

CANONICAL OBJECTS IN KIRILLOV THEORY ON NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

RICHARD C. PENNEY

ABSTRACT. It is shown that to each element f in the dual space of the Lie algebra of a nilpotent Lie group there is a uniquely defined subgroup K_∞ for which the representation corresponding to f is inducible from a square-integrable-modulo-its-kernel representation of K_∞ .

I. Introduction. Let G be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{A} . Let U be an irreducible unitary representation of G . Under the Kirillov correspondence U corresponds to a unique orbit Θ of the co-adjoint representation ad^* of G in the dual space \mathfrak{A}^* . The correspondence is obtained by selecting an f in Θ and a polarization for f and then forming an appropriate induced representation (see [1]). The polarizations corresponding to a given f are highly nonunique and noncanonical. In this paper we ask the following question: To what extent is it possible to describe U by means of objects *canonically* defined by Θ (or f)? Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Associated with each $f \in \Theta$ there is a canonical subalgebra \mathfrak{K}_∞ with the following properties:*

(a) *If K_∞ is the corresponding subgroup to \mathfrak{K}_∞ and U^∞ is the representation of K_∞ corresponding to $f|_{\mathfrak{K}_\infty}$ then $\text{ind}(K_\infty, G, U^\infty)$ (the representation induced by U^∞) is irreducible and equivalent to U .*

(b) *K_∞ is invariant under any automorphism which fixes f .*

(c) *U_∞ is square integrable modulo its kernel (see [4]).*

This theorem seems useful from several points of view. \mathfrak{K}_∞ of course must contain polarizations for f . Thus there is a distinguished class of polarizations. Furthermore, let \mathfrak{K}_∞ be the radical of $f|_{\mathfrak{K}_\infty}$ i.e.

$$\mathfrak{K}_\infty = \{X \in \mathfrak{K}_\infty \mid f([X, \mathfrak{K}_\infty]) = \{0\}\}.$$

Then $f([\mathfrak{K}_\infty, \mathfrak{K}_\infty]) = 0$. Let H_∞ be the corresponding subgroup. Then $\exp i f \circ \log|_{H_\infty} = \chi_\infty$ defines a character of H_∞ . Since U^∞ is square integrable modulo its kernel, $\text{ind}(H_\infty, K_\infty, \chi_\infty)$ is primary and quasi-equivalent to U^∞ (see [4]). Hence $\text{ind}(H_\infty, G, \chi_\infty)$ is primary and quasi-equivalent to U . The subgroups H_∞ can be used to describe in a canonical fashion the primary

Received by the editors June 10, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22E45.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

projections onto the primary subspaces of nilmanifolds in much the same way that polarizations are used in the character formulas of [2]. We shall go into this in a later paper.

II. Proofs. In this section we shall define and study K_∞ . K_∞ is defined by means of \mathcal{H}_∞ . We shall at first assume only that G is a connected, simply connected, *solvable* Lie group. This will complicate some of our proofs but we feel that it sheds more light on the subject.

Let $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ and let $\mathcal{O}(f)$ be its orbit under ad^* . Let

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}^* \mid \mathcal{O}(f) + t\lambda = \mathcal{O}(f) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

$\mathcal{S}(f)$ is a subspace of \mathfrak{A}^* which is invariant under ad^* . Let $\mathcal{H}(f) = \bigcap \ker \lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(f)$).

LEMMA 1. $\mathcal{H}(f)$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{A} .

PROOF. $\mathcal{H}(f)$ is invariant under $\text{ad}(\exp tX)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{A}$. Differentiating we see $[X, \mathcal{H}(f)] \subset \mathcal{H}(f)$. Q.E.D.

Now we define a sequence of subalgebras of \mathfrak{A} as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_1(f) = \mathcal{H}(f), \quad \mathcal{H}_k(f) = \mathcal{H}(f \mid \mathcal{H}_{k-1}(f)).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_\infty(f) = \bigcap \mathcal{H}_k(f)$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$).

