

ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE FIXED-POINT SET OF A NONEXPANSIVE MAPPING IN A BANACH SPACE

RONALD E. BRUCK, JR.

ABSTRACT. If C is a closed convex subset of a reflexive, strictly convex Banach space E , and $T: C \rightarrow E$ is a nonexpansive mapping which has a fixed-point in the interior of C , then there exists a nonexpansive mapping $T^*: E \rightarrow E$ whose fixed-point set in C is the fixed-point set of T .

In this note we investigate the structure of the fixed-point set $F(T)$ of a nonexpansive mapping $T: C \rightarrow E$, where C is a closed convex subset of a Banach space E and T does not necessarily map C into itself. It is known that T need not have an extension to a nonexpansive mapping $T^*: E \rightarrow \overline{\text{co}} T(C)$, (De Figueiredo and Karlovitz [3], Bruck [1]), so the following result is of interest:

THEOREM. *If C is a closed convex subset of a reflexive strictly convex Banach space E , $T: C \rightarrow E$ is nonexpansive, and T has a fixed point in the interior of C , then there exists a nonexpansive mapping $T^*: E \rightarrow E$ whose fixed points in C are exactly the fixed points of T .*

Before proving the theorem, we establish a variant of Lemma 5 of [2]:

LEMMA. *If y is a fixed point of T interior to C then there exists a nonexpansive retraction of E onto the cone*

$$K(y; F(T)) = \text{cl} \cup \{t \cdot F(T) + (1 - t)y : t > 0\}.$$

PROOF. Let $\delta > 0$ be so small that $B = \{x \in E: \|x - y\| \leq \delta\}$ is contained in C . Since $Ty = y$ and T is nonexpansive, $T(B) \subset B$. The restriction $T|_B$ is a nonexpansive mapping of B into itself, so by [2, Theorem 2] there exists a nonexpansive retraction r_1 of B onto $F(T|_B) = F(T) \cap B$. For $t > 0$ define

$$B_t = tB + (1 - t)y, \quad F_t = t \cdot F(T) \cap B + (1 - t)y,$$

and

Received by the editors January 2, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Nonexpansive mapping, nonexpansive retraction.

Copyright © 1977, American Mathematical Society

$$r_t(x) = t \cdot r_1(t^{-1}x + (1 - t^{-1})y) + (1 - t)y$$

for $x \in B_t$, $r_t(x) = y$ for $x \in B_t$. $F(T)$ is convex because E is strictly convex, so for $0 < s < t$, $F_s \subset F_t$ and $B_s \subset B_t$. It is easy to verify that r_t is a retraction of E into F_t which is nonexpansive on B_t (but not on E). Evidently $\bigcup \{B_t: t > 0\} = E$ and $\text{cl } \bigcup \{F_t: t > 0\} = K(y; F(T))$. Denote $K(y; F(T))$ by K . We shall construct a nonexpansive retraction of E onto K as the limit—in an appropriate product space—of a subnet of $\{r_t: t > 0\}$ (limits taken as $t \rightarrow \infty$).

For x in E put $E_x = \{u \in E: \|u - y\| \leq \|x - y\|\}$, $P = \prod_{x \in E} E_x$, give E_x its weak topology, and P the corresponding product topology. By the reflexivity of E and Tychonoff's theorem, P is compact. Evidently $\{r_t: t > 0\}$ is a net in P , and therefore has a subnet which converges to some r in P .

Given any two x_1, x_2 in E , it follows from the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm, the nonexpansiveness of r_t on B_t , and the fact that $x_1, x_2 \in B_t$ for sufficiently large t , that $\|r(x_1) - r(x_2)\| \leq \|x_1 - x_2\|$. That is, r is nonexpansive. Since $F_s \subset F_t$ for $0 < s < t$, r_t leaves such point of F_s fixed; hence so does r . The denseness of $\bigcup F_s$ in K and the continuity of r imply that r fixes each point of K . Finally, each r_t maps E into $F_t \subset K$, and since K is weakly closed (being closed and convex) it follows that the range of r is contained in K . These three facts— r fixes each point of K , the range of r , is contained in K , and r is nonexpansive—mean r is a nonexpansive retraction of E onto K . Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Put $R = \bigcap \{K(y; F(T)): y \in F(T) \cap \text{int } C\}$. By hypothesis, $F(T) \cap \text{int } C \neq \emptyset$, and by the Lemma, there exists a nonexpansive retraction of E onto each $K(y; F(T))$; by [2, Theorem 5], therefore, there exists a nonexpansive retraction of E onto R . Let T^* be such a retraction. We claim that T^* satisfies the conclusion of the Theorem. Obviously $F(T) \subset C \cap R = F(T^*|_C)$. If $F(T) \neq F(T^*|_C)$, let $x_0 \in C \cap R \setminus F(T)$. We reach a contradiction as follows: let $y_0 \in F(T) \cap \text{int } C$. Since $F(T)$ is closed, the intersection of the line segment $[x_0, y_0]$ with $F(T)$ contains a point z_0 closest to x_0 . $z_0 \neq x_0$ (because $x_0 \notin F(T)$), $x_0 \in C$, and $y_0 \in \text{int } C$, therefore $z_0 \in \text{int } C$. Choose a point $x \neq z_0$ in $[x_0, z_0]$ which is closer to z_0 than to $\text{bdry } C$; thus $x \notin F(T)$. Now let y be the point of $F(T)$ which is closest to x (this exists because $F(T)$ is closed and convex and E is reflexive). Since x is closer to z_0 (which is in $F(T)$) than to $\text{bdry } C$, y must lie in $\text{int } C$. But R is convex, $x_0, z_0 \in R$, and $x \in [x_0, z_0]$, so $x \in R$. In particular,

$$x \in K(y; F(T)).$$

To summarize, there exists a point x such that $x \notin F(T)$, but for the point y of $F(T)$ closest to x , $x \in K(y; F(T))$. This is an obvious impossibility, and establishes $F(T^*|_C) = F(T)$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. If, in addition to the hypotheses of the Theorem, $F(T) \subset \text{int } C$, then $F(T^*) = F(T)$.

PROOF. $F(T^*)$ is convex and, by the Theorem, $F(T^*) \cap C = F(T) \subset \text{int } C$; thus $F(T^*) \subset C$, hence $F(T^*) = F(T)$. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. R. E. Bruck, Jr., *A characterization of Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1974), 173–175. MR **49** #5788.
2. ———, *Properties of fixed-point sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973), 251–262. MR **48** #2843.
3. D. G. de Figueiredo and L. A. Karlovitz, *On the extension of contractions on normed spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 18, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1970, pp. 95–104. MR **43** #877.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90007