

CONDITIONS FOR RESTRICTED TRANSLATION OPERATORS TO BELONG TO S_p

HARRY DYM AND ISIDOR SHAPIRO

ABSTRACT. Let B be a Blaschke product, let P be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ onto the left invariant subspace $H^2 \ominus BH^2$ and let $E_t: f(\gamma) \rightarrow e^{-i\gamma t} f(\gamma)$. Conditions are given on the roots of B for PE_tP to belong to S_p , $0 < p < \infty$.

1. Introduction. This paper gives conditions for membership in S_p , $0 < p < \infty$, for the operators $K_t = PE_tP$, $t > 0$, in which E_t designates multiplication by $e^{-i\gamma t}$: $E_t f(\gamma) = e^{-i\gamma t} f(\gamma)$, and P stands for the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ onto the "left invariant" subspace $H^2 \ominus BH^2$. Here H^2 is the Hardy space of class 2 over the upper-half plane, and

$$B(\gamma) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma/\omega_k}{1 - \gamma/\omega_k^*} \right)$$

is the Blaschke product with *infinitely* many roots [poles]

$$\omega_k = a_k + ib_k \quad [\omega_k^* = a_k - ib_k], \quad b_k > 0,$$

which are subject to the usual constraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{|\omega_k|^2 + 1} < \infty.$$

Recall that K_t belongs to S_p , $0 < p \leq \infty$, if (1) it is compact, and (2) its s numbers belong to l_p . The s numbers, $s_1(t), s_2(t), \dots$, of K_t are the positive square roots of the eigenvalues of $K_t^* K_t$ arranged in decreasing size: $s_1(t) \geq s_2(t) \geq \dots$. Gohberg and Krein [2] is recommended for additional information on these spaces.

Let

$$\alpha = \alpha_B = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2b_k}{|\omega_k - a|^2}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^1,$$

and let

Received by the editors June 6, 1976 and, in revised form, October 20, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B10; Secondary 47A15, 47D05.

Key words and phrases. Compact operators, trace class, Hilbert Schmidt class, S_p class, translation invariant subspaces, semigroups.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

$\beta = \beta_B =$ the abscissa of convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-b_n t}$.

AMPLIFICATION. If $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots$, then

$$\beta = \limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{b_n};$$

see p. 45 of Widder [7].

The chief conclusions of this paper are:

THEOREM 2. *In order for K_t to belong to S_p , $0 < p < \infty$, it is sufficient that $t > [\sqrt{(\beta/p)} + \sqrt{\alpha}]^2$, and it is necessary that $t \geq \max [(\beta/p), \alpha]$.*

THEOREM 3. *K_t belongs to S_p , $0 < p < \infty$, for every $t > 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = 0$.*

Theorem 3 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2. The condition $\beta = 0$ means that b_n must tend to ∞ rapidly enough as $n \uparrow \infty$ so that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-b_n t} < \infty$ for every $t > 0$. It is interesting to compare the conclusions of Theorem 3 with those of Koosis [3] and Lax [4] who proved that K_t is compact for every $t > 0$ if and only if $\alpha = 0$ and $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} b_n = \infty$. The proof of Theorem 2 is given in §4. It depends in part upon the following refinement of the Koosis-Lax conditions for compactness.

THEOREM 1. *In order for K_t to be compact for a fixed $t > 0$, it is necessary that $t \geq \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} b_n = \infty$, and it is sufficient that $t > \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} b_n = \infty$.*

This refinement must have been known to Moeller and Frederickson since the estimates used in their paper [6] can be adapted to prove Theorem 1. Nevertheless, since the result is not stated explicitly there, and the connections between their work and ours are not altogether transparent, a proof seems to be called for. The details will be given in §3.

Finally, it should be noted that the space $H^2 \ominus BH^2$ is the image under the Fourier transform of the closed linear span in $L^2(0, \infty)$ of the functions

$$e^{-i\omega_k^* x}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

augmented by

$$xe^{-i\omega_k^* x}, x^2 e^{-i\omega_k^* x}, \dots, x^{m-1} e^{-i\omega_k^* x},$$

in case that ω_k is a root of order m of the Blaschke product B ; see Lax [4] for help with this. In this formulation K_t corresponds to left translation in the amount t , and the fact that K_t is a semigroup of bounded operators: $K_{t+s} = K_t K_s$, becomes transparent. The semigroup property implies that K_{t+s} belongs to S_p , $0 < p < \infty$, for every $s \geq 0$ as soon as K_t does.