LEMMA 2. Let \mathfrak{A}_1 and \mathfrak{A}_2 be Lie algebras. Let $A: \mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_2$ be an automorphism and let $f_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2^*$ and $f_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1^*$ be such that $f_2 \circ A = f_1$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\infty(f_2) = A(\mathcal{H}_\infty(f_1))$.

PROOF. Clearly $A^*(\mathcal{S}(f_2)) = \mathcal{S}(f_1)$. Hence $A(\mathcal{H}_1(f_1)) = \mathcal{H}_1(f_2)$. The result follows by induction since $\mathcal{H}_\infty(f) = \mathcal{H}_\infty(f \mid \mathcal{H}_1)$. Q.E.D.

We shall require a criterion for deciding when $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \mathfrak{A}$. First we need some notation. If $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ and \mathfrak{M} is subspace of \mathfrak{A} , let

$$\mathfrak{M}^f = \{X \in \mathfrak{A} \mid f([X, M]) = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathfrak{M}\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{A}^f$. Let $R = \{x \in G \mid \text{ad} x f = f\}$.

THEOREM 1. $\mathcal{H}_\infty \neq \mathfrak{A}$ iff there is a proper ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathfrak{A} containing \mathfrak{R} .

PROOF. $\mathcal{H}_\infty \neq \mathfrak{A}$ iff $\mathcal{H}_1 \neq \mathfrak{A}$, so it suffices to consider \mathcal{H}_1 . We claim that \mathcal{H}_1 contains \mathfrak{R} . Let

$$K = \{x \in G \mid \text{ad}^* x(f) \mid \mathcal{H}_1 = f \mid \mathcal{H}_1\}.$$

Since \mathcal{H}_1^\perp (the annihilator of \mathcal{H}_1) is \mathcal{S} , we have $f + \mathcal{H}_1^\perp \subset \mathcal{O}(f)$. It follows that $\text{ad}^* K(f) = f + \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$. Hence $\dim R \setminus K = \dim \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$. The Lie algebra \mathcal{K} of K is \mathcal{H}_1^f . Then $\dim \mathcal{K} - \dim \mathfrak{R} = \dim R \setminus K = \dim \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$. The kernel of the map $X \rightarrow f([X, \cdot])$ of \mathcal{K} into \mathfrak{A}^* is \mathfrak{R} and hence the image of \mathcal{K} has $\dim \mathcal{K} - \dim \mathfrak{R} = \dim \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$. The image is contained in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp so

$$\mathfrak{K}_1^\perp = \{f([X, \cdot]) \mid X \in \mathfrak{K}\}.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{K}_1 = \mathfrak{K}^f$ and thus $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{K}_1$, proving that \mathfrak{R} is contained in an ideal.

Conversely, let R_0 be the subgroup corresponding to \mathfrak{R} . If \mathfrak{g} is an ideal containing \mathfrak{R} , we claim that $\mathfrak{g}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{S}(f)$. Let $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{g}^f$. Let L be the corresponding analytic subgroup of G . The mapping $\phi: x \rightarrow \text{ad}^* x(f)$ of L into $\mathfrak{O}(f)$ maps into the affine subspace $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ and is constant on cosets of R_0 in L . (Note that $R_0 \subset L$.) It gives rise to a C^∞ map $\tilde{\phi}$ of $R_0 \backslash L$ into $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$. The tangent space at $R_0 e$ in $R_0 \backslash L$ is canonically isomorphic with $\mathfrak{R} \backslash \mathfrak{L}$ while the tangent space at f in $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ is \mathfrak{g}^\perp . The differential of $\tilde{\phi}$ at $R_0 e$ is given by $X + \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow f([X, \cdot])$. Now the bilinear form $B_f = f([\cdot, \cdot])$ is nondegenerate on $\mathfrak{R} \backslash \mathfrak{L}$ and hence $\dim \mathfrak{R} \backslash \mathfrak{L} - \dim \mathfrak{R} \backslash \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{R} \backslash \mathfrak{L}$ since $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{g}^f$. It follows that $\dim \mathfrak{R} \backslash \mathfrak{L} = \dim \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ so $d\tilde{\phi}$ is surjective at $R_0 e$. Hence $d\tilde{\phi}$ is nonsingular at $R_0 e$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ is an open map in a neighborhood of $R_0 e$. In particular $\text{ad}^* L(f)$ contains a neighborhood of f in $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$. Similar comments hold for f replaced by $\text{ad}^* g(f)$, $g \in L$. It follows that $\text{ad}^* L(f)$ is open in $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$. The same is true for any $f' \in f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ so the orbits of L in $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ are all open. Since different orbits are disjoint and $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ is a union of orbits, this contradicts the connectedness of $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ unless there is only one orbit. Hence $\text{ad}^* L(f) = f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp$, showing that $f + \mathfrak{g}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{O}(f)$. Similarly $f' + \mathfrak{g}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{O}(f)$ for any $f' \in \mathfrak{O}(f)$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{S}(f)$. Q.E.D.

