NUMERICAL RANGE OF A WEIGHTED SHIFT WITH PERIODIC WEIGHTS

WILLIAM C. RIDGE

ABSTRACT. Calculation of the numerical range of a weighted shift is reduced to the solution of a polynomial equation when the weights form a periodic sequence, or approach a periodic sequence from below.

Introduction. A weighted shift on l^2 or l_+^2 is a linear operator S defined by $Se_n = s_n e_{n+1}$ where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis, and $\{s_n\}$ a sequence of complex numbers. The numerical range of an operator S is the set of complex numbers (Sx, x) where ||x|| = 1; this is denoted W(S). For definiteness we assume here a one-sided shift, indexed by positive integers; the proofs and results are the same for a two-sided shift.

Then we are given

$$x = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + \cdots$$
, x_i complex, $\sum |x_i|^2 < \infty$;
 $Sx = x_1 s_1 e_2 + x_2 s_2 e_3 + \cdots$.

We begin with some simple facts about weighted shifts [1].

(1) S is a bounded operator if and only if $\{s_n\}$ is a bounded sequence, and then $||S|| = \sup |s_n|$.

(2) S is unitarily equivalent to a shift with weights t_i whenever $|t_i| = |s_i|$ for all *i*. In particular, S is unitarily equivalent to cS whenever |c| = 1.

(3) Therefore W(S) has circular symmetry about 0: cW = W whenever |c| = 1.

(4) Since W is convex, it follows that W(S) is a disk centered at 0; its radius w(S) is the numerical radius of S.

It is an easy exercise to find W(S) in some special cases. For example:

(5) If $|s_n| \leq K$ for all *n*, and $|s_n| \to K$, then W(S) = K.

By (2) it suffices to consider shifts with real nonnegative weights, $s_n \ge 0$, and we shall do so.

THEOREM 1. If $\{s_n\}$ is a periodic sequence, of period r, then

$$w(S) = \max\{s_1 x_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2 x_3 + \dots + s_r x_r x_1: x_i \text{ real}, x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 = 1\},\$$

and finding this is equivalent to solving a polynomial equation of degree r.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, operator, numerical range.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

Received by the editors April 8, 1974 and, in revised form, April 18, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47A10, 47B99.

PROOF. First consider a sequence x consisting of the finite sequence of complex numbers $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\}$ repeated k times, with 0's thereafter. Then

. },

$$Sx = \{0, [s_1 x_1, s_2 x_2, \dots, s_r x_r], \text{ (repeated } k \text{ times}), 0, 0, \dots \\ (Sx, x) = k(s_1 x_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2 x_3 + \dots + s_r x_r x_1) - s_r x_r x_1, \\ (x, x) = k(|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_r|^2),$$

and for large k we see that (Sx, x)/(x, x) can be made arbitrarily close to

(1)
$$\frac{s_1 x_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2 x_3 + \dots + s_r x_r x_1}{|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_r|^2}.$$

Therefore w(S) is at least equal to

$$\max\{|s_1 x_1 x_2 + \dots + s_r x_r x_1|: x_i \text{ complex}, |x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_r|^2 = 1\}.$$

By multiplying x_k by $e^{i\theta_k}$ we may make these components real and nonnegative: this gives the problem:

Maximize
$$s_1 x_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2 x_3 + \dots + s_r x_r x_1$$

subject to $x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 = 1$, s_k , x_k real.

The use of Lagrange multipliers gives the system:

$$s_r x_r + s_1 x_2 = \lambda x_1$$

$$s_1 x_1 + s_2 x_3 = \lambda x_2$$

$$s_{r-1} x_{r-1} + s_r x_1 = \lambda x_r.$$

Elimination of the x_i gives a polynomial equation in λ of degree r; x_2, \ldots, x_r are found by substitution (in terms of x_1), and x_1 is then found by the relation $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_r^2 = 1$.

We now establish that w(S) is no greater than this maximum value of $s_1 x_1 x_2 + \cdots + s_r x_r x_1$.

LEMMA. If a_k , b_k are nonnegative constants with $b_k \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots}{b_1 + b_2 + \cdots} \leq \sup_k \frac{a_k}{b_k}$$

whenever the left side is defined.

PROOF. We first show this for finite sums. If $a/c \ge b/d$, then

$$\frac{a+b}{c+d} \leqslant \frac{a+ad/c}{c+d} = \frac{a}{c}$$

and the result for finite sums follows by induction. Then

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n} \leqslant \max_{k \leqslant n} \frac{a_k}{b_k} \leqslant \sup_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{a_k}{b_k}$$

and hence the lim sup of the left side satisfies the same inequality; this proves the lemma.

