# RANKS OF MATRICES OVER ORE DOMAINS 

H. BEDOYA AND J. LEWIN ${ }^{1,2}$

Abstract. Let $R$ be a Noetherian Ore domain. Then rank $M=$ inner rank $M$ for every matrix $M$ over $R$ if and only if $R$ is projective-free of global dimension at most 2.

1. Let $R$ be a right and left Ore domain with field of quotients $Q$ and let $M$ be a finitely generated right $R$-module. The then $\operatorname{rank} r(M)$ is the $Q$ dimension of the vector space $M \otimes_{R} Q$ and we denote by $d(M)$ the least number of elements in a set of generators of $M$.

If $\gamma$ is a homomorphism of free $R$-modules $\gamma: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$, then the rank $r(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ is the rank of the image of $\gamma$. The inner rank $\rho(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ (defined by Bergman [1, p. 126] for arbitrary rings) may be defined to be the minimum of $d(M)$, where $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \leqslant M \leqslant R^{m}$. Alternatively, if $G$ is a matrix for $\gamma$, then $\rho(\gamma)$ is the least integer $\rho$ such that $G=G_{1} G_{2}$ with $G_{1}$ an $m \times \rho$ and $G_{2}$ a $\rho \times n$ matrix. Inner rank and rank do not always coincide, even over commutative domains. In this note we give necessary and sufficient conditions on a Noetherian Ore domain for the two notions of rank to coincide, and thus give a partial answer to a question raised by Bergman [1, p. 150].
2. Throughout, $R$ is a right and left Ore domain with field of quotients $Q$. All modules are right $R$-modules, and tensor products are over $R$.

Lemma 1. (a) If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^{n}$ is exact then $N \otimes Q=0$ implies that $N=0$.
(b) Let $0 \rightarrow R^{n} \rightarrow M$ be an exact sequence of $R$-modules. If $d(M) \leqslant n$ then in fact $M \cong R^{n}$.

Proof. Both parts of the lemma are immediate consequences of the exactness of $\otimes_{R} Q$.
(a) If $x$ is a nonzero element of $N$ then $x R \cong R$. Thus the exactness of $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow N$ gives $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow N \otimes Q$ which insures that $N \otimes Q \neq 0$.
(b) Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^{n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a presentation for $M$. Tensoring both sequences with $Q$, we get the exact diagram
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This shows that both maps into $M \otimes Q$ are isomorphisms and hence that $K \otimes Q=0$. Thus $K=0$, as needed.

Lemma 2. The following are equivalent:
(i) If $M \leqslant R^{n}$, then $r(M)=n$ or $M \leqslant K \leqslant R^{n}$ with $K \simeq R^{n-1}$.
(ii) If $M \leqslant R^{n}$, then $r(M)=n$ or $M \leqslant K \leqslant R^{n}$ with $d(K)=n-1$.
(iii) If $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^{n} \rightarrow R$ is exact, then $K \simeq R^{n-1}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) trivially.
Assume (ii) and let $K^{\prime}$ be the kernel of a functional $R^{n} \rightarrow R$. Tensoring with $Q$, we see $r\left(K^{\prime}\right)=n-1$. Thus if $K^{\prime} \neq R^{n-1}$, then, by Lemma $1, d\left(K^{\prime}\right) \geqslant n$. Then by (ii) $K^{\prime} \leqslant K \leqslant R^{n}$ with $d(K)=n-1$. But $K / K^{\prime}$, as a nonzero submodule of $R$, contains a copy of $R$ generated, say, by $k+K^{\prime}$. But then $k R \cap K^{\prime}=0$ so that $K^{\prime} \oplus k R \leqslant K$ and $r(K) \geqslant n$. This contradicts $d(K)$ $=n-1$ and so (iii) holds.

Assume (iii) and suppose $M \leqslant R^{n}$, with $r(M)<n$. Then there is a $Q$ functional $\gamma: R^{n} \otimes Q \rightarrow Q$ which vanishes at $M \otimes Q$. Let $\gamma^{\prime}$ be the restriction of $\gamma$ to $R^{n}$. Then $\gamma^{\prime}: R^{n} \rightarrow Q$ is an $R$-linear map which vanishes at $M$. Now $\gamma^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right)$ is a finitely generated $R$-module, say $\gamma^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right)=q_{1} R+\cdots+q_{n} R$. Since $R$ is also a left Ore domain, there are elements $r, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ in $R$ with $r \neq 0$ and $q_{i}=r^{-1} r_{i}$. Thus $r \gamma^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right) \subseteq R$. Thus $r \gamma^{\prime}$ is an $R$-functional from $R^{n}$ to $R$. Since $\gamma^{\prime}(M)=0$, also $r \gamma^{\prime}(M)=0$. Thus $M \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}\left(r \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $R^{n-1}$ by assumption, and (i) holds.

Some definitions. $\gamma: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ is full if $\rho(\gamma)=n$. $R$ has $A C C^{*}$ if for each $n$, free $R$-modules have ACC on $n$-generator submodules.

Proposition. (a) Let $R$ satisfy (i) and $\gamma: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$. Then $\rho(\gamma)=r(\gamma)$.
(b) Let $R$ have $A C C^{*}$. If $R$ does not satisfy (iii) then there is a full homomorphism $\gamma: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ of rank less than $n$.