Recall that a subalgebra \mathfrak{K} of \mathfrak{A} is said to be subordinate to f if $f([\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{K}]) = 0$.

COROLLARY. *If \mathfrak{A} is nilpotent, \mathfrak{K}_∞ is subordinate to f .*

PROOF. Let $f' = f|_{\mathfrak{K}_\infty}$. By definition of \mathfrak{K}_∞ , $\mathfrak{K}_\infty(f') = \mathfrak{K}_\infty$. Hence there is no proper ideal \mathfrak{g} containing $\mathfrak{R}(f')$. Since \mathfrak{K}_∞ is nilpotent, this implies that $\mathfrak{R}(f') = \mathfrak{K}_\infty$. Obviously $\mathfrak{R}(f')$ is subordinate. Q.E.D.

DEFINITION. Let $\mathfrak{K}_\infty = (\mathfrak{K}_\infty)^f \cap \text{normalizer}(\mathfrak{K}_\infty)$. Let K_∞ be the corresponding connected analytic subgroup.

PROPOSITION. $\mathfrak{K}_\infty = (\mathfrak{K}_\infty)^f$ and $\text{ad}^* K_\infty(f) = f + \mathfrak{K}_\infty^\perp$.

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{K}_1 = \mathfrak{K}_1^f$ and let K_1 be the corresponding subgroup. For $k \in K_1$, $\text{ad}^*(k)f|_{\mathfrak{K}_1} = f|_{\mathfrak{K}_1}$. Hence $\text{ad}^* k(\mathfrak{K}_\infty) = \mathfrak{K}_\infty$ by Lemma 2. (Recall $\mathfrak{K}_\infty = \mathfrak{K}_\infty(f|_{\mathfrak{K}_1})$.) It follows that $\mathfrak{K}_1 \subset \mathfrak{K}_\infty$. Let $\mathfrak{K}_n(f) = \mathfrak{K}_1(f|_{\mathfrak{K}_{n-1}})$. (Note that $\mathfrak{K}_n(f) \subset \mathfrak{K}_{n-1}(f)$.) Then $\sum_i \mathfrak{K}_i \subset \mathfrak{K}_\infty$ and $\mathfrak{K}_\infty^f \subset \bigcap_i \mathfrak{K}_i^f$. As was shown in the proof of the above theorem, $\mathfrak{K}_1^f = \mathfrak{K}_1$. Hence $\mathfrak{K}_i^f \cap \mathfrak{K}_{i-1} = \mathfrak{K}_i$. It follows that $\bigcap_i \mathfrak{K}_i = \bigcap_i \mathfrak{K}_i^f = \mathfrak{K}_\infty$. Hence $\mathfrak{K}_\infty^f \subset \mathfrak{K}_\infty$. Obviously $\mathfrak{K}_\infty \subset \mathfrak{K}_\infty^f$ so we have shown $\mathfrak{K}_\infty^f = \mathfrak{K}_\infty$. That $\text{ad}^* K_\infty(f) = f + \mathfrak{K}_\infty^\perp$ is the same as the argument that $\text{ad}^* K_1 f = f + \mathfrak{K}_1^\perp$ done in the above theorem. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. *In the notation of the above proof $\mathfrak{K}_\infty = \sum_i \mathfrak{K}_i$.*

PROOF. Both \mathfrak{K}_∞ and $\sum_i \mathfrak{K}_i$ contain \mathfrak{R} and both have the same orthogonal complement under B_f . Hence they have the same dimension. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. Let $f_\infty = f|_{\mathcal{K}_\infty}$. Then the K_∞ orbit of f_∞ is an affine subspace of \mathcal{K}_∞^* .