Resuming the proof of Theorem 1: suppose |x| = 1, and write the components of x as

$$x = \{a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1r}; a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2r}; \dots\},\$$

 a_{ii} complex. Then

$$\frac{|(S_{x},x)|}{(x,x)} = \frac{|s_{1}a_{11}a_{12} + \dots + s_{r}a_{1r}a_{21} + s_{1}a_{21}a_{22} + \dots + s_{r}a_{2r}a_{31} + \dots|}{|a_{11}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{1r}|^{2} + |a_{21}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{2r}|^{2} + \dots}$$

1)
$$\leqslant \sup_{k} \frac{|s_{1}a_{k1}a_{k2} + \dots + s_{r}a_{kr}a_{(k+1)1}|}{|a_{k1}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{kr}|^{2}}$$

and

(

(2)
$$\leq \sup_{k} \frac{|s_{1}a_{k1}a_{k2} + \cdots + s_{r}a_{kr}a_{(k+1)r}|}{|a_{(k+1)1}|^{2} + |a_{k2}|^{2} + \cdots + |a_{kr}|^{2}}.$$

Inequality (1) follows from the lemma, and (2) follows by deleting $|a_{11}|^2$ from the denominator (thus increasing the value of the fraction), regrouping terms of the denominator, and applying the lemma.

Setting

$$x_1 = \max(|a_{k1}|, |a_{(k+1)1}|), \quad x_j = |a_{kj}| \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, r,$$

we see that

$$\frac{|(Sx,x)|}{(x,x)} \leq \max\{s_1 x_1 x_2 + \dots + s_r x_r x_1: x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 = 1, x_i \text{ real}\},\$$

and therefore w(S) is equal to this maximum value; this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. If $s_k \leq p_k$ and $s_k - p_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\{p_k\}$ is a periodic sequence with period r, then

$$w(S) = \max\{p_1 x_1 x_2 + \dots + p_r x_r x_1 \colon x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 = 1, x_i \text{ real}\}$$

PROOF. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, letting T be the shift with weights p_k , let x be a unit vector such that $(Tx, x) > w(T) - \varepsilon$. Choose n such that $|s_k - p_k| < \varepsilon$ for $k \ge n$. Let y be the unit vector with $y_k = 0, k = 1, ..., n; y_{k+n} = x_k, k = 1, 2, ...$ Then $(Ty, y) = (Tx, x) > w(T) - \varepsilon$.

Now

$$||Ty - Sy|| \leq \sup_{k>n} |p_k - s_k| \leq \varepsilon$$

so $|(Ty, y) - (Sy, y)| < \varepsilon$ and so $(Sy, y) > w(T) - 2\varepsilon$.

Therefore $w(S) \ge w(T)$. Since $0 \le s_k \le p_k$, we easily have $w(S) \le w(T)$, and so the two are equal. By Theorem 1,

$$w(T) = \max\{p_1 x_1 x_2 + \dots + p_r x_r x_1 \colon x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 = 1, x_i \text{ real}\} = w(S);$$

this proves Theorem 2.

EXAMPLES. (1) If r = 2 the weights are a, b, a, b, \ldots ; we are to maximize $(a + b)x_1x_2$, that is, maximize x_1x_2 subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$. The solution is $x_1 = x_2 = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $(a + b)x_1x_2 = (a + b)/2$, and so the numerical radius is the average of the two weights.

(2) If r = 3 the weights are a, b, c, a, b, c, ...; we must maximize $ax_1x_2 + bx_2x_3 + cx_3x_1$ with $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_3^2 = 1$; we have the system

$$ax_2 + cx_3 = \lambda x_1, \quad ax_1 + bx_3 = \lambda x_2, \quad bx_2 + cx_1 = \lambda x_3,$$

which (if $x_1 \neq 0$) leads to the cubic equation $\lambda^3 - (a^2 + b^2 + c^2)\lambda - 2abc = 0$.

NOTES. (1) The numerical range is always a disk about 0, of positive radius except in the trivial case where all the weights are zero.

(2) If any weight is zero then the disk is closed; for (assuming $s_r = 0$ for example) then (Sx, x)/(x, x) is actually equal to the expression (1), which in turn attains its maximum on the compact sphere (2).

(3) For weights (1, 1, 1, ...) the disk is open; for |(Sx, x)| = 1, |x| = 1, would imply Sx = kx, |k| = 1, which is impossible.

(4) I surmise, but have yet to prove, that the disk is open whenever all the weights are nonzero; that is, (Sx, x) cannot attain its sup w(S) for |x| = 1.

Reference

1. W. C. Ridge, Approximate point spectrum of a weighted shift, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (1970), 349-356. MR 40 #7843.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA-PURDUE UNIVERSITY AT INDIANA-POLIS, INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46205