2. **Preliminaries.** In this section a number of facts and elementary estimates are prepared for future use.

NOTATION. H^1, H^2, H^∞ , denote the Hardy spaces of classes 1, 2 and ∞ , respectively, over the upper half-plane, $\omega^* = a - ib$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\omega = a + ib$, and the limits of integration in all unmarked integrals such as $\int f(\gamma) d\gamma$ are $\pm \infty$. The orthogonal projection of $L^2(R^1)$ onto $H^2 [H^2 \ominus BH^2]$ is designated by $\wp [P]$.

LEMMA 1. $K_t = \wp E_t P$ and $K_{t+s} = K_t K_s$ for $s, t \geq 0$.

PROOF. An easy computation shows that $\wp E_t P$ maps $H^2 \ominus BH^2$ into itself. Therefore $K_t = P E_t P = P \wp E_t P = \wp E_t P$. This justifies the first assertion. The second is self-evident from the remarks in the introduction. For a direct proof in the language of K_t see Dym [1].

LEMMA 2. *The set of functions*

$$\phi_n(\gamma) = \sqrt{\frac{b_n}{\pi}} \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \gamma/\omega_k}{1 - \gamma/\omega_k^*} / (\gamma - \omega_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is an orthonormal basis for $H^2 \ominus BH^2$.

PROOF. The orthonormality is an easy consequence of Cauchy's formula. The completeness proof is slightly more involved and rests upon the observation (which the reader may verify directly) that if $f \in H^2 \ominus BH^2$, then $f = Bg^*$ with $g \in H^2 \ominus BH^2$ (see Dym [1] for details). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi_n(\gamma) [f(\gamma)]^* d\gamma &= \sqrt{\frac{b_n}{\pi}} \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1 - \gamma/\omega_k^*}{1 - \gamma/\omega_k} / (\gamma - \omega_n) \right) g(\gamma) d\gamma \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n c_k g(\omega_k) \quad (c_k \neq 0), \end{aligned}$$

assuming, for the sake of a simpler exposition, that the roots of B are distinct; otherwise some derivatives will intervene. But this makes it plain that if f is orthogonal to all the ϕ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then g must vanish at all points ω_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This exhibits g as an element of both BH^2 and $H^2 \ominus BH^2$. Hence $g = 0$ and $f = Bg^* = 0$.

LEMMA 3. *Let P_n denote the orthogonal projection onto the closed linear span of ϕ_k , $k \geq n$, and let*

$$B_n(\gamma) = \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma/\omega_k}{1 - \gamma/\omega_k^*} \right).$$

Then

$$\|K_t P_n\| \leq e^{-bt} / \inf_a |B_n(a + ib)|$$

for any choice of $b < \inf\{b_k: k \geq n\}$.

PROOF. This bound is essentially due to Koosis [3] and may be deduced from the formula

$$\|K_t P_n\| = \sup \left| \int \frac{e^{i\gamma t}}{B_n(\gamma)} f(\gamma) d\gamma \right|, \quad f \in H^1, \|f\|_1 = 1,$$

for the norm of $K_t P_n = \nu E_t P P_n = \nu E_t P_n = P_n E_t P_n$ by displacing the line of integration into the upper half-plane. The formula for the norm is implicit in the work of Koosis [3]; an explicit proof may also be found in Dym [1]. The stated identification of $K_t P_n$ follows from Lemmas 1 and 2.

LEMMA 4. *The bounds*

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left\{ \frac{2bv}{|\omega - a|^2} \left[1 - \frac{\delta^2}{1 - \delta^2} \right] \right\} &\leq \left| \frac{1 - ib/(\omega^* - a)}{1 - ib/(\omega - a)} \right| \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{2bv}{|\omega - a|^2} \left[1 + \frac{\delta^2}{1 - \delta^2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

prevail for every pair of points $a + ib$, and $\omega = u + iv$ in the open upper half-plane with $b/v \leq \delta < 1$.

