

MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AND SMOOTH ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

ROBERT KAUFMAN

ABSTRACT. Meromorphic functions with many zeroes can have logarithmic derivatives that are relatively smooth. We prove this, with a new construction of smooth analytic functions with many zeroes. Our examples belong to the theory of differential fields of functions.

In this note we consider functions y , meromorphic in the disk $|z| < 1$, and their logarithmic derivatives $L(y) = y'/y$. Plainly, zeroes and poles of y are poles of $L(y)$, but the multiplicity of the zeroes and poles is not easily controlled. When $L(y)$ is a function of bounded characteristic, i.e. a quotient of bounded analytic functions in $|z| < 1$, the sequence $S = (z_k)_1^\infty$ of zeroes of y must fulfill the Blaschke condition $\sum 1 - |z_k| < +\infty$, but S. Bank proved recently [1] that the multiplicities of the zeroes z_k can be determined arbitrarily, if only $\sum 1 - |z_k| < +\infty$.

The set of functions of bounded characteristic forms a field, but not a differential field; indeed, W. Rudin [4] constructed a bounded analytic function g such that $\int_0^1 |g'(re^{i\theta})| dr = +\infty$ for almost all θ , whence g' is not of bounded characteristic. (See also [5].) Let now A^∞ be the class of functions g , such that each derivative $g^{(n)}$ is bounded in $|z| < 1$, and M^∞ the field of quotients of A^∞ . Clearly M^∞ is a differential field of functions.

THEOREM. *Let $g \in A^\infty$, $g \not\equiv 0$, and let $S = (z_k)_1^\infty$ be the zero-set of g in $|z| < 1$. Then for any sequence (n_k) of nonnegative integers, there is a meromorphic function y , with zeroes at z_k of multiplicity n_k (and no other zeroes) such that $L(y)$ is in M^∞ .*

In the proof of our theorem we need a precise description of possible zero-sets S , obtained in [3] and [7]. In an Appendix we derive this description by a method rather different from [3], [7].

It is easy to derive a necessary property of S in terms of the function $\rho(z) = \inf\{|z - s| : s \in S\}$, since $|g(e^{i\theta})| \leq C\rho(e^{i\theta})$. Now it is clear that $\log \rho(e^{i\theta})$ must be integrable on $(0, 2\pi)$; in combination with the Blaschke condition, this is sufficient.

Received by the editors April 22, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A76, 30A78; Secondary 46E15, 30A70.

Key words and phrases. Logarithmic derivative, conformal mapping, Poisson integral, zero set.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

Let now $S = (z_k)$ be a zero-set as in the statement of the theorem. We choose $z_k^* \notin S$ so that

$$2|z_k^* - z_k| < 1 - |z_k| \quad \text{and} \quad n_k|z_k - z_k^*| \leq C_r(1 - |z_k|)^{r+2}k^{-2}$$

for $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We set $S_1 = (z_k) \cup (z_k^*)$, and observe S_1 is a Blaschke sequence, while $|e^{i\theta} - z_k| \leq 2|e^{i\theta} - z_k^*|$, so that S_1 is the zero-set of some function g_1 in A^∞ .

We assert now that the series

$$h_N = g_1 \sum_1^N n_k [(z - z_k)^{-1} - (z - z_k^*)^{-1}]$$

converges uniformly on $|z| < 1$, together with all of its derivatives. To verify this, we have only to estimate the derivatives on the boundary $|z| = 1$, and by Leibniz' formula we can omit the factor g_1 . The r th derivative is then

$$\sum_1^N (-1)^r r! n_k [(z - z_k)^{-1-r} - (z - z_k^*)^{-1-r}].$$

Now

$$(\partial/\partial w)(z - w)^{-1-r} = (1 + r)(z - w)^{-2-r},$$

so that

$$|(z - z_k)^{-1-r} - (z - z_k^*)^{-1-r}| \leq C_r |z_k - z_k^*| (1 - |z_k|)^{-2-r}$$

on the boundary $|z| = 1$. We find that $\lim h_N = h$ belongs to A^∞ , and for the function $y = \prod(z - z_k)^{n_k}(z - z_k^*)^{-n_k}$ we have $L(y) = hg_1^{-1}$ in M^∞ .

