## NEAR COMPACTNESS AND SEPARABILITY OF SYMMETRIZABLE SPACES

R. M. STEPHENSON, JR.

ABSTRACT. Although every feebly compact, Baire, semimetrizable space is separable, we prove here that for every infinite cardinal number n there exists a feebly compact, Baire, symmetrizable Hausdorff space which has no dense subset of cardinality less than n.

For a topological space X, a mapping  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be a symmetric provided that: (i) for all  $x, y \in X$ , d(x, y) = d(y, x), and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y; and (ii) for any subset V of X, V is open if and only if for each point  $v \in V$  there exists e > 0 with  $B(v, e) \equiv \{x \in X : d(x, v) < e\} \subset V$ . If, in addition, each B(x, e),  $x \in X$ , e > 0, is a neighborhood of x, then d is called a semimetric. A space X which has a symmetric (semimetric) is said to be symmetrizable (semimetrizable).

A. V. Arhangel'skii [A, p. 126] proved that every countably compact symmetrizable Hausdorff space is metrizable, and in [S1] and [S2] properties of symmetrizable feebly compact spaces were studied (recall that a space X is said to be feebly compact if every locally finite family of open subsets of X is finite). Of particular interest there was the question: Is every feebly compact symmetrizable space separable? Proofs were given in [S1] that every feebly compact symmetrizable space having a dense set of isolated points is separable, and in [S2, Theorem 10] that every feebly compact, Baire, semimetrizable space is separable. The latter extended Reed's theorem [R] that every Moore-closed space is separable, for a Moore-closed space is regular and feebly compact [G], and a regular, feebly compact space is Baire [M].

In this paper, a modification of a very nice technique developed in [DGN, Example 3.1] is used to settle the question in the negative, and we obtain the following surprising result.

THEOREM. Let n be an infinite cardinal number. Then there exists a Baire, feebly compact, symmetrizable Hausdorff space X such that no dense subset of X has cardinality less than  $m = n\aleph_0$ .

PROOF. Let Y be a metrizable Baire space such that |V| = m for every nonempty open subset V of Y, and |D| = m for any dense subset D of Y. Let d' be a metric for Y,  $\mathfrak{B}$  a base for Y with  $|\mathfrak{B}| = m$ , and C be the family of all

Received by the editors June 20, 1977 and, in revised form, August 1, 1977. AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54E25, 54D55; Secondary 54D30. Key words and phrases. Symmetrizable, feebly compact, Baire space. countably infinite, pairwise disjoint, locally finite families of nonempty members of  $\mathfrak B$ .

List the members of the collection C as  $C = \{C_k : k < m\}$  and list the members of each  $C_k$  in a 1-1 manner as  $C_k = \{C_{k,j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since each  $|C_{k,j}| = m$ , one can by transfinite induction select points  $s_{k,j} \in C_{k,j}$ , where k < m and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that whenever  $i, k \in m$  and  $i \neq k$ , then

$$\{s_{i,j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{s_{k,j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset.$$

Let  $X = Y \cup m$  and extend d' to a symmetric d on X by the rule

$$d(x,y) = d(y,x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y; \\ d'(x,y) & \text{if } x, y \in X; \\ 1/j & \text{if } x = k \text{ and } y = s_{k,j}; \text{ and } 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, let X have the topology induced on it by d.

Before verifying that X is Hausdorff, observe that for each point  $y \in Y$ , one has  $y = s_{k,j}$  for at most one pair k, j, so for each  $y \in Y$  there exists e(y) > 0 with  $B(y, e(y)) \subset Y$ . Thus  $\{B(y, e): 0 < e \le e(y)\}$  is a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of y in X. For a point k < m, a fundamental system of open neighborhoods is the family of all sets having the form

$$\{k\} \cup (\bigcup \{B(s_{k,j}, f_j): 0 < f_j \le e(s_{k,j}), t \le j\}),$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and f is a sequence of real numbers, the jth term of which is  $f_i$ .

