal to the expression (1), which in turn attains its maximum on the compact sphere (2).
(3) For weights $(1,1,1, \ldots)$ the disk is open; for $|(S x, x)|=1,|x|=1$, would imply $S x=k x,|k|=1$, which is impossible.
(4) I surmise, but have yet to prove, that the disk is open whenever all the weights are nonzero; that is, $(S x, x)$ cannot attain its sup $w(S)$ for $|x|=1$.
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