Now we once again assume that G is nilpotent. Let $f_\infty = f|_{\mathcal{K}_\infty}$ and let U^∞ be the irreducible representation of K_∞ corresponding to f_∞ under the Kirillov correspondence.

THEOREM 2. $\text{ind}(K_\infty, G, U^\infty)$ is irreducible and corresponds to $\Theta(f)$ under the Kirillov correspondence. Also U^∞ is square integrable modulo its kernel.

PROOF. From the above corollary f_∞ has a flat orbit so it follows from the Moore-Wolf theorem [4] that U^∞ is square integrable modulo its kernel.

To prove the irreducibility let $\mathcal{K}_1(f)$ and $\mathcal{K}_1(f)$ be as before. Let H_1 and K_1 be the corresponding subgroups. Let $K_{\infty,1} = K_\infty(f|_{\mathcal{K}_1})$. K_1 fixes $f|_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ so K_1 normalizes \mathcal{K}_∞ and hence K_1 normalizes $K_{\infty,1}$. From Corollary 3 it follows that $K_\infty = K_1 K_{\infty,1}$. Let $U^{\infty,1}$ be the representation of $K_{\infty,1}$ corresponding to $f|_{\mathcal{K}_{\infty,1}}$ and let $U^1 = \text{ind}(K_{\infty,1}, H_1, U^{\infty,1})$. By induction U^1 is irreducible and corresponds to $f_1 = f|_{\mathcal{K}_1}$. Let L be the stabilizer of U^1 in G -i.e.

$$L = \{x \in G | U^1(x \cdot x^{-1}) \approx U^1\}.$$

$x \in L$ if $\text{ad}^* x(f_1)$ is in the H_1 orbit of f_1 -i.e. iff $\text{ad}^* x(f_1) = \text{ad}^* y(f_1)$ for some $y \in H_1$. This is equivalent to saying $\text{ad}^* y^{-1}x(f_1) = f_1$ -i.e. $x \in K'_1 H_1$ where $K'_1 = \{x \in G | \text{ad} x(f_1) = f_1\}$. It follows from standard arguments that K'_1 is connected (see [1, I.3.3], e.g.) and that the Lie algebra of K'_1 is precisely $\mathcal{K}'_1 = \mathcal{K}_1$. Hence $K'_1 = K_1$ and $L = K_1 H_1$. U^1 extends to a representation V of L . In fact, $K_\infty = K_1 K_{\infty,1} \subset L$. Let $V = \text{ind}(K_\infty, L, U^\infty)$. Since $L = K_1 H_1$, $V|_{H_1} = \text{ind}(K_{\infty,1}, H_1, U^{\infty,1}) = U^1$. It now follows from Mackey's theorem [3] that $\text{ind}(L, G, V)$ is irreducible. From the theorem on inducing in stage this is just $\text{ind}(K_\infty, G, U^\infty)$. It is obvious that this representation corresponds to f -simply pick a polarization for f contained in \mathcal{K}_∞ . Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. P. Bernat et al., *Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles*, Dunod, Paris, 1972.
2. L. Corwin, F. Greenleaf and R. Penney, *A general character formula for the irreducible projections on L^2 of a nilmanifold* (preprint).
3. G. W. Mackey, *The theory of group representations*, Lecture notes prepared by Dr. Fell and Dr. Lowdenslager, Math. Dept., Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1955. MR 19, 117.
4. C. Moore and J. Wolf, *Square integrable representations of nilpotent groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 185 (1973), 445-462 (1974). MR 49 #3033.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720