PROOF. This is a refinement of an estimate due to Koosis [3] and is similar in content to Lemma 4 of [6]. The details can be carried out much as in the proof of Lemma 3 of Dym [1]. Indeed you have only to set $\xi = ib$ and $\zeta = \omega - a$ in that paper, and to check that the real part of $\alpha|\xi/\zeta|$ is bounded by $\delta^2(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}$ since only the summands with odd index in the sum on the top of p. 396 in [1] intervene.

LEMMA 5. *If $\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} b_k = \infty$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists an n_0 such that $\|K_t P_n\| \leq \exp\{-\delta b_n [t - (\alpha + \varepsilon)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}]\}$ for every $n \geq n_0$.*

PROOF. Given ε and δ choose n_0 so large that $b \leq \delta b_k$ for $k \geq n_0$ and $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2b_k/|\omega_k - a|^2 \leq \alpha + \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then, by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n(a + ib)|^{-1} &\leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2bb_k}{|\omega_k - a|^2} (1 - \delta^2)^{-1} \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ b(\alpha + \varepsilon)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq n_0$. To finish, invoke Lemma 3 with $b = \delta b_n$.

LEMMA 6. *The eigenvalues $\lambda_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, of K_t are in 1:1 correspondence with the roots ω_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, of B :*

$$\lambda_k(t) = e^{-i\omega_k^* t}.$$

The corresponding system of root vectors is complete.

PROOF. In the case of distinct roots it is easily checked that $K_t \psi_k = \lambda_k \psi_k$ with $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(t) = \exp(-i\omega_k^* t)$ and $\psi_k(\gamma) = (\gamma - \omega_k^*)^{-1}$.

If, say, ω_1 has multiplicity p , i.e., $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \dots = \omega_p$, then the corresponding root vectors are given by

$$\psi_k(\gamma) = (\gamma - \omega_1^*)^{-k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, p,$$

and a routine calculation, based upon the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} (K_t \psi_k)(\omega) &= \int \frac{e^{-i\gamma t}}{\gamma - \omega} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma - \omega_1^*} \right)^k \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi i} \\ &= - \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{d\gamma^{k-1}} \left[\frac{e^{i\gamma t}}{\gamma - \omega} \right] \Bigg|_{\gamma = \omega_1^*} \end{aligned}$$

for points ω in the open upper half-plane, leads to the identity

$$K_t \psi_k = e^{-i\omega_1^* t} \left[\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-it)^{k-j}}{(k-j)!} \psi_j \right].$$

This exhibits ψ_1, \dots, ψ_p as root vectors associated with the eigenvalue $\exp(-i\omega_1^* t)$. The full set of root vectors is complete in $H^2 \ominus BH^2$ because any f in that space which is orthogonal to all of them belongs to both BH^2 and $H^2 \ominus BH^2$ and so must vanish.

3. **Conditions for compactness.** The next two lemmas are due to Koosis [3]. For the sake of completeness and the convenience of the reader, new and somewhat quicker proofs will be given.

NOTATION. (f, g) denotes the standard inner product $\iint fg^*$ in L^2 .

LEMMA 7. *Let P_n denote the orthogonal projection introduced in Lemma 3. Then K_t is compact if and only if $\|K_t P_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \uparrow \infty$.*

PROOF. If $\|K_t P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \uparrow \infty$, then $K_t = K_t(I - P_n) + K_t P_n$ can be approximated in norm by the finite dimensional operator

$$K_t(I - P_n): f \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (f, \phi_k) K_t \phi_k,$$

and so K_t must be compact. Conversely, if K_t is compact, then for every choice of n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists an element u_n in $P_n(H^2 \ominus BH^2)$ with $\|u_n\| = 1$ such that

$$\|K_t P_n\| = \|K_t P_n u_n\| = \|K_t u_n\|.$$

Moreover, the bound

$$|(u_n, g)|^2 = |(u_n, P_n g)|^2 \leq \|P_n g\|^2 = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |(g, \phi_k)|^2,$$

which is valid for every $g \in L^2$, implies that u_n tends weakly to zero in L^2 as $n \uparrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \|K_t P_n\| = \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \|K_t u_n\| = 0,$$

since K_t is compact, and the proof is complete.