Proof. (a) Assume (i) and let $\gamma: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$. If $m=1$ then clearly $\rho(\gamma)$ $=r(\gamma)$ and we use induction on $m$. If $\rho(\gamma)=m$, then $\operatorname{Im} \gamma$ is not contained in an $m-1$ generator submodule of $R^{m}$. Thus, by $(\mathrm{i}), r(\operatorname{Im} \gamma)=m$ and hence $\rho(\gamma)=r(\gamma)=m$. Otherwise $\operatorname{Im} \gamma \leqslant M \leqslant R^{m}$ with $d(M)=\rho(\gamma)<m$. Thus, by (i), $M \leqslant R^{m-1} \leqslant R^{m}$. Let $\gamma_{1}$ be the map $\gamma$ cut down to $R^{m-1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be the injection $R^{m-1} \rightarrow R^{m}$. Then $\gamma=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$. Clearly $\rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \geqslant \rho(\gamma)$. But since Im $\gamma_{1} \leqslant M \leqslant R^{m-1}$ and $d(M)=\rho(\gamma)$, then $\rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\rho(\gamma)$. Thus $\rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=r\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ by induction. Since $\gamma_{2}$ is one-to-one, $r\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=r(\gamma)$. Thus, finally, $\rho(\gamma)=\rho\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ $=r\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=r(\gamma)$.
(b) Assume $R$ has ACC* and that $\gamma: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ is a functional whose kernel $K$ is not isomorphic to $R^{n-1}$. Since $r(K)=n-1$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $d(K) \geqslant n$ and also that a free submodule $F$ of $K$ has $r(F)=d(F)$
$\leqslant n-1$. Thus $K$ is not free and, by $\mathrm{ACC}^{*}, K$ has the maximal condition on free submodules. Let then $F \leqslant K$ be a submodule of $K$ maximal with respect to being free. Then $r(F)=n-1$. Let $x$ be $K$ but not in $F$. Let $M=F+x R$. If $d(M)<n$, then $M$ is free, in contradiction with the choice of $F$. Thus $d(M)=n$. Suppose $M \leqslant T \leqslant R^{n}$ with $d(T)<n$. Then, since $F \leqslant T$, Lemma 1 insures that $T=R^{n-1}$. Now from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow T$ $\rightarrow T / M \rightarrow 0$ we get the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M \otimes Q \rightarrow T \otimes Q \rightarrow T / M \otimes Q \rightarrow 0
$$

which gives $T / M \otimes Q=0$. Now since $M \leqslant K$ we also have a sequence $T / M \rightarrow T+K / K \rightarrow 0$ which gives

$$
T / M \otimes Q \rightarrow T+K / K \otimes Q \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus $T+K / K \otimes Q$ is zero and hence by Lemma $1, T+K / K=0$, i.e. $T \leqslant K$. This contradicts the maximality of $F$. It follows that any map $\alpha: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ whose image is $M$ has inner rank $n$ and rank $n-1$.

The Proposition shows that for Ore domains with ACC, whether rank = inner rank can be decided by considering only full homomorphisms.

If $R$ is a Noetherian (and hence Ore) domain, we can couch the Proposition in homological terms.

Theorem 1. Let $R$ be a Noetherian domain. Then inner rank $=$ rank if and only if $R$ has global dimension at most two and finitely generated projective $R$ modules are free.

Proof. By Theorem 21 of [3], $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim}(R)=1+\operatorname{hom} \operatorname{dim}(A)$ where $A$ is some ideal of $R$. Present $A$ as $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ where $F$ is a finitely generated free module. If inner rank $=$ rank then (iii) holds so that hom $\operatorname{dim} A \leqslant 1$ and hence $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim}(R) \leqslant 2$. Further, if $P$ is a finitely generated projective with $d=d(P)$ then $P \oplus Q=R^{d}$ for some $Q$. If $r(P)=d$ then $P$ is free. If $r(P)<d$, it follows from (i) that $P \leqslant M<R^{d}$ with $d(M)$ $=d-1$. But $P$ is again a summand of $M$, so $d(P) \leqslant d-1$, a contradiction. So finitely generated projective $R$-modules are free. The reverse implication follows in a similar manner.

The Noetherian, or at least the ACC*, hypothesis of Theorem 1 is necessary: considering matrices, let $M$ be an $m \times n$ matrix over $R$ of inner rank $\rho$. Then $M=M_{1} M_{2}$ where $M_{1}$ is $m \times \rho$ and $M_{2}$ is $\rho \times n$. Then $M$ has inner rank $\rho$ when considered as a matrix over the ring $R^{\prime}$ generated by the entries of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Also, if $R$ is commutative, $r(M)$ is the rank of $M$ as a matrix over $R^{\prime}$, since $r(M)$ is the maximal order of a submatrix of $M$ with nonzero determinant. So if $R$ is commutative and inner rank $=$ rank for all finitely generated subrings of $R$, this is also true for $R$. Thus a union of (finitely generated) projective-free commutative rings of global dimension $\leqslant 2$ has the property that inner rank = rank. Such a ring may well have global dimension $>2$. For example let $G$ be a torsion-free infinitely generated locally cyclic abelian group. Then $\mathbf{Z} G$ has global dimension 3 [2, Theorem 5, p. 149].
3. Remarks. (a) David Lissner proved for us that the following is an explicit example of a full $3 \times 3$ matrix which has rank 2 : let $k$ be a field,

$$
R=k[x, y, z], \quad \text { and } \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-z & 0 & x \\
y & -x & 0 \\
0 & z & -y
\end{array}\right)
$$

(b) It is easy to see that if every full matrix over $R[x]$ is invertible over $Q(x)$ then every full matrix over $R$ is invertible over $Q$. Theorem 1 gives a simple proof of the well-known fact that if $R$ is a Dedekind domain and $R[x]$ is projective-free then $R$ is a PID.
(c) Using results of Lissner and Geramita [4, Theorems 2.6 and 3.4], Theorem 1 can be restated in terms of the outer product property: for a commutative Noetherian domain, inner rank $=$ rank if and only if $R$ is an outer product domain which is a UFD.
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