Appendix. Let S be a Blaschke sequence such that $\log \rho(e^{i\theta})$ is integrable. There is a function $\delta(e^{i\theta})$, of class C^2 on $|z| = 1$, such that $C_1 \rho^2(e^{i\theta}) \leq \delta(e^{i\theta}) \leq \rho^2(e^{i\theta})$. In fact δ is nothing but the square of the "regularized distance" Δ to the set S [6, p. 171]. Let now D^+ be the region bounded by the curve $r = 1 + \delta(e^{i\theta})$, so $D^+ \supseteq D$ and D^+ is a region of class C^2 . There exists a conformal mapping Φ of D^+ onto D such that Φ and Φ^{-1} both have derivatives continuous up to the boundary, and even Hölder-continuous [2, p.374]; whence $a|z_1 - z_2| \leq |\Phi(z_1) - \Phi(z_2)| \leq b|z_1 - z_2|$ for certain constants $a > 0, b > 0$ (Kellogg's theorem). The distance of z_k from ∂D^+ is at most $1 - |z_k| + \delta(e^{i\theta})$ if $z_k = e^{i\theta}|z_k|$, so the distance is at most $2(1 - |z_k|)$. Consequently $1 - |\Phi(z_k)| = O(1 - |z_k|)$, whence $\Phi(S)$ is a Blaschke sequence in D , and there is a bounded analytic function B , on D^+ , with zero-set S .

Let μ_z be the harmonic measure on ∂D^+ for the point z . By the differentiability properties of Φ and Φ^{-1} , we see that $L^1(d\theta)$ and $L^1(d\mu_0)$ can be identified. Moreover, elementary geometry yields the inequality $\rho(Re^{i\theta}) > \rho(e^{i\theta})$ when $R > 1$, so that $\log \rho(w)$ belongs to $L^1(d\mu_0)$. By a classical method we can find a monotone function $\psi(t)$ on $t > 0$, such that $\psi(t) \geq t + 1$ and $\psi(t)/t \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\psi(|\log \rho(w)|)$ is in $L^1(d\mu_0)$. Let u be its Poisson integral on D^+ , v the harmonic conjugate of u , and $g = e^{-u - iv} B$.

Before completing the proof that g belongs to A^∞ , we observe an inequality on μ_z for z near ∂D^+ . Let Γ_z be the part of the boundary defined by the inequality $|z - w| < 3d(z, \partial D^+)$. Using the continuity of Φ' we find that $\mu_z(\Gamma_z) \geq a > 0$ for all z in D^+ . On Γ_z we have $\rho(w) \leq \rho(z) + 3d(z, \partial D^+)$, so that, if $\rho(z) + d(z, \partial D^+)$ is small, $u(z)$ is a large multiple of

$$-\log[\rho(z) + d(z, \partial D^+)],$$

and $|g(z)|$ is bounded by a large power of $\rho + d$. Thus, for each $N > 0$

$$|g(z)| \leq C_N[\rho(z) + d(z, \partial D^+)]^N.$$

Around each z in D^+ we draw a disk of radius $d(z, \partial D^+)/2$, and observe that the bound for g is increased by at most 2^N . Cauchy's formulas give, for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$|g^{(r)}(z)| \leq C_{N,r}[\rho(z) + d(z, \partial D^+)]^N / d^r(z, \partial D).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and observe that on the set defined by the inequality $d(z, \partial D^+) \geq \varepsilon \rho^2(z)$, each $g^{(r)}$ is uniformly bounded. But for small $\varepsilon > 0$, this set contains the disk $|z| < 1$. Indeed $d(|z|e^{i\theta}, \partial D^+) \geq 1 - |z| + \varepsilon \rho^2(e^{i\theta})$, so the inequality is true as soon as $\varepsilon(1 - 2\varepsilon)^2 \geq \varepsilon$.

In the proof just completed, S could have contained points on ∂D , in which case all the derivatives $g^{(r)}$ vanish on $S \cap \partial D$. It is also worth remarking that a Blaschke sequence contained in a ball $|z - r| \leq 1 - r$ ($0 < r < 1$) is a zero-set for A^∞ , because $\rho(e^{i\theta}) \geq |e^{i\theta} - r| - 1 + r > a\theta^2$ for small θ .

REFERENCES

1. S. Bank, *On the existence of meromorphic solutions of differential equations having arbitrarily rapid growth*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear).
2. G. M. Golusin, *Geometrische Funktionentheorie*, VEB Deutscher Verlag, Berlin, 1957. MR 19, 735.
3. J. D. Nelson, *A characterization of zero sets for A^∞* , Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971), 141–147. MR 44 #410.
4. W. Rudin, *The radial variation of analytic functions*, Duke Math. J. 22 (1955), 235–242. MR 18, 27.
5. ———, *On a problem of Bloch and Nevanlinna*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 202–204. MR 16, 810.
6. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. MR 44 #7280.
7. B. A. Taylor and D. L. Williams, *Zeros of Lipschitz functions analytic in the unit disc*, Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971), 129–139. MR 44 #409.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801

Current address: Swain Hall East, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47401