LEMMA 8. *If K_t is compact then $\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} b_k = \infty$.*

PROOF. This is immediate from Lemma 6 since the eigenvalues of an infinite dimensional compact operator must tend to 0.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. The sufficiency is immediate from Lemma 7 and the bound of Lemma 5: You have only to choose ϵ and δ in the latter so as to be positive and yet small enough so that $0 < (\alpha + \epsilon)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} < t$. The proof of necessity is adapted from Moeller and Frederickson [6]. A basic ingredient is an observation credited to Lax [4], [5] (although he credits it to Müntz and Szász), which in our notation amounts to the identity

$$|B_n(a + ib)| = \|(I - P_n)\varphi\|_2$$

for $\varphi = \varphi(\gamma) = (b/\pi)^{1/2}(\gamma - \omega^*)^{-1}$ and $\omega = a + ib$ with $b > 0$. Because of it,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-bt} &= \|e^{-i\omega^* t} \varphi\|_2 = \|\wp E_t \varphi\|_2 \\ &= \|K_t P_n \varphi + \wp E_t (I - P_n) \varphi\|_2 \\ &\leq \|K_t P_n\| + |B_n(a + ib)| \\ &\leq \|K_t P_n\| + \exp \left\{ - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2b_k b}{|\omega_k - a|^2} \left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{1 - \delta^2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

presuming, as you may in view of Lemma 8, that $|b/b_k| \leq \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ for $k \geq n$ and n large enough. But this implies that

$$e^{-bt} \leq \|K_t P_n\| + \exp \left\{ -ab(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}(1 - 2\delta^2) \right\},$$

and so, because of Lemma 7, you have only to let $n \uparrow \infty$, and $\delta \downarrow 0$, in that order, to complete the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.

PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY. The assumptions imply, in particular, that $t > \alpha$ and that $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} b_n = \infty$. Therefore K_t is compact, by Theorem 1, and you may assume that the roots are indexed so as to have $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots$. Now the minimax characterization of eigenvalues implies that the n th s number of K_t is subject to the bound $s_n(t) \leq \|K_t P_n\|$. Therefore, by Lemma 5, you see that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ there exists an n_0 such that

$$[s_n(t)]^p \leq \exp\left\{-p\delta b_n\left[t - (\alpha + \epsilon)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}\right]\right\}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Consequently, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [s_n(t)]^p$ will converge for

$$t > \limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{\log \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \exp\left\{p\delta b_k(\alpha + \epsilon)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}\right\} \right|}{pb_n \delta}$$

as follows from the formula for the abscissa of convergence for Dirichlet series; see p. 45 of Widder [7]. But now the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \limsup_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{[\log n + p\delta b_n(\alpha + \epsilon)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}]}{pb_n \delta} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{p\delta} + \frac{(\alpha + \epsilon)}{1 - \delta^2} \leq \frac{\beta}{p\delta} + \frac{\alpha + \epsilon}{1 - \delta}; \end{aligned}$$

and so in order for K_t to belong to S_p it suffices to have

$$t > \beta/p\delta + \alpha/(1 - \delta)$$

for some choice of $0 < \delta < 1$. The final condition is attained by choosing δ so as to minimize the right-hand side.

PROOF OF NECESSITY. To begin with, K_t must be compact and so, by Theorem 1, you must have $t \geq \alpha$. Moreover, by Lemma 6 and p. 41 of Gohberg and Krein [2], it is also necessary that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-b_n p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n(t)|^p < \infty$$

and, hence, that $pt \geq \beta$. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. H. Dym, *An extremal problem in the theory of Hardy functions*, Israel J. Math. **18** (1974), 391-399.
2. I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1965; English transl., Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1969. MR **36** # 3137; **39** # 7447.
3. P. Koosis, *Interior compact spaces of functions on a half-line*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 583-615. MR **20** # 224.

4. P. Lax, *Remarks on the preceding paper*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** (1957), 617–622. MR **20** #225.
5. _____, *Translation invariant spaces*, *Acta Math.* **101** (1959), 163–178. MR **21** #4359.
6. J. W. Moeller and P. O. Frederickson, *A density theorem for lacunary Fourier series*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966), 82–86. MR **35** #2071.
7. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J. 1941. MR **3**, 232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT, ISRAEL