Consider distinct points x and y in X. If both are in the metrizable open subset Y, then disjoint neighborhoods can certainly be found. Suppose x = k < m and  $y \in Y$ . For some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{y\}$  and  $\{s_{k,j} : j \ge t\}$  are disjoint closed subsets of Y (since  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is locally finite in Y and pairwise disjoint), so there exist disjoint open subsets U and V of Y with  $y \in U$  and  $\{s_{k,j} : j \ge t\} \subset V$ . Thus, U and  $V \cup \{k\}$  are disjoint neighborhoods of Y and Y. If Y and Y is an Y is an Y in Y and Y is an Y in Y and Y is an Y in Y and Y in Y and Y in Y in Y and Y in Y in Y and Y in Y and Y in Y in Y in Y in Y and Y in Y

Because Y is a dense, Baire subspace of X, the space X must also be Baire. Since Y is an open subspace having no dense subset of cardinality less than m, then X has no dense subset of cardinality less than m.

Finally, suppose that  $\mathcal{V}$  is an infinite family of open subsets of X. We will prove that  $\mathcal{V}$  fails to be locally finite.

Suppose, on the contrary, that  $\mathcal{V}$  is locally finite. Since Y is dense in X, one can find a countably infinite pairwise disjoint family  $\mathcal{U}$  of members of  $\mathcal{B}$  and a 1-1 mapping  $f: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$  such that for each  $W \in \mathcal{U}$ , one has  $W \subset f(W)$ . Evidently any point at which  $\mathcal{U}$  fails to be locally finite must also be a point at which  $\mathcal{V}$  fails to be locally finite. Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite with respect to Y, and hence  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{C}_k$  for some k < m. But clearly  $\mathcal{C}_k$  fails to be

locally finite at the point k, so we have a contradiction.

- REMARKS. (i) I do not know if every regular, feebly compact symmetrizable space is separable. Since a  $G_{\delta}$ -point in a regular, feebly compact space must have a countable neighborhood base (by an observation of I. Glicksberg), and since a first countable symmetrizable Hausdorff space is semimetrizable, any example of a regular, feebly compact, symmetrizable space that is not separable would also provide a negative answer to the still open question (see [DGN]) as to whether or not every point of a regular symmetrizable space must be a  $G_{\delta}$ .
- (ii) In the construction above, if Y is chosen so that no compact subset of Y has nonempty interior, then arguments similar to ones given in [**DGN**] show that X has a closed subset, namely m, which fails to be a  $G_{\delta}$ -set (because then if  $\mathcal{V}$  is a countable family of open sets containing m, the family  $\mathcal{U} = \{V \cap Y: V \in \mathcal{V}\}$  consists of dense open subsets of Y, and so  $\emptyset \neq \bigcap \mathcal{U} \subset Y$  and  $\bigcap \mathcal{V} \neq m$ .

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [A] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Mappings and spaces*, Usephi Mat. Nauk 21 (1966), no. 4 (130), 133–184 = Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966), 115–162. MR 37 #3534.
- [DGN] S. W. Davis, G. Gruenhage and P. J. Nyikos,  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in symmetrizable and related spaces, General Topology and Appl. (to appear).
- [G] J. W. Green, Moore-closed spaces, completeness and centered bases, General Topology and Appl. 4 (1974), 297-313. MR 51 #1754.
- [M] R. A. McCoy, A filter characterization of regular Baire spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973), 268-270. MR 49 #3859.
- [R] G. M. Reed, On chain conditions in Moore spaces, General Topology and Appl. 4 (1974), 255-267. MR 49 #11481.
- [S1] R. M. Stephenson, Jr., Symmetrizable, F-, and weakly first countable spaces, Canad. J. Math. 29 (1977).
  - [S2] \_\_\_\_\_, Symmetrizable-closed spaces, Pacific J. Math. 70 (1977).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29208